ABSTRACT AND CASE REPORT

SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

• All submissions must be submitted via the Online Submission Form. Submissions sent via email will be rejected and returned.

• Abstracts/Case Reports should be submitted by April 1, 2022.

• Please choose a single Contact Author who will serve as corresponding author for the entire submitting team. This person will be responsible for submitting the abstract/case report and will be responsible for communicating any information/correspondence from the Research Day Committee to the rest of the authors on their team.

• We recommend that you compose your abstract/case report in a Word document first, so that you can easily copy/paste the requested information into the online submission form.

• Although short, a good abstract/case report typically takes several days to write. Take this into account when budgeting your time. Seek the help of an experienced mentor and make revisions based upon his/her feedback. Allow others to read your draft for clarity and to check for spelling and grammatical mistakes. Reading the document orally is an excellent way to catch grammatical errors and word omissions.

• Please discuss your submission with your department representative to ensure compliance with their submission policies. Many institutions/departments prefer that you submit your abstracts/reports to them for review prior to submission.

• Research Day will likely take place in May 2022. We do not yet know if it will be in person or online, but we will announce specifics as soon as they are available.

• If your submission is accepted, the Committee will email the Contact Author with guidelines for either a poster creation or lectern presentation (for an in-person event) or a pre-recorded video presentation (for an online event).

• Please use the following pages to create your abstract/case report, paying special attention to the character limits.

• If you have any questions about the submission process, please email LSheehan@mednet.ucla.edu.
Abstract Guidance

**Title:** The title should summarize the abstract and convince the reviewers that the topic is important, relevant, and innovative. To create a winning title, write out 6 to 10 key words found in the abstract and string them into various sentences. Once you have a sentence that adequately conveys the meaning of the work, try to condense the title, yet still convey the essential message. Title should be in title case (do not use all caps).

**Authors and Affiliations:** It is assumed the first author listed will make the poster/oral presentation. The first author must be affiliated with one of the Departments of Family Medicine that are part of the UCLA Multi-Campus group. If there are multiple affiliations, use a number (within parentheses) in the Author field and Affiliation field to delineate between locations/affiliations. Please do not use superscript font, as the formatting may not be compatible when you copy and paste from your Word document into the online submission form. Correct Example:

Authors: Joe Bruin, MD (1), Josephine Bruin, MD PhD (1), and Jean Luc Picard, Cptn (2)
Affiliations: (1) Department of Family Medicine at UCLA; (2) USS Enterprise, United Federation of Planets.

**Introduction:** There is a maximum limit of 550 characters (including spaces) for this section. The introduction usually consists of several sentences outlining the question addressed by the research. Make the first sentence of the introduction as interesting and dramatic as possible. For example, "Each year 100,000 people die of…" is more interesting than, "An important cause of mortality is…" If space permits, provide a concise review of what is known about the problem addressed by the research, what remains unknown, and how your research project fills the knowledge gaps. The final sentence of the introduction describes the purpose of the study or the study's *a priori* hypothesis.

**Methods:** This section allows for a maximum of 700 characters (including spaces). This is often the most difficult section of the abstract to write. It must be scaled down sufficiently to meet the word count, but at the same time it must be detailed enough to judge the validity of the work. For most clinical research abstracts, the following areas are specifically mentioned: research design; research setting; number of patients enrolled in the study and how they were selected; a description of the intervention (if appropriate); and a listing of the outcome variables and how they were measured. Finally, the statistical methods used to analyze the data are described.

**Results:** Limited to 700 characters (including spaces). The results section is the most important part of the abstract and should contain as much detail about the findings as the word count permits. This section begins with a description of the subjects that were included and excluded from the study along with major findings. Often included in this section are: the number of participants, results of the analysis of objectives (including P values), numerical information about such analyses (means and standard deviations, response and remission rates, etc.), important negative findings (if any), data on important adverse events as well as efficacy, etc.

**Conclusion:** Limited to 500 characters (including spaces). This will likely be the section that readers will remember most and therefore it should contain the most important take-home message of the study (what can be concluded and its implications), expressed in a few precisely-worded sentences. Conclusions should be meticulously honest; your conclusions must be supported by the data presented in the abstract.
**Case Report Guidance**

**Title:** The title should be a clear and short description of the case. Avoid using abbreviations in the title unless they are commonly used/recognizable by a lay person. A great title should be informative, accurate, succinct, effective, and contain keywords that would facilitate retrieval using electronic searches. Title should be in title case (do not use all caps).

**Authors and Affiliations:** It is assumed the first author listed will make the poster/presentation. The first author must be affiliated with one of the Departments of Family Medicine that are part of the UCLA Multi-Campus group. If there are multiple affiliations, use a number (within parentheses) in the Author field and Affiliation field to delineate between locations/affiliations. Please do not use superscript font, as the formatting may not be compatible when you copy and paste from your Word document into the online submission form. Correct Example:

Authors: Joe Bruin, MD (1), Josephine Bruin, MD PhD (1), and Jean Luc Picard, Cptn (2)
Affiliations: (1) Department of Family Medicine at UCLA; (2) USS Enterprise, United Federation of Planets.

**Abstract/Introduction:** There is a maximum limit of 500 characters (including spaces) for this section. This is the section where you clarify why you think this case is important and give an overview of the larger problem. It should include a one-sentence description of the patient. You can address why this case is unique (e.g., previously unreported, new pattern, unusual diagnosis/therapy/harm, etc.).

**Case Report (Methods/Results):** This section allows for a maximum of 1,000 characters (including spaces). In this section you are presenting the patient to the reader. You should include relevant findings, including presenting features, chief complaint, concise history, exam, diagnostic studies, treatments, outcomes, proof of diagnosis, etc. Space is limited, but you can include negatives based upon potential diagnoses when relevant. The goal is to be thorough without cluttering the essence of the case with irrelevant details.

**Discussion/Clinical Significance:** Limited to 800 characters (including spaces). Now that the case has been presented, this is where you put it into context for the reader. This section should provide a more detailed discussion of the disease entity/therapy/etc. and the source of surprise or confusion in the case. This is where you need to clarify how this case is different/unique, why decisions were made, and extract the lesson from the case. If there are any alternative explanations, new hypotheses, or learning points, they should be discussed here. The take-home message, recommendations to clinicians/scientists, and future implications can be included here to complete this section.