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Background: Inherited and sporadic medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) is an uncommon and challenging malignancy. The American Thyroid association (ATA) chose to create specific MTC Clinical Guidelines that would bring together and update the diverse MTC literature and combine it with evidence-based medicine and the knowledge and experience of a panel of expert clinicians.

Methods: Relevant articles were identified using a systematic PubMed search and supplemented with additional published materials. Evidence-based recommendations were created and then categorized using criteria adapted from the United States Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Results: Clinical topics addressed in this scholarly dialog included: initial diagnosis and therapy of preclinical disease (including RET oncogene testing and the timing of prophylactic thyroidectomy), initial diagnosis and therapy of clinically apparent disease (including preoperative testing and imaging, extent of surgery, and handling of devascularized parathyroid glands), initial evaluation and treatment of postoperative patients (including the role of completion thyroidectomy), management of persistent or recurrent MTC (including the role of tumor marker doubling times, and treatment of patients with distant metastases and hormonally active metastases), long-term follow-up and management (including the frequency of follow-up and imaging), and directions for future research.

Conclusions: One hundred twenty-two evidence-based recommendations were created to assist in the clinical care of MTC patients and to share what we believe is current, rational, and optimal medical practice.

Introduction

Inherited and sporadic medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) is an uncommon and challenging malignancy. Its low incidence has limited both widespread clinical expertise and definitive randomized clinical trials. Scientific advances relevant to MTC are reported in a wide range of literature including subspecialty publications of endocrinology, genetics, pediatrics, radiology, nuclear medicine, surgery, and oncology, which make it challenging for clinicians to remain...
current on all of these developments. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of MTC have been previously published by several organizations, including some that are periodically updated in print or online (1–4). The American Thyroid association (ATA) chose to create specific MTC Clinical Guidelines that would bring together and update the diverse MTC literature and combine it with evidence-based medicine and input from a panel of expert clinicians.

It is our goal that these guidelines assist in the clinical care of patients; it is also our goal to share what we believe is current, rational, and optimal medical practice. In some circumstances, it may be apparent that the level of care recommended may be best provided in limited centers with specific expertise. Finally, it is not the intent of these guidelines to replace individual decision making, the wishes of the patient or family, or clinical judgment.

Methods

Presentation of results and recommendations

Table 1 presents the organization of the Task Force’s results, recommendations, and definitions. Readers of the print version are referred to the page number for information about specific topics, recommendations, and definitions. The location key can be used if viewing the guidelines in a file or web page. Each location key is unique and can be copied into the Find or Search functions to rapidly navigate to the section of interest. Specific recommendations and definitions are presented as bulleted points in the main body of this scholarly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location key</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Subsection Description</th>
<th>R or D number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[A]</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>Background</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>Initial diagnosis and therapy of preclinical disease in MEN 2 syndromes</td>
<td>Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in MEN 2A</td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B1]</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>Initial diagnosis and therapy of preclinical disease in MEN 2 syndromes</td>
<td>Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in FMTC</td>
<td>D2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B2]</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in MEN 2B</td>
<td>D3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B3]</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in MEN 2B</td>
<td>R1–R5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B4]</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>Role of germline RET testing in MTC patients</td>
<td>R6–R8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B5]</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>Prophylactic thyroidectomy</td>
<td>R9–R10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B6]</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>RET testing in asymptomatic people</td>
<td>R11–R15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B7]</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>RET testing methodologies</td>
<td>R16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B8]</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>Genetic testing: privacy vs. notification of potentially affected family members</td>
<td>R17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B9]</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>Reproductive options of RET mutation carriers</td>
<td>R18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B10]</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>Possibility of inherited disease in RET mutation-negative MTC patients and families</td>
<td>R19–R26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B11]</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>Preoperative testing of asymptomatic RET mutation-positive patients for MTC, PHPT, and PHEO</td>
<td>R27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B12]</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>Sources of Ct assay interference</td>
<td>R28–R31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B13]</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>Effects of age and sex on the normal Ct range</td>
<td>R32–R33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B14]</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>Surgery for the youngest MEN 2B patients</td>
<td>R34–R36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B15]</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>Surgery for the youngest MEN 2A or FMTC patients</td>
<td>R37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B16]</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>Preoperative imaging and biochemical testing to evaluate for MTC in older RET mutation-positive patients</td>
<td>R39–R40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B17]</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>Surgery for the older MEN 2B patients without evidence of cervical lymph node metastases and normal or minimally elevated Ct levels</td>
<td>R41–R42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B18]</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>Surgery for the older MEN 2A or FMTC patients without evidence of cervical lymph node metastases and normal or minimally elevated Ct levels</td>
<td>R43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B19]</td>
<td>581</td>
<td>Diagnostic testing for RET mutation-positive patients suspected of having metastases based on imaging or serum Ct level</td>
<td>(continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*If viewing these guidelines on the Web, or in a File, copy the Location Key to the Find or Search Function to navigate rapidly to the desired section.

MTC, medullary thyroid carcinoma; R, recommendations; D, definitions; MEN, multiple endocrine neoplasia; FMTC, familial medullary thyroid carcinoma; Ct, calcitonin; PHPT, primary hyperparathyroidism; FNA, fine-needle aspiration; DT, doubling time; CEA, carcino-embryonic antigen.
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</tr>
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<td>[C5]</td>
<td>585</td>
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<td>Hepatic metastases</td>
<td>R105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[E9]</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>[E11]</td>
<td>595</td>
<td></td>
<td>Symptoms, evaluation, and treatment of hormonally active metastases</td>
<td>R110–R113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[F]</td>
<td>596</td>
<td></td>
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</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Definitions Used for Medullary Thyroid Cancer Management Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTH</td>
<td>Adrenocorticotrophic hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Carcinoembryonic antigen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA DT</td>
<td>Carcinoembryonic antigen doubling time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLA</td>
<td>Cutaneous lichen amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRH</td>
<td>Corticotropin-releasing hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct</td>
<td>Calcitonin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ct DT</td>
<td>Calcitonin doubling time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Computed tomography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Doubling time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTPA</td>
<td>Diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBRT</td>
<td>External beam radiation therapy*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMTC</td>
<td>Familial medullary thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNA</td>
<td>Fine-needle aspiration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSCR</td>
<td>Hirschsprung disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEN</td>
<td>Multiple endocrine neoplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIBG</td>
<td>Metabolism of benzylguanidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>Medullary thyroid carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEO</td>
<td>Phaeochromocytoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHPT</td>
<td>Primary hyperparathyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTH</td>
<td>Parathyroid hormone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAI</td>
<td>Radioactive iodine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>Ultrasound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May include intensity-modulated radiation therapy.

guidelines dialog. Table 2 presents a guide to the abbreviations used.

Administration

The ATA Executive Council selected a MTC Guidelines Task Force chairman using criteria that included MTC clinical experience and the absence of dogmatically held views in areas of recognized controversy. A Task Force was selected based on clinical expertise to include representation of endocrinology, genetics, pediatrics, nuclear medicine, surgery, oncology, and clinical laboratory testing. The Task Force additionally included experts from both North America and Europe, and all members disclosed potential conflicts of interest. Guidelines funding was derived solely from the general funds of the ATA and Thyroid Cancer Survivors’ Association, Inc. (ThyCa) through an unrestricted educational grant and were devoid of commercial support.

The Task Force considered how patients with MTC or a genetic predisposition for the disease are encountered, diagnosed, and treated. In this framework, a series of flow diagrams was created and revised, and a list of questions were developed and assigned to individual Task Force members to answer utilizing the published literature and expert opinion when relevant. Based on these documents a preliminary Guideline and a series of Recommendations were made and then critically reviewed and modified by the full Task Force. The level of evidence to support the Recommendations was categorized and reviewed. Finally, the full Task Force again critically reviewed the entire Guideline and Recommendations through several iterations and arrived at a document of consensus. In most cases the consensus was unanimous while in some cases there were disparate views held by a minority of panel members; the most significant of which are noted in this document. The final document is the product of face-to-face meetings in Phoenix, Arizona, October 12, 2006; Columbus, Ohio, November 11, 2006; and Toronto, Ontario, June 2, 2007; and multiple electronic communications and telephone conference calls. The final document was approved by the ATA Board of Directors, and officially endorsed (in alphabetical order) by: American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery (AAAO-HNS) Endocrine Surgery Committee, American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE), American Association of Endocrine Surgeons (AAES), American College of Endocrinology (ACE), Asia and Oceanic Thyroid Association (AOTA), British Association of Endocrine and Thyroid Surgeons (BAETS), British Association of Head and Neck Oncologists (BAHNO), The Endocrine Society (ENDO), European Society of Endocrinology (ESE), European Society of Endocrine Surgery (ESES), European Thyroid Association (ETA), International Association of Endocrine Surgeons (IAES), and the Latin American Thyroid Society (LATS).

Literature review and evidence-based medicine

Relevant articles were identified by searching PubMed MEDLINE at Pubmed (NLM) using the following search terms: (medullary carcinoma) OR (medullary thyroid cancer) OR (medullary thyroid carcinoma) OR (RET) OR (calcitonin) which yielded 30,095 articles on March 10, 2007. Limiting the search to include “humans”; and “randomized controlled trials” or “meta-analysis” from (medullary carcinoma) OR (medullary thyroid cancer) OR (medullary thyroid carcinoma) yielded 12 articles, of which 8 were relevant and they were reviewed in detail by the Task Force. In addition to these articles, numerous additional relevant articles, book chapters, and other materials were also supplied by Task Force members, including works published after the initial search. Published works were utilized to devise this Guideline as referenced.

The Task Force categorized our recommendations using criteria adapted from the United States Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (Table 3) as was used in the ATA publication Management Guidelines for Patients with Thyroid Nodules and Differentiated Thyroid Cancer (5).

Results

[A] Background

MTC was first described by Jaquet in the German literature as “malignant goiter with amyloid” (6). In 1959, Hazard et al. (7) provided a definitive histological description, while Williams further suggested that MTC originated from the calcitonin (Ct)-secreting parafollicular C cells of the thyroid gland, which derive from the neural crest (8–10). Currently, MTC accounts for about 4% of all thyroid cancer cases in the United States (11). MTC presents worldwide as part of an autosomal dominant inherited disorder in about 20–25% of cases and as a sporadic tumor in the remainder (12–15).
Inherited MTC syndromes (multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2, MEN 2) affect approximately 1 in 30,000 individuals (16,17) and consist of MEN 2A (Sipple’s syndrome), familial MTC (FMTC), and MEN 2B. Interestingly, the founding de novo mutations have occurred exclusively on the paternal allele (18,19). Affected individuals initially develop primary C-cell hyperplasia (CCH) that progresses to early invasive medullary microcarcinoma, and eventually develop grossly invasive macroscopic MTC (20). Secondary CCH has been described with aging, hyperparathyroidism, hypergastrinemia, near follicular derived tumors, and in chronic lymphocytic thyroiditis (21). Familial CCH is a preneoplastic lesion as opposed to secondary CCH, which is associated with much less, if any, malignant potential (21). Although there is controversy surrounding the definition of CCH (22), its utility to identify or confirm MEN 2 has been essentially replaced by RET (REarranged during Transfection) protooncogene testing.

Sipple (23) published a case report and review of the literature that demonstrated the association of thyroid cancer with pheochromocytoma (PHEO) in 1961. Steiner et al. (24) associated the presence of primary hyperparathyroidism (PHPT) with the syndrome and introduced the term “multiple endocrine neoplasia 2.” Recent molecular evidence has demonstrated that the first description of PHEO in 1886 was a young woman with MEN 2A (25). FMTC is a variant of MEN 2A with multigenerational MTC without PHEO or PHPT. This variant was first categorized by Farndon and colleagues in 1986 (26). Initial descriptions of MEN 2B were recorded by Wagenmann in 1922 (27), Froboese in 1923 (28), and then Williams and Pollock in 1966 (29).

The RET gene was first identified in 1985 (30). In 1987, the genetic defect causing MEN 2A was located on chromosome 10 (31). In 1993 and 1994 it was demonstrated that MEN 2A and FMTC (16,17), and MEN 2B (32–34), respectively, were caused by germline RET mutations. Thus, a RET gene mutation occurring in the germline that results in expression of abnormally overactive Ret protein in all tissues in which it is expressed causes these specific inherited syndromes. Somatic RET mutations that occur later in life and are limited to C cells are present in 40–50% of sporadic MTCs (35–37).

The 10-year disease-specific survival of MTC is about 75% (11). Important prognostic factors that predict adverse outcome include advanced age at diagnosis, extent of primary tumor, nodal disease, and distant metastases (11,13,38–40). The current American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 6th edition TNM (tumor, node, metastasis) classification system (41) is shown in Table 4. Using a prior TNM classification system, 10-year survival rates for stages I, II, III, and IV are 100%, 93%, 71%, and 21%, respectively (40). Unfortunately, there has been no significant trend toward earlier stage of disease at diagnosis with just under half of the patients presenting with stage III or IV disease (11), and no significant increase in the survival of patients with MTC in recent decades (42,43).

### [B] Initial diagnosis and therapy of preclinical disease in MEN 2 syndromes

MEN 2 is an autosomal dominant hereditary cancer syndrome that implies a 50% risk to offspring of a carrier to inherit the disorder. It is caused by missense mutations in the RET protooncogene, that result in “gain of function” (44). All three clinical subtypes of MEN 2 are characterized by the presence of MTC.

### [B1] Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in MEN 2A (Table 5)

The most common clinical subtype of MEN 2 is type 2A. The typical age of onset of this condition is the third or fourth decade of life and is characterized by a triad of features: MTC, PHEO, and PHPT. Nearly 90% of gene carriers will develop MTC, but this is dependent upon the mutation (2). The risk of developing unilateral or bilateral PHEO is as high as 57%, and 15–30% of gene carriers will develop PHPT (2,40,45). In the vast majority of cases, MEN 2A is caused by mutations affecting cysteine residues in codons

---

Table 3. Strength of Recommendations Based on Available Evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td><strong>Strongly recommends.</strong> The recommendation is based on good evidence that the service or intervention can improve important health outcomes. Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative populations that directly assess effects on health outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td><strong>Recommends.</strong> The recommendation is based on fair evidence that the service or intervention can improve important health outcomes. The evidence is sufficient to determine effects on health outcomes, but the strength of the evidence is limited by the number, quality, or consistency of the individual studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on health outcomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td><strong>Recommends.</strong> The recommendation is based on expert opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td><strong>Recommends against.</strong> The recommendation is based on expert opinion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td><strong>Recommends against.</strong> The recommendation is based on fair evidence that the service or intervention does not improve important health outcomes or that harms outweigh benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td><strong>Strongly recommends against.</strong> The recommendation is based on good evidence that the service or intervention does not improve important health outcomes or that harms outweigh benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td><strong>Recommends neither for nor against.</strong> The panel concludes that the evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against providing the service or intervention because evidence is lacking that the service or intervention improves important health outcomes, the evidence is of poor quality, or the evidence is conflicting. As a result, the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.
Table 4. American Joint Committee on Cancer
TNM Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor (T)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0—No evidence of primary tumor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1—Tumor 2 cm or less in greatest dimension limited to the thyroid (Supplementum to the 6th edition: T1a, tumor 1 cm or less; T1b, tumor more than 1 cm but not more than 2 cm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2—Tumor more than 2 cm, but not more than 4 cm, in greatest dimension limited to the thyroid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3—Tumor more than 4 cm in greatest dimension limited to the thyroid or any tumor with minimal extra-thyroidal extension (e.g. extension to sternothyroid muscle or perithyroid soft tissues)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a—Tumor of any size extending beyond the thyroid capsule to invade subcutaneous soft tissues, larynx, trachea, esophagus, or recurrent laryngeal nerve</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b—Tumor invades prevertebral fascia or encases carotid artery or mediastinal vessels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional lymph nodes (N)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N0—No regional lymph node metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1—Regional lymph node metastases</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1a—Metastasis to Level VI (pretracheal, paratracheal, and prelaryngeal/Delphian lymph nodes)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1b—Metastasis to unilateral, bilateral, or contralateral cervical or superior mediastinal lymph nodes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distant metastases (M)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MX—Distant metastasis cannot be assessed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0—No distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1—Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stage

- Stage I
  - T1, N0, M0
- Stage II
  - T2, N0, M0
- Stage III
  - T3, N0, M0
  - T1, N1a, M0
  - T2, N1a, M0
  - T3, N1a, M0
- Stage IVA
  - T4a, N0, M0
  - T4a, N1a, M0
  - T1, N1b, M0
  - T2, N1b, M0
  - T3, N1b, M0
  - T4a, N1b, M0
- Stage IVB
  - T4b, any N, M0
- Stage IVC
  - Any T, any N, M1

Sixth edition (41).
Table 5. Genotype–Phenotype Correlations and Risk Levels for Aggressive Medullary Thyroid Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Exon</th>
<th>ATA risk level</th>
<th>MTC risk level</th>
<th>FMTC</th>
<th>MEN 2A&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>MEN 2B&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MTC PHPT PHEO CLA HSCR</td>
<td>MTC PHEO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R321G&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>531/9 base pair duplication</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>532 duplication&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C515S&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G533C&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R600Q&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K603E&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y606C&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C609F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C611R&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C618R&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C620R&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C630R&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D631Y&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>633/9 base pair duplication</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C634R&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C643G/F/S/W/Y</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>654/12 base pair duplication</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>655/insertion ELCR/T636P</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S649L&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K666E&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI/MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E768D&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N777S&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L790F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R/MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y791F&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>MI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804L&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804M+V778I&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804M+V805K</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804M+Y806C&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V804M+S904C&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14/15</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G819K&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R833C&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R844Q&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R866W&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A883F</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S891A</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R912P</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M918T</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Risk from aggressive MTC: level D is highest risk.

<sup>b</sup>Risk from aggressive MTC from the Seventh International Workshop on MEN (2): level 1, high risk; level 2, higher risk; level 3, highest risk.

<sup>c</sup>Presence (+) of inherited MTC in the absence of PHPT or PHEO has been described, although the number of family members and number of family generations studied and duration of follow-up is variable. Historically, mutations initially considered diagnostic of FMTC have eventually demonstrated some penetrance of the MEN 2A phenotype. The absence (–) of association with FMTC indicates that inheritance of MTC in isolation is very unlikely.

<sup>d</sup>Organ-specific penetrance: MA, majority; MI, minority; R, rare.

<sup>e</sup>Mutations based on limited families/case reports and may represent variants of unknown significance.

<sup>f</sup>Phenotype associated with corneal nerve thickening.

<sup>g</sup>Phenotype associated with mucosal neurilemmomas.
have FMTC (52), FMTC is now viewed as a phenotypic variant of MEN 2A with decreased penetrance for PHEO and PHPT rather than a distinct entity.

**DEFINITION 2**

Familial MTC is a clinical variant of MEN 2A in which MTC is the only manifestation. To prove that a particular kindred has FMTC it is necessary to demonstrate the absence of a PHEO or PHPT in two or more generations within a family or to have a RET mutation identified only in kindreds with FMTC (Table 5). In smaller kindreds or in those with a single affected generation, caution should be exercised in the classification of FMTC as there is the possibility of failure to recognize MEN 2A and the risk of PHEO.

Clinical manifestations and syndromes of RET mutations in MEN 2B. MEN 2B is the most rare and aggressive form of MEN 2 based on its development of MTC earlier in life (55–59). More than 50% of cases are of MEN 2 based on its development of MTC earlier in life in MEN 2B. MEN 2B is the most rare and aggressive form of MEN 2A/FMTC if age >3–5 years.

MEN 2B patients, O’Riordain et al. (58) and Leboulleux et al. (64) reported median ages (range) at presentation of PHEO as 23 (13–32) and 28 (17–33) years, respectively. MEN 2B is distinguished from MEN 2A by the absence of PHPT and the presence of distinct developmental defects. These typical phenotypic features include musculoskeletal abnormalities (marfanoid habitus, pes cavus, pectus excavatum, hyponia, proximal muscle weakness); neuromas of the lips, anterolateral surface of the tongue, and conjunctiva; medullated corneal-nerve fibers; urinary ganglioneuromatosis and malformations; and ganglioneuromatosis of the intestine. Gastrointestinal manifestations including vomiting, dehydration, failure to thrive, and possible intestinal obstruction are often initial disease manifestations that present for medical attention (58,65–69). In one study of 21 MEN 2B patients, 90% had colonic disturbances, typically chronic constipation from birth (58). Megacolon developed in two thirds of patients, and about one third required colonic surgery. Brauckhoff et al. (70) reported that fewer than 20% of MEN 2B children manifested the typical MEN 2B phenotype during the first year of life, whereas 86%, 61%, and 46% demonstrated the inability to cry tears, constipation, or feeding problems, respectively. The average age of onset of MTC is 10 years earlier than seen in MEN 2A (2,55,63). The mutation M918T (exon 16) is present in 95% of patients with MEN 2B with 2–3% of patients harboring the A883F mutation in exon 15 (46). Rare patients with the MEN 2B phenotype have a double RET mutation (71–74) (Table 5).
DEFINITION 3

MEN 2B is defined as the presence of MTC, marfanoid habitus, medullated corneal nerve fibers, ganglioneuromatosis of the gut and oral mucosa, and PHEO associated with a germline \textit{RET} mutation. There are rare families with classical features of MEN 2B in the absence of an identifiable \textit{RET} mutation. In a patient with one or two of the clinical features of MEN 2B, the only way to be certain of a diagnosis of MEN 2B is to identify a \textit{RET} mutation or identify the clinical features of MEN 2B in other first-degree relatives. In the absence of an autosomal dominant familial inheritance pattern or \textit{RET} mutation, the preponderance of the classical clinical feature of MEN 2B are required to make a clinical diagnosis of MEN 2B. In the presence of a germline \textit{RET} mutation in a child, and in the absence of some or all of the clinical features, that individual is said to be at risk for developing the clinical features of MEN 2B, and appropriate medical management should ensue.

\[\text{B4} \] Role of germline \textit{RET} testing in MTC patients (Figs. 1 and 2, Table 6). Germline testing of \textit{RET} can be used to distinguish cases of sporadic from hereditary MTC (Fig. 2), and the precise \textit{RET} mutations may suggest a predilection toward a particular phenotype (Table 5) and clinical course. This is important because the patient may also require surveillance and management of PHEO and PHPT, and additional family members may be at risk for developing MTC. Knowledge of the \textit{RET} mutation can guide decisions regarding prophylactic thyroidectomy (Table 6) and intra-operative management of the parathyroid glands. Approximately 95% of patients with MEN 2A and MEN 2B, and 88% of those with FMTC will have an identifiable \textit{RET} mutation (2). In addition, about 1–7% of apparently sporadic cases have identifiable \textit{RET} mutations (75,76), including about 2–9% with \textit{de novo} germline mutations (19,77). \textit{RET} mutations are more likely to be identified in patients with multifocal disease and/or MTC at a young age.

RECOMMENDATION 1

All patients with a personal medical history of primary C cell hyperplasia, MTC, or MEN 2 should be offered germline \textit{RET} testing. Grade: A Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 2

The differential diagnosis in patients with intestinal ganglioneuromatosis should include MEN 2B, which together with their history and physical examinations, family history, and ganglioneuromatosis histology may prompt germline \textit{RET} testing. Grade: B Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 3

All people with a family history consistent with MEN 2 or FMTC, and at risk for autosomal dominant inheritance of
RECOMMENDATION 5

Assays have significantly improved functional sensitivities, currently as low as 1–2 pg pentagastrin and rarely a need for stimulated Ct testing in the diagnosis or follow-up of MTC.
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RECOMMENDATION 4

Lichen planus amyloidosis or pruritis in the central upper back may indicate the presence of a 634 codon mutation and should prompt genetic testing. Grade: C Recommendation

Table 6. American Thyroid Association Risk Level and Prophylactic Thyroidectomy Testing and Therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATA risk level</th>
<th>Age of RET testing</th>
<th>Age of required first US</th>
<th>Age of required first serum Ct</th>
<th>Age of prophylactic surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>ASAP and within the 1st year of life</td>
<td>ASAP and within the 1st year of life</td>
<td>6 months, if surgery not already done</td>
<td>ASAP and within the 1st year of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&lt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>Before age 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>&lt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>Consider surgery before age 5. May delay surgery beyond age 5 years if stringent criteria are met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>&gt;3–5 years</td>
<td>May delay surgery beyond age 5 years if stringent criteria are met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Stimulated Ct testing historically was done by measuring serum Ct levels at intervals after intravenous administration of the secretagogue pentagastrin and/or calcium (92). Pentagastrin is not available in the United States and many other countries. Additionally, newer calcitonin assays have significantly improved functional sensitivities, currently as low as 1–2 pg/mL. Resultingly, most experts believe that there is rarely a need for stimulated Ct testing in the diagnosis or follow-up of MTC.

the syndrome, should be offered RET testing. For MEN 2B this should be done shortly after birth. For MEN 2A and FMTC this should be done before 5 years of age (Table 6). Grade: A Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 5

Pre- and post-test genetics counseling by a genetics counselor, or other qualified professional, should be offered to all patients undergoing RET testing. Grade: C Recommendation

[B5] Prophylactic thyroidectomy (When should it be performed in a clinically asymptomatic individual with documented RET mutation but a normal thyroid physical examination and no suspicious lymph nodes or thyroid nodules >5 mm on US (if obtained)? See Fig. 1 and Table 6). MEN 2 patients who present with palpable MTC have a low rate of cure (78,79). In MEN 2 patients, biochemical surveillance to detect CCH or early MTC significantly increased the rate of surgical cure (79), yet sensitivity and specificity were imperfect because some individuals who had surgery did not harbor RET mutations, while others experienced MTC recurrence (59,78).

Unfortunately, in one series of MEN 2A or FMTC children who underwent prophylactic thyroidectomy at age 4 years or later, 11% experienced biochemical persistence or recurrence, all of whom were operated at age 13 years or later (80). Similarly, there was no persistent or recurrent MTC in a series of 50 children when they underwent prophylactic thyroidectomy and central neck dissection before age 8 years (81). Six children had persistent or recurrent disease postoperatively and had undergone surgery at ages 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, and 19 years old with RET mutations in codons 634, 620, 618, 620, 634, and 618, respectively. Of these children, four had no evidence of lymph node metastases at the primary surgery. Conversely, of the three patients with lymph node metastases at surgery, only one remained biochemically free of disease. Thus, biochemical surveillance for MTC has largely been replaced by the use of RET mutation status and knowledge of the age and penetrance of MTC in the codon mutated to guide the timing of prophylactic thyroidectomy (2,82,83). Unfortunately, metastatic MTC is not universally preventable in all MEN 2B patients despite prophylactic thyroidectomy shortly after birth (67).

At the Seventh International Workshop on MEN, a classification system was created for RET mutations based on risk for aggressive MTC (2). The purpose of the classification system was to offer recommendations for age of prophylactic thyroidectomy, predict phenotype, and establish who should be screened for PHEO (2). This system has been important, but may be improved by recognizing the more aggressive course of the 634 mutation, with young age of onset and a higher rate of PHPT and PHEO (15,84,85). Additionally, some RET mutations were not categorized by that system (including codon 630), or were judged appropriate to be reclassified based on more recent data (e.g. codon 609) (63). For these reasons, we have created a categorization system that makes these changes, and allows for periodic updating (see Table 5). ATA level D (ATA-D) mutations carry the highest risk for MTC. These mutations include codons 883 and 918, and are associated with the youngest age of onset and highest risk of metastases and disease specific mortality. ATA level C (ATA-C) mutations carry a lower, yet still high risk of aggressive MTC and include mutations at codon 634. ATA level B (ATA-B) mutations carry a lower risk for aggressive MTC mutations and include mutations at RET codons 609, 611, 618, 620, and 630. ATA level A (ATA-A) mutations carry the “least high” risk. Compared to ATA-B mutation carriers of the same age, these patients have lower serum Ctl levels, lower tumor stage, and a higher rate of biochemical cure when they undergo prophylactic thyroidectomy at age ≥4 years old (80). ATA-A mutations include RET...
gene mutations at codons 768, 790, 791, 804, and 891. Despite this ATA categorization into four levels (A–D), differences in the development and behavior of MTC and the development of MEN 2A features are present between various RET mutations even within the same ATA level (86).

With the possible exception of certain “least high risk” ATA-A RET mutations, patients with germline RET mutations require prophylactic thyroidectomy (Table 6). At the MEN97 Workshop it was determined that surgery should be performed based on the results of RET testing for individuals with MEN 2 (87), as RET testing has a lower rate of false negatives and false positives than Ct testing (88), which was previously used for early identification and treatment of MTC (2). ATA levels B–D RET mutations are associated with nearly complete penetrance of the MTC phenotype at young ages and once metastatic are associated with a low rate of cure (81), and high rate of morbidity and eventual mortality. Early detection and intervention of MTC has been shown to significantly alter the associated mortality (2,79–81). Thus, the main debate now is the timing of prophylactic thyroidectomy during childhood, rather than if it should be done or not. ATA-A RET mutations comprise a group of phenotypes that are typically characterized by later onset of MTC that is associated with less aggressive clinical behavior. However, the phenotype of these RET mutations is heterogeneous within and between the various RET mutations so that at one end of the spectrum, and composing the majority, are MTC phenotypes with late onset, incomplete penetrance, and rare MTC-related death (89,90). At the other end of the spectrum, are the unpredictable minority that have demonstrated aggressive MTC, as witnessed in a 6-year-old child with metastatic MTC with an 804 RET mutation (84,91). Proposed strategies to determine the timing of prophylactic thyroidectomy for RET mutations have included age cut-offs based on the youngest child reported in the literature with metastatic disease, the more typical age of MTC development for the genotype, basal ± stimulated* serum Ct measurements, annual neck ultrasound (US), the age that MTC developed in family members, and combinations of these factors (2,79,84,93). The incentive for early prophylactic thyroidectomy is to intervene before the development of metastases because once metastatic, these patients are often incurable (81,94). Further, thyroidectomy prior to lymph node metastasis obviates the need for central compartment lymph dissection which is associated with a higher rate of hypoparathyroidism (81) and vocal cord paralysis. The incentive to delay prophylactic thyroidectomy is to optimize patient safety by operating on older children, whose surgery is technically less difficult and in whom treatment of iatrogenic hypoparathyroidism may be easier. Children undergoing thyroidectomy or parathyroidectomy have higher complication rates than adults, and have better outcomes when operated on by high-volume surgeons (95). There is also some benefit to delayed iatrogenic hypothyroidism (80). From a technical standpoint regarding preservation of parathyroid function, and a developmental standpoint regarding iatrogenic hypothyroidism, experienced surgeons report little benefit to delaying thyroidectomy beyond 3–5 years of life.

**RECOMMENDATION 6**

Infants with ATA-D mutations (MEN 2B) should undergo prophylactic total thyroidectomy as soon as possible and within the first year of life in an experienced tertiary care setting. Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 7**

Children with ATA-C mutations (codon 634) should undergo prophylactic total thyroidectomy before they are 5 years old in an experienced tertiary care setting. Grade: A Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 8**

In patients with ATA-A and ATA-B RET mutations, prophylactic total thyroidectomy may be delayed beyond age 5 years in the setting of a normal annual basal ± stimulated* serum Ct, normal annual neck US, less aggressive MTC family history, and family preference. Surgery is indicated if all of these features are not present. For higher risk mutations (ATA-B), consider treatment before age 5 years in an experienced tertiary care setting, regardless of other factors. Grade: B Recommendation

[B6] RET testing in asymptomatic people (In clinically asymptomatic people with normal thyroid physical examinations, who should undergo RET testing and why?). Ideally, the initial individual to undergo RET testing in any family would be an affected individual with features of MEN 2. Once a germline RET mutation has been identified in a family, genetic counseling and RET mutation analysis should be offered to all first-degree relatives (96,97). Offspring of a RET mutation–affected individual have a 50% risk of inheriting the mutation. Additional risks to members of the kindred are dependent on the relation to a known mutation carrier. Because the absence or presence of the family’s mutation in a relative is so important to their future care, some experts advocate that the test be repeated to confirm the result. In the absence of affected individuals available for testing (due to death or other barriers) within an affected kindred to determine the presence of a causative RET mutation, testing can be offered to unaffected individuals; however, the limitations of such testing need to be carefully discussed with the individual to be tested.

**RECOMMENDATION 9**

Once a germline RET mutation has been identified in a family, RET mutation analysis should be offered to all first-degree relatives of known mutation carriers which should be done before the age of recommended prophylactic thyroidectomy whenever possible. Grade: A Recommendation

Additionally, testing of exon 10 should be considered in individuals with Hirschsprung disease (46). Although mutations are distributed throughout the gene, and some prefer sequencing of all exons in this setting, the most important clinical decision for Hirschsprung disease is whether they also have an activating exon 10 mutation which would confer risk of MEN 2.

*See footnote, page 574.*
RECOMMENDATION 10
Testing of exon 10 for activating RET mutations should be considered in individuals with Hirschprung disease. Grade: A Recommendation

[B7] RET testing methodologies (Is all RET testing the same? How is this testing optimally done?). A review of the laboratories listed in the GeneTests directory identifies 38 laboratories that are currently performing DNA analysis of RET for MEN 2A, MEN 2B, and familial or sporadic MTC (98). All of the laboratories listed use direct sequence analysis for mutation identification with or without the addition of target mutation analysis for selected hotspots. Although their approaches differ slightly, nearly all evaluate patients for mutations in the five most commonly mutated codons in exons 10 and 11 (C634R, C609, C611, C618, and C620) (46). Multiple laboratories additionally sequence exons 13, 14, 15, and/or 16, while only a few include exon 8. Typically, the cost of the analysis increases as more exons are sequenced. A few laboratories sequence the entire coding region of RET, but at a substantially higher cost, and this is likely to be more testing than most patients require. Some laboratories (98) use a two-tiered approach to the analysis, starting with sequence analysis of the most commonly mutated “hotspot” exons and, at the request of the ordering physician, sequencing the remaining exons of RET if the initial analysis is negative (99,100). Tiered approaches are at risk of failing to detect rare double mutations. For example, there are a few reports suggesting that codon 804 mutations in conjunction with a second variant in RET could be associated with MEN 2B (71–73,101). Unfortunately, the phenotype is not particularly well documented in these reports.

RECOMMENDATION 11
Analysis of the MEN 2-specific exons of RET is the recommended method of initial testing in either a single or multi-tiered approach. Grade: A Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 12
Sequencing the entire coding region of RET to identify MTC causative mutations is not recommended as the initial testing method (Grade: E Recommendation). However, it should be done when the analysis using the recommended method is negative in the clinical setting of MEN 2 or when there is a discrepancy between the genotype and phenotype. Grade: B Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 13
Testing of patients with MEN 2B should include analyses to detect the M918T (exon 16) and A883F mutations (exon 15) present in virtually all of these patients. Grade: A Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 14
In the clinical setting of MEN 2B and negative testing for M918T and A883F mutations, sequencing the entire coding region of RET should be performed. Grade: B Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 15
Until the phenotype of MEN 2B associated with codon 804 mutations in conjunction with a second variant in RET is clarified, these patients and mutation carriers should be treated similarly to those with the more typical MEN 2B RET-causing mutations. Grade: C Recommendation

[B8] Genetic testing: privacy vs. notification of potentially affected family members. In a physician–patient relationship the duty to warn third parties of risk has been established in the case of Tarasoff et al. v Regents of the University of California, defined as the “duty to act to prevent foreseeable harm” (102). However, as of 2006, only three legal cases regarding disclosure of genetic information have been brought to trial, two of which are specific to testing for cancer predisposition syndromes that take into account the duty to warn as well as the right to confidentiality (103–105). The case of *Pate v Threlkel* (104), a case assessing duty to warn in an instance of FMTC tried in New Jersey, determined that “a physician can fulfill the duty to warn by notifying the patient of the risk the disorder poses to family members with the patient expected to pass the warning, and to require the physician to seek out at risk relatives would place too heavy a burden upon the physician.” However, in *Safer v the Estate of Pack* (105), a case assessing duty to warn in a family with familial polyposis syndrome, it was ruled that there was “no impediment, legal or otherwise, to recognizing a physician’s duty to warn those known to be at risk of avoidable harm from a genetically transmissible condition. In terms of foreseeability especially, there is no essential difference between the type of genetic threat at issue here and the menace of infection, contagion, or a threat of physical harm.” Thus, the law appears to have taken divergent views on the issue in these two cases under the two different jurisdictions.

Current accepted standards of clinical practice, existing as established professional guidelines, are extremely varied and provide room for interpretation with each case. These guidelines range from prohibiting direct communication between a patient’s physician and their relatives, to allowing contact under special considerations regardless of patient consent. The American Medical Association and American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines take into consideration the belief that the confidentiality of genetic testing is an absolute with no exceptions, and that the duty to warn at-risk relatives falls to the moral obligation of the patient, owing to the belief that the physician’s foremost obligation is to the patient directly (106,107). However, many guidelines do allow for disclosure of results to at-risk individuals without the patient’s consent, particularly when efforts to obtain consent have failed; when the information disclosed will prevent serious harm; when there is no other reasonable alternative to preventing harm; and precautions are made to only disclose the appropriate information. The World Health Organization, the American Society of Human Genetics, and the National Human Genome Research Institute, as well as many other national and international groups, have adopted this view (108). Probably superseding all of these opinions, guidelines, and case law are the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act privacy regulations that make few exceptions for disclosure to inform or warn family members of genetic risk (109–111).
setting of formal genetic counseling. This notification should include the seriousness of the disease and available forms of treatment and prevention. The highest recommendation should be made that the patient pass this warning to potentially affected family members, and the opportunity for genetic counseling and testing of these individuals should be provided. Conversely, physicians should not disclose confidential genetic or medical information without the patient’s permission. When a patient or family refuses to notify relatives of their risk or to provide testing or treatment to legal dependents, the physician may involve the local medical ethics committee and/or legal system. Grade: C Recommendation

[B9] Reproductive options of RET mutation carriers. Both preimplantation and prenatal testing are available to individuals with MEN 2 (112–115). These testing options rely on identification of the familial RET mutation prior to fetal or embryonic testing. Prenatal testing can be performed in the first or second trimester via chorionic villus sampling or amniocentesis, respectively. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) is an in vitro fertilization technique that isolates and tests a single embryonic cell for single-site RET testing. The unaffected embryos are then transferred to the uterus. Therefore, PGD has the potential to remove the disease from the family as only embryos without a RET mutation are implanted.

The role of PGD in adult-onset disease remains controversial; it is generally offered for syndromes that have a young age of onset with significant cancer risk and associated morbidity or mortality. With an average age of onset under 30 years of age for ATA level B–D mutations (63) (and cases of metastatic MTC reported in the first months of life in MEN 2B), and a >90% lifetime risk for MTC and up to 57% risk for PHEO, PGD may be an option for individuals with MEN 2 and a known RET mutation (114,115).

While a couple may not wish to proceed with prenatal or preimplantation diagnosis, the clinician may have a “duty to warn” and at the minimum, notify the couple that these options are available should they be interested, according to the case of Meier v Malloy (103,115).

RECOMMENDATION 17

All RET mutation carriers of childbearing age should be considered for counseling about the options of prenatal or preimplantation diagnostic testing. Grade: C Recommendation

[B10] Possibility of inherited disease in RET mutation–negative MTC patients and families (How should RET-negative MTC patients/families be advised about the possibility of inherited disease?). Patients with sporadic MTC tend to have unifocal disease, later age of onset, and absence of CCH (116–121). The probability that an individual with an apparent sporadic MTC will be found to have a RET mutation is about 1–7% (2,75,76,122–124). If one assumes a probability of ≤7%, and a detection of RET mutations in 95% of MEN 2A and 2B individuals and 88% in FMTC individuals, then the remaining risk of a patient with apparently sporadic MTC still actually having hereditary MTC despite no RET mutation being identified is <1% [prior probability × (1 – mutation detection frequency)] (2). Thus, additional testing of the patient or family for the development of MEN 2 features is not necessary. Conversely, in the rare family meeting clinical criteria for MEN 2A or 2B, or FMTC in the absence of a RET mutation, first-degree relatives of an affected individual have a 50% risk for inheriting the familial syndrome.

RECOMMENDATION 18

In a family meeting clinical criteria for MEN 2A or 2B, or FMTC despite negative sequencing of the entire region of the RET oncogene, at-risk relatives should be periodically screened for MTC (neck US, basal ± stimulated* Ct measurement) and associated PHPT (albumin-corrected calcium or ionized calcium) and/or PHEO (plasma free metanephrines and normetanephrines, or 24-hour urine metanephrines and normetanephrines) as indicated by the family phenotype. Screening should continue at 1–3 year intervals at least until the age of 50 years or 20 years beyond the oldest age of initial diagnosis in the family, whichever is latest. Grade: C Recommendation

[B11] Preoperative testing of asymptomatic RET mutation–positive patients for MTC, PHPT, and PHEO. In clinically asymptomatic patients with a normal thyroid physical examination and documented RET mutation (Fig. 1), what are the roles of preoperative testing for MTC (Ct and cervical US, Table 6), PHPT, and PHEO? In such patients, the primary issues influencing their clinical care are the likelihood they have metastatic MTC, PHPT, and/or PHEO. The risk of metastatic MTC in the youngest MEN 2A children undergoing prophylactic thyroidectomy under age 5 years is very low (84), while there are less data regarding MEN 2B children operated at less than 1 year of age (55,58,67–70,96,125,126). Thus, the value of Ct or US testing in MEN 2A and FMTC children under age 5 years has not been established. Alternatively, of the published MEN 2B cases that include postoperative data, about half of the children operated by 1 year of life have demonstrated persistent disease. Unruh et al. (67) described a 9-week-old MEN 2B child with a preoperative Ct of 1150.9 pg/mL. The child was treated with a total thyroidectomy, which demonstrated CCH and microcarcinoma, and excision of three central nodes (apparently benign). Two months postoperatively the serum Ct was 14.1 pg/mL. Nine months later the Ct was 18.7 ng/mL and bilateral neck dissection showed 39 benign lymph nodes and a postoperative Ct of 31.1 ng/mL. This case demonstrates several issues in the youngest MEN 2B patients: 1) postnatal “prophylactic” thyroidectomy to prevent metastatic disease is not possible in all patients, 2) a potential benefit to prophylactic lymph node dissection has not been demonstrated, and 3) the role of the preoperative Ct level in these children is not established. The influence of age on serum Ct is discussed below under the heading Effects of age or sex on the normal Ct range.

RECOMMENDATION 19

Children with MEN 2A or FMTC who are to undergo prophylactic thyroidectomy before 5 years of age may undergo preoperative Ct and cervical US assessment when

*See footnote, page 574.
>3 years old, whereas children older than 5 years require them because of the possibility of metastatic MTC, which would change their clinical management. Caution should be used in interpreting Ct values in children less than 3 years old, and especially in those during the first 6 months of life. Grade: B Recommendation

### RECOMMENDATION 20

Children with MEN 2B who are to undergo prophylactic thyroidectomy before age 6 months may undergo preoperative Ct assessment, whereas older children require it. Cervical US should be done in MEN 2B children as soon as possible. These tests are recommended because of the possibilities of metastatic MTC and of test results changing clinical management. Caution should be used in interpreting Ct values in children <3 years old, and especially those in the first 6 months of life. Grade: B Recommendation

### RECOMMENDATION 21

When it is decided to delay prophylactic thyroidectomy beyond the first 5 years of life in children with MEN 2A/FMTC:

- A. Basal serum Ct testing and cervical US should be performed annually starting by 5 years of age. Grade: B Recommendation

- B. The role of annual Ct stimulation* testing in these patients is less certain but may be performed. Grade: C Recommendation

Childhood PHEO (127–129) is rare in MEN 2. The vast majority of MEN 2 PHEOs are intra-adrenal and benign (63). PHEO has been reported at 12 years of age for both the 918 and 634 RET mutations (59,63). However, PHEO has occurred in younger children; 8 and 10 years old with 634 RET mutations (JF Moley and RF Gagel, respectively, personal communications, February 9, 2009). Of the ATA-B mutations, including the 609 mutation, the youngest have been 19 years old (85), while the youngest ATA-A mutation has been age 28 years (63). From a series of 206 PHEO patients, Machens et al. (63) reported that the 5th percentile for age of PHEO diagnosis in those with RET mutations was in the third and fourth decades of life, depending on the mutation (63). They concluded that annual screening for PHEO may be warranted from age 10 years in carriers of RET mutations in codons 918, 634, and 630, and from age 20 years in the remainder. Data suggest that measurement of plasma or urinary fractionated metanephrines is the most accurate screening approach for PHEO (130). There is a lack of consensus with respect to imaging the abdomen periodically for PHEO in the absence of abnormal metabolic screening (2).

### RECOMMENDATION 22

Screening abdominal imaging for PHEO is not recommended in the absence of symptoms or biochemical data suggesting the tumor, except for the rare urgent need to exclude PHEO. Grade: D Recommendation

### RECOMMENDATION 23

Symptoms or signs consistent with catecholamine excess, or an adrenal mass, should prompt biochemical testing for a PHEO. Grade: B Recommendation

### RECOMMENDATION 24

In the absence of symptoms or an adrenal mass to suggest the possibility of PHEO, surveillance (including preoperative testing) should include annual plasma free metanephrines and normetanephrines, or 24-hour urine collection for metanephrines and normetanephrines beginning by age 8 years in carriers of RET mutations associated with MEN 2B and in codons 630 and 634, and by age 20 years in carriers of other MEN 2A RET mutations. Patients with RET mutations associated only with FMTC (Table 5) should be screened at least periodically from the age of 20 years. Grades: B Recommendation for genotype–phenotype distinctions, and C Recommendation for the frequency of testing.

### RECOMMENDATION 25

Because of the high risk to the fetus and mother, women with a RET mutation associated with MEN 2 should be biochemically screened for PHEO prior to a planned pregnancy or as soon as possible during an unplanned pregnancy. Grade: B Recommendation

Childhood PHPT (131–134) is rare in MEN 2. In two large studies of MEN 2A patients affected by PHPT the median age at diagnosis was 38 years (133,134). Skinner et al. (59) reported children 13 and 18 years of age with PHPT from a series of 38 MEN 2A children.

### RECOMMENDATION 26

Surveillance for PHPT should include annual albumin-corrected calcium or ionized serum calcium measurements (with or without serum intact-parathyroid hormone [PTH]) beginning by age 8 years in carriers of RET mutations in codons 630 and 634, and by age 20 years in carriers of other MEN 2A RET mutations, and periodically with RET mutations associated only with FMTC (Table 5) starting from age 20 years. Grades: B Recommendation for genotype–phenotype distinctions, and C Recommendation for the frequency of testing.

**[B12] Sources of Ct assay interference.** Accurate and consistent measurements of serum Ct levels are of critical importance for the evaluation and long-term follow-up of patients with MTC. Over the past decade, commercial assay methods for Ct have progressed to the newest two-site, two-step chemiluminescent immunometric assays (ICMAs) that are highly specific for monomeric Ct. With two-site Ct-ICMAs, cross-reactivity or change in results due to procalcitonin; related peptides; hyperparathyroidism (135); pregnancy or lactation (136–138); inflammation, infection, or sepsis (139–141); bilirubin; hemolysis or hemoglobin; and lipemia all appear to be minimal (142–144).

Mild elevations in basal and pentagastrin-stimulated Ct levels may occur with CCH (145), autoimmune thyroiditis

---

*See footnote, page 574.*
RECOMMENDATION 26

It should be recognized that minimal or mild elevations in serum Ct may be seen in multiple clinical settings including CCH, renal failure, and autoimmune thyroiditis. Elevated Ct levels may occur from nonthyroidal neuroendocrine neoplasms and heterophilic antibodies. Falsely low Ct levels may occur in the setting of heterophilic antibodies and the "hook effect." Grade: B Recommendation

[B13] Effects of age or sex on the normal Ct range. Considerable variability among commercial assay results (142) indicates a need to follow individual patients with the same assay over time. Laboratories should report the assay being used and notify clinicians of changes in methodology when they occur. If the method changes, optimally, Ct levels should be measured using both the current and prior methods to allow for a "re-baselining" of values. Conversely, if an unexplained change occurs in the Ct levels in a patient, a change in laboratory method should be considered as a potential cause. Current reference ranges vary with sex and are higher in men than women (142,144,169), possibly due to more Ct bodies and the "hook effect." Grade: B Recommendation

[B14] Surgery for the youngest MEN 2B patients (Fig. 1). The youngest MEN 2B patients are <1 year of age. The age of MTC onset is much earlier in MEN 2B than in MEN 2A and FMTC (60,63). Foci of MTC may be present in infancy and nodal metastases can become apparent in early childhood (59,60,64,65,67,78). For these reasons, it is recommended that genetic testing be done as soon as possible after birth in at-risk infants (Table 6), and that thyroidectomy be performed in MEN 2B RET-positive individuals as soon as possible and within the first year of life if possible (Table 6, Fig. 1). It should be noted, however, that this opportunity is uncommon given the rarity of MEN 2B and that more than 50% of cases are de novo germline RET mutations diagnosed much later in life (18,60). Children undergoing thyroid or parathyroid surgery have higher complication rates than adult patients that are minimized when surgeries are performed by high volume surgeons (95). This emphasizes that it is important that the surgeon operating on infants be experienced, and familiar with the recurrent laryngeal nerve and parathyroid gland management in young children. The parathyroid glands are very small and translucent in infants. Proper identification and handling is critical to avoiding hypoparathyroidism. Nodal metastases may already be present, and a thorough central neck dissection may require removal and autotransplantation of parathyroid glands, a technique in which
the surgeon should have expertise. While an elevated Ct level may indicate the presence of MTC, and high levels are consistent with metastases (94), the role, interpretation, and value of preoperative Ct and other biochemical or imaging tests in MEN 2B children <1 year old is unclear as published data have largely described older MEN 2B children with elevated Ct levels prior to thyroidectomy (58–60,64,176). While some have advocated for prophylactic central neck dissection (with or without lateral neck dissections) in the youngest MEN 2B children (12,58,59,64), its unproven benefits must be balanced against the risk and serious management challenge of hypoparathyroidism in this age group.

**RECOMMENDATION 32**
MEN 2B patients undergoing prophylactic thyroidectomy within the first 1 year of life should have this procedure performed in an experienced tertiary care setting, and preservation of parathyroid function should be given a high priority. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 33**
Prophylactic level VI central compartment neck dissection may not be necessary in MEN 2B patients who undergo prophylactic thyroidectomy within the first year of life unless there is clinical or radiological evidence of lymph node metastases or thyroid nodules >5 mm in size (at any age), or a serum basal serum Ct > 40 pg/mL in a child >6 months old; all of which suggests the possibility of more extensive disease that requires further evaluation and treatment (see Fig. 1). Grade: E Recommendation

[B15] Surgery for the youngest MEN 2A or FMTC patients (Fig. 1). The youngest MEN 2A and FMTC patients are 3–5 years of age. In the setting of a normal thyroid examination, it is not clear that these children are benefited by preoperative measurement of Ct, calcium, or neck US because the rates of metastases or PHPT are so low. Still, many clinicians prefer to obtain a preoperative basal serum Ct. If the basal Ct level is less than 40 pg/mL, it is unlikely that lymph node metastases are present (80,94,177). Frank-Raue et al. (80) reported that only one of their five patients who had persistent or recurrent disease after undergoing prophylactic thyroidectomy had a preoperative Ct < 40 pg/mL. Scheuba et al. (178) evaluated 97 patients with MTC ≤ 1 cm and reported one patient (1%) with lymph node metastases and a basal serum Ct < 40 pg/mL. Thus, when the preoperative serum Ct is < 40 pg/mL then a total thyroidectomy without central (level VI) neck dissection may be adequate therapy. In this procedure, all thyroid tissue should be removed. This includes the tubercle of Zuckerkandl, pyramidal lobe, and all superior pole tissue. If a thyroid US demonstrates a nodule > 5 mm in size, or the basal Ct level is over 40 pg/mL (which is unlikely in this age group), there is a higher risk of lymph node metastases (94), and further evaluation prior to intervention is warranted (see Fig. 1). All efforts must be made during surgery to prevent hypoparathyroidism.

**RECOMMENDATION 34**
MEN 2A or FMTC patients who undergo prophylactic thyroidectomy within the first 3–5 years should have this procedure performed in an experienced tertiary care setting, and preservation of parathyroid and recurrent laryngeal nerve function should be given a high priority. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 35**
MEN 2A or FMTC patients undergoing prophylactic thyroidectomy within their first 3–5 years should not undergo prophylactic level VI compartmental dissection unless there is clinical or radiological evidence of lymph node metastases, or thyroid nodules > 5 mm in size at any age, or a basal serum Ct > 40 pg/mL (see Fig. 1). Grade: E Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 36**
In MEN 2A or FMTC, the clinical or radiological evidence of lymph node metastases or thyroid nodules ≥5 mm in size at any age, or a serum basal serum Ct of >40 pg/mL when >6 months old, suggests the possibility of more extensive disease that requires further evaluation and treatment (see Fig. 1). Grade: B Recommendation

[B16] Preoperative imaging and biochemical testing to evaluate for MTC in older RET mutation–positive patients (Fig. 1). Older asymptomatic MEN 2A and FMTC patients are those >5 years of age, while for MEN 2B this cut-off is lowered to >1 year of age. Over these cut-offs, there is an increased possibility that MTC may have already developed and possibly metastasized. In these patients, evaluation should include physical examination, serum Ct, and neck US. The neck US should evaluate the thyroid, as well as the lymph nodes of the superior mediastinum, the central neck, and the lateral neck compartments. Experienced ultrasonographers have a high sensitivity to identifying cervical metastases in adults, especially in the lateral neck, whereas experience with childhood MTC is more limited. Machens et al. (94) reported from their series that nodal metastases began to be seen with serum Ct levels of 40 pg/mL, and primary tumors diameters as small as 5 mm. In MTC, the initial site of metastases is typically to cervical lymph nodes. Cervical lymph node metastases, as well as extra-thyroidal extension, are predictors of distant metastases. The basal serum Ct can also indicate the risk of distant metastases (94).

**RECOMMENDATION 37**
In asymptomatic MEN 2A and FMTC patients who present at age >5 years and asymptomatic MEN 2B patients who present at age >1 year, preoperative basal serum Ct and neck ultrasonography should be performed. Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 38**
In asymptomatic MEN 2A and FMTC patients who present at age >5 years and asymptomatic MEN 2B patients who present at age >1 year, further evaluation prior to surgery and more extensive surgery are needed if the basal serum Ct is >40 pg/mL, if thyroid nodules are ≥5 mm, or if suspicious lymph nodes are identified on neck US. Grade: B Recommendation

[B17] Surgery for the older MEN 2B patients without evidence of cervical lymph node metastases and normal or minimally elevated Ct levels (Fig. 1). Identification of an MEN 2B patient >1 year old with all thyroid nodules <5 mm, normal-
appearing cervical lymph nodes on US, and a serum Ct level $<40 \text{pg/mL}$ would be unusual. Most MEN 2B patients are diagnosed later in life with markedly elevated Ct levels and obvious lymph node metastases (58,59,64,176,179). Two recent series report that the mean age of diagnosis among their MEN 2B patients as 13–14 years old (70,180). In one of these series, 2 of 5 (40%) children operated at age $<5$ years versus only 1 of 20 (5%) children who were $\geq 5$ years old at surgery were biochemically cured of MTC (70). In a third series of 18 MEN 2B patients (64), the oldest child with disease status of T1NOM0 or less was 3.4 years old. These reports are consistent with other series showing that among MEN 2B children who undergo surgery by age 10 years old about half have cervical lymph node metastases (59,60,64) while still others will demonstrate recurrent or persistent disease during follow-up such that only about one-quarter remain free of disease.

**RECOMMENDATION 39**

In an MEN 2B patient $>1$ year old with all thyroid nodules $<5 \text{mm}$ on US and with a serum Ct level $<40 \text{pg/mL}$, a total thyroidectomy is recommended. Grade: A Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 40**

In an MEN 2B patient $>1$ year old with all thyroid nodules $<5 \text{mm}$ on US and with a serum Ct level $<40 \text{pg/mL}$, inadequate data are available to guide decisions on prophylactic lymph node dissections. Based on expert opinion, the Task Force favored a prophylactic central neck dissection (recognizing that this would likely require autotransplantation of at least the inferior parathyroid glands), without lateral compartment neck dissection except in the setting of radiographic or clinically proven metastases to these regions. Grade: C Recommendation

[B18] Surgery for the older MEN 2A or FMTC patients without evidence of cervical node metastases and normal or minimally elevated Ct levels (Fig. 1). In an MEN 2A or FMTC patient $>5$ years old with all thyroid nodules $<5 \text{mm}$ on neck US and with a serum Ct level $<40 \text{pg/mL}$ a prophylactic thyroidectomy is indicated. However, there is no evidence to compel prophylactic lymph node dissections because nodal metastases are unlikely when the basal serum Ct level is $<40 \text{pg/mL}$ (80,94,178). Lymph node metastases are uncommon under the age of 11 years (3%), and when they are present their resection may result in long-term biochemical remission in only about one third of these patients, while 6% of all children undergoing central neck dissection may suffer hypoparathyroidism (81). During the thyroidectomy, all thyroid tissue should be removed including the tubercle of Zuckerkandl, the pyramidal lobe, and all superior pole tissue. If the basal Ct level is $>40 \text{pg/mL}$, there is a higher risk of lymph node metastases, and further evaluation is indicated (see Fig. 1).

**RECOMMENDATION 41**

In an MEN 2A or FMTC patient $>5$ years old with all thyroid nodules $<5 \text{mm}$ on neck US and with a serum Ct level $<40 \text{pg/mL}$, a total thyroidectomy is recommended. The age when this is performed is based on the ATA risk level (Tables 5 and 6). Grade: B Recommendation

[B19] Diagnostic testing for RET mutation–positive patients suspected of having metastases based on imaging or serum Ct level.

[B20] Management for normal parathyroid glands resected or devascularized during surgery. Normal parathyroid glands can be accidentally removed or devascularized during thyroidectomy or central neck lymph node dissection procedures. In all instances, the operating surgeon should be experienced at localizing the parathyroid glands, especially in children, and have expertise with parathyroid autotransplantation. Normal parathyroid tissue should be left in the patient whenever possible, either on an adequate vascular pedicle in situ, or if that is not possible, transplanted into the neck or forearm (181). The location to place the transplanted tissue is determined by the type of RET mutation present. Patients with a strong family history of PHPT, or with a RET mutation associated with a significant risk of PHPT (Table 5), should have the parathyroid tissue placed in the forearm. If the patient has MEN 2B, FMTC or a mutation with a low risk of PHPT (Table 5), the grafts may be placed in the sternocleidomastoid muscle.

[B21] Treatment for PHPT in MEN 2A. For the patient who has not had prior neck surgery and has PHPT diagnosed at the time of planned thyroidectomy, surgical options include resection of just the visibly enlarged glands (with a forearm...
from one gland in situ (with a forearm autograft), and total parathyroidectomy with forearm autograft (81,182–184). It is argued that forearm parathyroid autografting should always be performed when parathyroid tissue is removed unless a functioning forearm autograft is known to already be present. This is because of the increased risk that subsequent neck operations will be needed (typically for recurrent MTC) and the remaining in situ parathyroid tissue may not be identified and preserved; resulting in permanent hypoparathyroidism. Importantly, most MEN 2A patients with PHPT have undergone prior thyroidectomy (prophylactically or therapeutically for MTC) with or without a complete level VI dissection. Such patients who then develop PHPT should not undergo a neck exploration without preoperative localization (e.g., US, sestamibi, computed tomography [CT]), and in general, only localized, hypertrophied parathyroid glands should be excised. Forearm parathyroid autografting should be performed unless a functioning forearm autograft is known to already be present, even if intra-operative PTH values suggest the presence of additional parathyroid tissue in the neck. This is because of the risk for MTC recurrence and the need for subsequent neck operations at which time all remaining parathyroid tissue in the neck may be removed with the tumor specimen and not recognized as parathyroid tissue. The result would be permanent hypoparathyroidism; an avoidable complication in most MEN 2A patients if autografting is performed at the first opportunity.

Considering medical therapy, calcimimetics increase the sensitivity of parathyroid calcium-sensing receptors to extracellular calcium, thereby reducing PTH secretion. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study has assessed the ability of the oral calcimimetic cinacalcet HCl to achieve long-term reductions in serum calcium and PTH concentrations in patients with PHPT. Cinacalcet rapidly normalized serum calcium and reduced PTH in these patients and these effects were maintained with long-term treatment (185). Cinacalcet may be an effective, nonsurgical approach for management of PHPT, but whether or not these data are applicable to MEN 2A–associated PHPT is uncertain, and data regarding outcomes such as fractures, kidney stones, and cardiovascular disease are not available. However, medical therapy is likely to have an increased role in patients with persistent or recurrent PHPT, and in those who are suboptimal surgical candidates.

**RECOMMENDATION 47**

Because of the high rate of biochemical cure of PHPT in MEN 2A with surgery, initial surgical therapy is preferred to medical therapy, in the absence of contraindications such as excessive surgical risk or limited life expectancy. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 48**

Surgical management of PHPT at the time of initial thyroidectomy should always be performed if the diagnosis of PHPT is established. Surgical options include resection of just the visibly enlarged glands (with a forearm autograft), subtotal parathyroidectomy leaving one or a piece of one gland in situ (with a forearm autograft), and total parathyroidectomy with forearm autografting. Because of the risk for permanent hypoparathyroidism following one or more neck operations in patients with MEN 2A, combined with the frequent delay in autograft function, forearm parathyroid autografting should always be performed with the initial PHPT surgery. Most experts avoid total parathyroidectomy unless all four glands are obviously abnormal and preservation of an in situ parathyroid remnant is not possible. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 49**

For patients who are found to develop PHPT after a prior thyroidectomy, operative management should be directed parathyroid surgery and based on the findings from pre-operative parathyroid localization studies. Forearm parathyroid autografting should always be performed unless a functioning forearm autograft is known to already be present, even if intra-operative PTH values suggest the presence of additional parathyroid tissue in the neck. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 50**

Medical therapy to control PHPT in MEN 2A should be considered in patients with high risk of surgical mortality, limited life expectancies, and persistent or recurrent PHPT after one or more surgical attempts for cure. Grade: C Recommendation

**[C] Initial diagnosis and therapy of clinically apparent disease**

Fine-needle aspiration biopsy (FNA) of thyroid nodules is one of the most useful, safe, and accurate tools in the diagnosis of thyroid pathology. Chang and colleagues (186) investigated the pitfalls in the diagnosis of MTC by FNA. Cytomorphology was reviewed in the FNA slides of 34 patients with proven MTC. Eighty-two percent of cases were diagnosed correctly as MTC by FNA, three cases were misdiagnosed as follicular neoplasm and one as desmoid, and two cases were suspicious for MTC. Thus, FNA would have indicated the need for surgery due to lack of benign findings in essentially all of these patients. Similarly, Papaparaskeva et al. (187) reported that FNA findings indicated the need for surgery in 99% of their MTC cases, and diagnosed MTC in 89%. They reported that the most important cytomorphic criteria of MTC with FNA were dispersed cell-pattern of polygonal or triangular cells, azurophilic cytoplasmic granules, and extremely eccentrically placed nuclei with coarse granular chromatin and amyloid. Bugalho et al. (188) reported the sensitivity of FNA for MTC as 63%, compared to a sensitivity of 98% for serum Ct. However, while only 9% of patients might have escaped surgery based on FNA results, attention to the central neck compartment may have been diminished in a greater number due to the lack of suspected MTC.

Elisei and colleagues (189) reported the results of Ct screening in 10,864 patients with thyroid nodular disease. The prevalence of MTC found by Ct screening was 0.40%. A positive Ct test had a higher diagnostic sensitivity and specificity compared with FNA. Ct screening allowed the diagnosis of MTC at an earlier stage compared to an unmatched control group diagnosed with MTC that did not undergo Ct screening. Normalization of serum Ct levels (undetectable) after surgery was more frequently observed in the Ct-screened group. At the end of follow-up, complete remission was observed in 59% of the Ct-screened group and in 2.7% of the control group ($p = 0.0001$).
Currently, the use of serum Ct to complement FNA in making the diagnosis of MTC remains controversial. It is recognized that basal (20–100 pg/mL) and pentagastrin stimulated serum Ct levels (>100–500 pg/mL) may be used to identify patients with MTC, but with imperfect cut-off levels to optimize sensitivity and specificity (190,191). Karges et al. (3) recommended that to exclude MTC, serum Ct should be determined in patients with nodular thyroid disease. If basal serum Ct exceeds 10 pg/mL, Ct should be analyzed by pentagastrin stimulation testing, after renal insufficiency and proton pump inhibitor medication have been excluded as confounding contributors to the Ct level. Karges et al. (3) report that the risk for MTC is higher than 50% in patients with stimulated Ct values >100 pg/mL. Conversely, they reported that pentagastrin-stimulated Ct values <100 pg/mL are associated with a low risk of MTC, or very rarely, non-metastasizing micro-MTC (size <10 mm) (3). However, pentagastrin is not available for stimulation testing in the United States and many other countries. Costante et al. (190) reported that the positive-predictive value of basal Ct to diagnose MTC with values ≥20 and <50 pg/mL, 50 and <100 pg/mL, and ≥100 pg/mL were 8.3%, 25%, and 100%, respectively.

Given that MTC is present in about 0.3–1.4% of patients with thyroid nodules (189,191–199), routine serum Ct measurement in all patients with thyroid nodules has raised concerns of cost-effectiveness, especially when many of the operated patients would not have disease based on the imperfect specificity if a cut-off was chosen that optimized sensitivity. Additionally, the clinical significance and natural history of MTC diagnosed by Ct screening is unknown. However, cost-effectiveness studies in the United States have been favorable (200,201), although these models are quite sensitive to multiple important decision analysis variables, including cost of the Ct test, such that assuming a relatively small increase in cost could substantially alter the conclusions. Recent studies utilizing Ct measurement from thyroid nodule FNA washings may significantly improve this testing accuracy (202,203), although some questions of cost-effectiveness are likely to remain. However, the low rate of cure once disease is present outside of the thyroid gland bolsters interest in early diagnosis and treatment.

### RECOMMENDATION 52

This Guideline defers the recommended approach to thyroid nodules, including FNA and serum Ct testing, to the ATA Guideline that addresses thyroid nodules (5). However, if obtained, a basal or stimulated* serum Ct level ≥100 pg/mL should be interpreted as suspicious for MTC and further evaluation and treatment should ensue (Fig. 2). Grade: A Recommendation

*See footnote, page 574.

[C1] Preoperative laboratory testing for presumed MTC when an FNA or Ct level is diagnostic or suspicious for MTC (Fig. 2). Preoperative laboratory testing has three purposes: first, to predict the extent of metastatic disease because this will determine the extent of preoperative imaging and may alter the surgical approach (Fig. 2); second, to identify the comorbid conditions of PHPT and/or PHEO in MEN 2 that would alter the surgical approach and surgical priorities; and third, to identify RET mutation carriers so that testing of appropriate family members can allow for early diagnosis and treatment of affected individuals.

Although a variety of substances including carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), chromogranin A, Ct gene-related peptide, adrenocorticotropic hormone, amyloid, somatostatin, serotonin, and vasoactive intestinal peptide can be produced by MTC tumor cells, Ct is the main biochemical marker used for detection, staging, postoperative management, and prognosis in patients with MTC. Very rarely Ct may not be elevated in the serum despite clinically apparent MTC (204).

Concomitant PHPT is unlikely in the absence of MEN 2A, however, because measurement of serum calcium is readily available and because RET oncogene testing results may not be available before surgery (although when possible, the germline RET mutation status of the patient should be determined prior to surgery), preoperative measurement of serum calcium is prudent. Similarly, PHEO is unlikely in the absence of MEN 2. However, because PHEO would alter operative planning it is critical that this tumor be excluded or treated prior to surgery for MTC. Completion of both the adrenal and neck surgeries during the same anesthesia induction may be considered.

### RECOMMENDATION 53

Preoperative evaluation of patients presumed to have MTC (when an FNA or Ct level is diagnostic or suspicious for MTC) should include serum measurements of basal Ct, CEA, and calcium (albumin-corrected or ionized); and RET protooncogene analyses. PHEO preoperative screening should begin by age 8 years for those with MEN 2B, and mutated RET codons 634 and 630; and by age 20 years for other RET mutations. Exclusion of PHEO may include any of the following tests: 1) negative RET protooncogene analysis and family history; 2) negative plasma free metanephrines and normetanephrines, or negative 24-hour urine metanephrines and normetanephrines; 3) negative adrenal CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Grade: A Recommendation

[C2] Evaluation and treatment of PHEO. Routine PHEO screening was discussed above. The high risk of anesthesia and surgery in the presence of a PHEO dictates that its management takes the highest surgical priority. In the setting of MTC, the presence of an extra-abdominal PHEO is rare.

### RECOMMENDATION 54

Patients with MTC and elevated plasma free metanephrines or normetanephrines, or elevated 24-hour urine metanephrines or normetanephrines should undergo adrenal imaging for PHEO with MRI or CT scan. Grade: A Recommendation

### RECOMMENDATION 55

In the setting of MTC, routine extra-abdominal imaging for PHEO is not indicated. Grade: E Recommendation
PHEO should be surgically resected after appropriate preoperative preparation and prior to surgery for MTC or PHPT, preferably by laparoscopic adrenalectomy. Grade: A Recommendation

One study documented that 22% of patients experienced several episodes of Addisonian crisis, including a death, after bilateral adrenalectomy. The authors concluded that adrenal-sparing adrenalectomy and close monitoring of the remnant may be the treatment of choice for hereditary bilateral PHEO in MEN 2A, since overall recurrence is low (205).

Cortical-sparing adrenal surgery may be considered in patients requiring surgery when there is only one remaining adrenal gland, or when bilateral PHEOs are present. Grade: C Recommendation

Preoperative imaging for presumed MTC when an FNA or Ct level is diagnostic or suspicious for MTC (Fig. 2). Preoperative imaging is indicated because local neck or distant metastatic disease may change the operative approach. The sensitivity of intra-operative palpation to detect lymph node metastases by experienced surgeons is only 64% (117). Lymph node metastases are present in >75% of patients with palpable MTC (117,119). In the setting of an experienced ultrasonographer, neck US is the most sensitive test to detect local metastases in the cervical compartments and upper aspect of the superior mediastinum (206). However, it is common that a higher number of malignant lymph nodes are removed surgically during compartmental lymph node dissections than were visualized preoperatively with US, which demonstrates the reduced sensitivity of all diagnostic maneuvers to localize the smallest lymph node metastases.

Patients with distant metastases are viewed as incurable, and the goals of locoregional surgery may differ from the goals of surgery in patients with less extensive disease. Distant metastases most commonly affect the bones/bone marrow, liver, and lungs (207). Metastases to brain and skin are less common and associated with multisystemic disease and poor 1-year survival (208). Liver metastases often appear similar to hepatic hemangiomas with calcifications (209). Unfortunately, radiographic detection of distant metastatic disease is unlikely when the preoperative Ct level is <250 pg/mL (210). Machens et al. (94) found that radiographically identifiable distant metastases began to appear in the primary surgery setting at a preoperative basal serum Ct level of 400 pg/mL and at primary tumor diameters of 12 mm. In the setting of the primary surgery, the risk of radiographically detectable distant metastases exceeded 50% at preoperative basal serum Ct levels of 15,000 pg/mL, and primary tumor diameters of 50 mm (94). Distant metastases were almost always present when preoperative basal serum Ct levels were >100,000 pg/mL or the primary tumor diameter was >60 mm (94). The cumulative risks of distant metastases did not differ between sporadic and hereditary MTC (94).

Giraudet et al. (206) reported that the most sensitive methods to detect metastases in the neck was US followed by contrast-enhanced CT. CT was most sensitive to detect lung and mediastinal lymph node metastases. Contrast-enhanced MRI was the most sensitive to detect liver metastases. Axial MRI and bone scintigraphy were complementary and most sensitive to detect bone metastases. Fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG PET) was less sensitive than these modalities to identify metastases. Oudoux et al. (211) also found that CT was more sensitive than FDG PET for the lung and liver, and that MRI of the spine and pelvis was more sensitive than FDG PET to detect bone and bone marrow metastases. However, FDG PET was more sensitive than CT to detect disease in the neck and mediastinum in their series. While correlated, Ct doubling time (DT) and the CEA DT are better predictors of tumor progression than is the FDG PET maximum standardized uptake value (SUVmax) (211,212).

Unfortunately, no single test provides optimal whole-body imaging. This Task Force concluded that a comprehensive preoperative imaging strategy was not practical, and probably was not necessary to guide initial therapy as nearly all patients with residual disease postoperatively can be identified biochemically and selected then for further evaluation.

Preoperative neck US is recommended for all patients when an FNA or Ct level is diagnostic or suspicious for MTC. Grade: A Recommendation

Preoperative chest CT, neck CT, and three-phase contrast-enhanced multidetector liver CT or contrast-enhanced MRI is recommended for all patients with suspected MTC when local lymph node metastases are detected (N1), or the serum Ct is >400 pg/mL. Grade: C Recommendation

FDG PET imaging and somatostatin receptor imaging are not recommended for routine initial screening for MTC metastases in patients when an FNA and/or Ct level is diagnostic or suspicious for MTC. Grade: E Recommendation

Surgery for MTC patients without advanced local invasion or cervical node or distant metastases (Fig. 2). These patients have not undergone prior thyroidectomy, have no evidence of cervical lymph node metastases by physical examination and cervical US. MTC has a high rate of lymph node metastases (117,119) that are suboptimally detected preoperatively in the central compartment by US or intra-operatively by the surgeon (117), and re-operation is associated with a higher rate of surgical complications (119). For these reasons, most authors advocate for a total thyroidectomy and prophylactic central neck dissection in the setting of clinically detected MTC (12).

Patients with known or highly suspected MTC with no evidence of advanced local invasion by the primary tumor, no evidence cervical lymph node metastases on physical examination and cervical US, and no evidence of distant metastases should undergo total thyroidectomy and prophylactic central compartment (level VI) neck dissection. Grade: B Recommendation
Because of the low rate of biochemical cure in patients with lymph node metastases or large primary tumors, there is diminished enthusiasm for prophylactic lateral neck dissections. Indeed, Machens et al. (94) reported that the cumulative rates of biochemical remission (basal and pentagastrin-stimulated serum Ct <10 pg/mL) in node-negative MTC patients declined to 50% when the preoperative basal serum Ct levels was >300 pg/mL, or the primary tumor measured more than 10 mm. Overall, 38% of node-negative MTC patients who undergo extensive surgery failed to achieve normal postoperative serum Ct levels, suggesting early radiographically occult distant metastases (94). In node-positive patients, only 10% achieved postoperative basal and pentagastrin-stimulated serum Ct levels <10 pg/mL, which did not happen when the preoperative basal Ct level was >3000 pg/mL or the tumor was >40 mm in diameter (94). The correlation with biochemical remission was better for basal than for pentagastrin-stimulated serum Ct levels. About 3.3% of patients that achieve biochemical remission are likely to demonstrate biochemical recurrence over the subsequent 0.7 to 7.5 years (213). In addition, lateral neck compartmental dissection can be associated with long-term cosmetic and functional consequences. Thus, in the current era of high resolution neck imaging, lateral neck dissection (levels IIA, III, IV, V) may be best reserved for patients with positive preoperative imaging, although a minority of the Task Force favored prophylactic lateral neck dissection when lymph node metastases were present in the adjacent paratracheal central compartment.

[C5] Surgery for MTC patients with limited local disease and limited or no distant metastases (Fig. 2). Limited local disease is considered <T3 and ≤N1b lymph node status with subcentimeter lymph node metastases including those with minor extra-nodal extension (Table 4). Limited distant metastases are typically subcentimeter in size but may also include macroscopic distant metastases when they are few in number. Significant differences in survival times are present between patients who achieve complete remission, those with biochemically persistent disease postoperatively, and those with distant metastases (214). Unfortunately, most MTC patients with metastases to regional lymph nodes are not biochemically cured despite aggressive surgery to include bilateral neck dissection. Modigliani et al. (40) demonstrated in multivariate analysis that age and stage were independent predictive factors of survival, whereas the type of surgery was not. However, in patients with persistent elevations in Ct levels, survival was still good: 80.2% and 70.3% at 5 and 10 years, respectively. Similarly, Pelizzo et al. (12) demonstrated in multivariate analysis that age, stage, and extent of surgery were independent predictive factors of survival; with more extensive surgery correlating with a worse prognosis. Leggett et al. (215) demonstrated that an increased number of lymph nodes resected was associated with improved survival in node-positive patients by categorical (1 lymph node versus >1 lymph node), but not continuous, multivariate analysis. This finding was interpreted to indicate a finite benefit to increasing the number of lymph nodes resected with patient outcome being dominated by patient age and tumor size. Machens et al. (94) reported a 10% rate of normalization of postoperative basal Ct levels in node-positive MTC patients. Metastases in 10 or more lymph nodes, or involvement of more than two lymph node compartments nearly precludes normalization of serum Ct (119,216,217). Unfortunately, lymph node involvement is common and the incidence of lateral compartment lymph node metastases is related to the incidence of central compartment lymph node metastases. Machens et al. (218) reported that the rate of ipsilateral lateral compartment lymph node metastases when no central compartment lymph node metastases were present, 1–3 central lymph node metastases were present, or when ≥4 central lymph nodes were present was 10.1%, 77%, and 98%, respectively. The rate of contralateral lateral compartment lymph node metastases when no central compartment lymph node metastases were present, 1–9 central lymph node metastases were present, or when ≥10 central lymph nodes were present was 4.9%, 38%, and 77%, respectively. However, resection of local disease may decrease the risk of local recurrence (13,119,219), and clearance of the central compartment may prevent future complications such as invasion into the recurrent laryngeal nerve or aerodigestive track with resulting loss of speech or swallowing (12). For these reasons, most authors suggest that if metastatic lymph nodes are identified, then a compartment-oriented lymph node dissection should be done (12,81,94,220–224).

[RECOMMENDATION 62] MTC patients with suspected limited local metastatic disease to regional lymph nodes in the central compartment (with a normal US examination of the lateral neck compartments) in the setting of no distant (extra-cervical) metastases, or limited distant metastases should typically undergo a total thyroidectomy and level VI compartmental dissection. A minority of the Task Force favored prophylactic lateral neck dissection when lymph node metastases were present in the adjacent paratracheal central compartment. Grade: B Recommendation

[RECOMMENDATION 63] MTC patients with suspected limited local metastatic disease to regional lymph nodes in the central and lateral neck compartments (with US-visible lymph node metastases in the lateral neck compartments) in the setting of no distant metastases, or limited distant metastases should typically undergo a total thyroidectomy, central (level VI), and lateral neck (levels IIA, III, IV, V) dissection. Grade: B Recommendation

[RECOMMENDATION 64] In the presence of distant metastatic disease, less aggressive neck surgery may be appropriate to preserve speech, swallowing, and parathyroid function while maintaining locoregional disease control to prevent central neck morbidity. Grade: C Recommendation

[C6] Surgery for MTC patients with advanced local disease or extensive distant metastases (Fig. 2). In most patients, the surgical goal is thyroidectomy, level VI compartmental dissection, and therapeutic (clinical or image-positive) lateral neck dissection. However, in the presence of extensive distant metastases or advanced local features, the goals of surgical therapy are typically more palliative with attention to
minimizing complications, such as hypoparathyroidism, and maintaining normal speech and swallowing. These patients should additionally be considered for clinical trials, and other palliative therapies including surgery, external beam radiation therapy (EBRT), and hepatic embolization. In patients with infiltrative central neck disease that does not involve the trachea or esophagus, the extent of local surgery—especially with respect to unilateral resection of the recurrent laryngeal nerve when the tumor or associated nodal disease can not be completely separated from the nerve—remains controversial even among the expert panel. In patients with high volume extra-cervical metastatic disease, preservation of speech and swallowing is the major goal of therapies (to include surgery) directed at the neck. In patients with small volume extra-cervical metastatic disease, or disease confined to the neck, a more aggressive approach to locally invasive central compartment disease may be more appropriate to prevent local tumor recurrence; in some patients, resection of a unilateral recurrent laryngeal nerve and the use of adjuvant EBRT may be appropriate.

In patients with locally invasive MTC that involves the trachea, thyroid cartilage, and/or esophagus, the extent of extirpative surgery performed in the neck (palliative debulking, laryngectomy, esophagectomy, laryngopharyngectomy, or observation alone) is influenced by an assessment of the patient’s life expectancy based on the extent of extra-cervical metastatic disease and other medical comorbidities. The desire for the short-term maintenance of speech and swallowing is balanced by the concern for long-term locoregional disease control and critical to this balance is the estimated duration of survival. These decisions may be best individualized by an experienced multidisciplinary treatment team.

**RECOMMENDATION 65**

In the presence of advanced local or distant disease, less aggressive neck surgery may be appropriate to maintain local disease control while preserving speech, swallowing, and parathyroid function. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 66**

In patients with extensive distant metastases a palliative neck operation may still be needed when there is pain, or evidence of tracheal compromise and the need to maintain a safe airway. Otherwise, in the setting of moderate to high volume extra-cervical disease, neck disease may be observed and surgery deferred (Task Force opinion was not unanimous). Grade: C Recommendation

**[C7] Thyrotropin suppression therapy in MTC.** Differentiated epithelial cell–derived thyroid cancers are thyrotropin (TSH)-dependent and suppression of TSH is desirable to arrest or retard the rate of cell growth (5). By contrast, C-cell tumors are not TSH-dependent and there is no evidence that thyroxine (T4) therapy aimed at TSH suppression reduces recurrences or improves survival of patients with MTC. Serum TSH should be measured 2–3 months postoperatively, and T4 dose adjusted to keep the TSH in the normal range.

**RECOMMENDATION 67**

Replacement rather than suppressive T4 therapy with target serum TSH levels between 0.5 and 2.5 mIU/L is recommended for patients with MTC. Grade: B Recommendation

**[C8] Somatic RET testing in sporadic MTC.** The presence of somatic RET mutations in sporadic MTC cells is about 40–50% (35–37), however, these tumors are heterogeneous and meticulous microdissection studies have shown somatic RET mutations in up to 80% of sporadic MTCs, most commonly 918ATG → ACG (225,226), and less frequently at codon 634 and others (37).

There are two main reasons to consider genotyping sporadic MTCs. First, that tumor mutation status may predict its response to therapy. Many of the new small molecule therapeutics are designed to target RET, or its downstream pathways, thus theoretically patients whose MTCs contain somatic RET mutations, compared to those whose MTCs do not, might be more likely to respond to these compounds. So far, however, this hypothesis has not been proven in MTC. Moreover, because many of the tyrosine kinase inhibitors entering clinical trials at present inhibit multiple kinases, the precise mechanisms by which they may inhibit tumor growth and/or progression may not be predicted by RET mutations.

A second reason to consider analyzing sporadic MTCs for somatic RET mutations is that some studies have demonstrated that tumors with an identifiable RET mutation have a more aggressive course than those without RET mutations (36,37,227). However, others have not found this association (226). Recently, Elisei et al. (37) reported that the presence of a somatic RET mutation in sporadic MTC was associated with larger tumor size, the presence of nodal and distant metastases, and advanced stage at diagnosis. On multivariate analysis of all prognostic factors correlated with persistent disease or death, only advanced stage at diagnosis and the presence of a RET mutation showed an independent correlation. However, it is not clear how knowledge of the somatic RET mutational status would change patient management, or how this predictor of the clinical course compares to others such as the Ct or CEA DTs.

**RECOMMENDATION 68**

Currently, sporadic MTC tumors should not be routinely evaluated for somatic RET mutations, although agreement amongst the Task Force was not unanimous. Grade: D Recommendation

**[D] Initial evaluation and treatment of postoperative patients**

**[D1] Postoperative staging systems.** Postoperative staging is helpful in separating low-risk from high-risk patients with MTC. The updated (2002) American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)/International Union Against Cancer (UICC) staging system has been applied to MTC by a number of investigators. The TNM system utilizes tumor size, extra-thyroidal invasion, nodal metastasis, and distant spread of the cancer (Table 4). However, the TNM classification lacks important prognostic factors such as gradations of age, the postoperative Ct level, or the postoperative Ct and CEA DTs. Indeed, Barbet et al. (228) reported that only the Ct DT remained an independent predictor of survival by multivariate analysis.
RECOMMENDATION 69
Postoperatively, the TNM classification (Table 4) and other factors, such as the postoperative Ct level and the Ct and CEA DTs, should be used to predict outcome and to help plan long-term follow-up of patients with MTC (Figs. 3 and 5). Grade: C Recommendation

[D2] **Completion thyroidectomy and lymph node dissection after hemithyroidectomy** (Fig. 4). Occasionally, a patient undergoes a hemithyroidectomy and the diagnosis of MTC is made postoperatively. Patients with (or at risk) of residual metastatic or contralateral MTC are likely to benefit from completion thyroidectomy, including (bilateral) central compartment lymph node dissection. The incidence of multifocal MTC in heritable MEN 2 is about 75% (116–119), while in sporadic MTC the incidence is 0–22% (116,119–121). Additional patients likely to benefit from further surgery would include those treated with a hemithyroidectomy who have an elevated postoperative serum Ct value. However, the ideal postoperative Ct cut-off value is unknown. Pelizzo et al. (12) advocated for completion thyroidectomy and lymphadenectomy if the postoperative serum Ct remained detectable; however, the outcome of this procedure in their patients was not reported. Using the Advantage system (Nichols Institute

FIG. 3. Initial evaluation and treatment of postoperative patients.

1Parathyroid glands resected or devascularized should be autografted in the neck in RET-negative, MEN 2B, and FMTC patients, while MEN 2A glands should be autografted to a heterotopic site.
2Consider external beam radiation of T3 disease to prevent recurrent local disease.
3Observation of nontreating locoregional disease ≤1 cm may be considered.

FIG. 4. Management of MTC after hemithyroidectomy.

1Neck US to include the superior mediastinum and central and lateral neck compartments.
Diagnoses), Basuyau et al. (144) found the 95th percentile of adult serum Ct to be 5.2 and 11.7 ng/L in women and men, respectively (144). In the setting of a hemithyroidectomy, one may expect the normal range in women and men to be approximately half of these values. For simplicity, the upper normal value of the reference range may be a reasonable cut-off value. Patients with a post-hemithyroidectomy serum Ct levels above this cut-off should likely be evaluated and treated according to Fig. 2. However, there is an absence of data on which to base these conclusions, and the decision to follow a patient with sporadic MTC after hemithyroidectomy without central lymph node dissection should be made on an individual basis weighing the potential risks and benefits of additional surgery. During follow-up, a rise in the basal serum Ct should prompt additional imaging (Fig. 5).

**RECOMMENDATION 70**
Patients with MTC diagnosed after hemithyroidectomy (including those with microscopic MTC) should undergo RET oncogene testing, measurement of serum Ct, and neck US. Grade: A Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 71**
Additional testing and therapy (to likely include completion thyroidectomy and central lymph node dissection) should be offered if histology shows multicentric tumor, CCH, extra-thyroidal extension, or positive surgical margin; when neck US is suspicious for persistent locoregional disease in the contralateral thyroid lobe, or central or lateral neck compartments; when RET mutation is positive; or when family history is positive for MEN 2. See Fig. 2. Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 72**
Patients treated with hemithyroidectomy who demonstrate unifocal intrathyroidal sporadic MTC confined to the thyroid who have no CCH, negative surgical margin, and no suspicion for persistent disease on neck US may be considered for additional surgery or follow-up without additional surgery if the basal serum Ct is below the upper normal of the reference range more than 2 months after surgery (Fig. 5). Those with a basal serum Ct above the normal reference range should undergo additional testing and therapy (to often include completion thyroidectomy and central lymph node dissection) per Fig. 2. Grade: B Recommendation

**[D3]** Laboratory testing after resection of MTC (Fig. 3). Measurements of the serum markers Ct and CEA are important in the follow-up of patients with MTC, and reliably reflect the presence and volume of disease in the vast majority of patients (229). These tumor markers typically require several months after surgery to achieve their nadir, although even longer time frames have been reported (229,230).

**RECOMMENDATION 73**
MTC serum tumor markers (Ct and CEA) should be measured 2–3 months postoperatively. Grade: B Recommendation

**[D4]** Testing and treatment of patients with undetectable postoperative basal serum Ct (Fig. 5). Serum Ct is a sensitive marker of residual MTC. In a study of 214 athyreotic patients following thyroidec- tomy for differentiated thyroid carcinoma, the Ct concentrations were below the detection limit in all patients (231). In addition to basal serum Ct, a pentagastrin (or calcium when pentagastrin is not available) stimulated* Ct level may be obtained (232). When both the basal and the stimulated serum Ct are undetectable the patient is in complete biochemical remission and has about a 3% chance of biochemical recurrent disease during follow-up (213). Modigliani et al. (40) reported that biochemical cure (using a less stringent definition) was predicted solely by disease stage, rather than type of surgery, and predicted a survival rate of 97.7% at 10 years.

Pentagastrin is not available in the United States and calcium stimulation testing is widely held to be inferior. The role of stimulation testing has diminished in recent years in the absence of pentagastrin and the improved sensitivity of basal serum Ct. Currently, when only the stimulated Ct level is detectable, the volume of residual disease is very low, unlikely to be found by imaging, and unlikely to be resolved with additional therapy.

**RECOMMENDATION 74**
When the postoperative basal serum Ct is undetectable (along with an undetectable stimulated serum Ct if performed, although the majority of the Task Force felt it was unnecessary), the risk of persistent or recurrent residual disease is low, and other tests or imaging techniques are not immediately required and the patient may enter into long-term follow-up. A neck US may be considered to establish a baseline. Grade: E Recommendation

**[D5]** Testing and treatment of patients with a detectable, but modestly elevated postoperative basal serum Ct (Fig. 3). Modest Ct levels after surgery are considered detectable, yet <150 pg/mL. These levels may be associated with only locoregional disease. Distant metastases are also possible (212), but when associated with modest serum Ct levels they are usually of small size or in limited number, and are difficult to detect (210,233). An example of the average relationship between tumor volume and serum Ct concentration is the report by Wells and colleagues (79) that 1 cm³ of MTC primary tumor was associated with a serum Ct level of approximately 1000 pg/mL (79). However, there are rare patients with poorly differentiated MTC that is associated with limited Ct levels despite large tumor deposits. They may be suspected based on their disproportionately high CEA levels and rapid CEA DTs, although even more rare MTC patients are described that lack elevation of both serum Ct and CEA (234).

Localization of disease should start with careful examination by neck US (235). If suspicious lymph nodes are detected, FNA should typically be performed to confirm the diagnosis. Recently, several studies have suggested that measurement of Ct in the FNA washout fluid from suspected local recurrences and lymph node metastases may have higher sensitivity and specificity (203).

*See footnote, page 574.
Other optional imaging techniques include neck and chest CT, liver three-phase contrast-enhanced CT or contrast-enhanced MRI, liver US, bone scintigraphy, bone MRI of the spine and pelvis, 18F-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) PET, and FDG-PET (206,207,209,233,236–241). The sensitivity of these tests range between 50% and 80% in localizing the disease, but is likely to be significantly lower in the setting of modest serum Ct values (233,240,241). Anti-CEA antibodies have been used for imaging and therapy (207,242), while scintigraphy with several tracers—such as somatostatin analogs (243–247), metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG), dimercapto-succinic acid (DMSA), and gastrin—are usually less sensitive (233,248,249), and have also been used for therapy (250,251).

**RECOMMENDATION 75**
Postoperative MTC patients with detectable serum Ct levels <150 pg/mL should be evaluated with neck US. Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 76**
In addition to neck US, postoperative MTC patients with detectable serum Ct levels that are <150 pg/mL may be considered for additional imaging to serve as baseline examinations for future comparison even though these studies are usually negative. Alternatively, this additional imaging can be deferred and subsequently implemented should the serum Ct rise over time. Grade: C Recommendation

Regarding treatment, the surgical principles outlined for initial surgical therapy largely apply. Whenever the residual or recurrent disease has been localized in the neck, there is the opportunity for a second surgical procedure. Several series have reported experience with repeat neck surgery for MTC (252–257); however, there have been no randomized trials whereby patients have been staged and randomized to treatment versus observation alone cohorts. In most of the series, about one third of patients have their basal or stimulated plasma Ct levels in the “normal range” after re-operation, while the number achieving an undetectable serum Ct level using a sensitive assay is less clear. Long-term outcomes in these patients have been fairly good, with excellent prevention of recurrence in the central neck, and durable reductions in Ct levels reported by some groups. Perhaps the most encouraging results were reported by Fialkowski et al. (258) from a series of 148 patients who had re-operations for recurrent or residual disease.

![Diagram](image-url)
MTC. Compartmental “microdissection” was performed on all patients, as described by Tissel et al. (255). Four patients died of their disease while 50 patients were alive with 8–10 year follow-up data available; 23 of 50 patients (46%) had basal Ct levels greater than or equal to their basal Ct levels prior to re-operation. Thirteen of 50 (26%) had basal Ct levels at least 30% lower than their basal preoperative levels, and 14 of the 50 (28%) had basal Ct levels of <10 pg/mL. Overall, 6 of 54 patients (11%) had an undetectable serum basal Ct level at their last follow-up evaluation. A total of 25 of the 54 (46%) patients had a basal serum Ct level <100 pg/mL at their last evaluation and none had any radiologic evidence of recurrence. Kebebew et al. (253) reported on 33 MTC patients who underwent 46 re-operations for locoregional residual MTC, and only two (6%) had postoperative undetectable basal Ct levels. These two patients had relatively low preoperative basal Ct levels of only 53 ng/L and 322 ng/L, and only three of their resected lymph nodes demonstrated metastases. On balance, re-operation with curative intent has a place in the management of patients with persistent or recurrent MTC localized to the neck which may prevent clinical or radiographic tumor recurrence; however, the majority of these patients will not become biochemically free of disease.

**RECOMMENDATION 77**

Surgical resection of locoregional recurrent or persistent MTC in patients without distant metastases or with minimal distant metastases should include compartmental dissection of image or biopsy positive disease in the central (level VI) or lateral (levels IIA, III, IV, V) neck compartments. Removal of only grossly metastatic lymph nodes, or other limited procedures, should be avoided in the absence of extensive prior surgery in that compartment. Grade: B Recommendation

When there is no anatomic evidence of disease, despite detectable serum Ct, the best option is observation (259). Empiric surgical procedures aimed to remove all the lymph nodes of the neck and the mediastinum have been proposed, but the results have largely been disappointing. These procedures may yield no tumor resection and are unlikely to provide a biochemical remission (80).

**RECOMMENDATION 78**

In the absence of residual anatomically identifiable disease (neck US and CT) in a thyroidectomized patient with a measurable Ct level who has not previously undergone a level VI compartmental dissection, an empiric central compartment dissection may be considered but remains controversial. Grade: C Recommendation

In case of distant metastases (M1), observation or palliative therapy (including surgery) may be considered. It is important to remember that these patients are incurable, and the risks and benefits of any procedure should be carefully considered. Considerations typically include the location of the lesion(s), their risk for harm, and their rate of growth (if known). These issues are further addressed below under the heading Management of persistent or recurrent MTC.

[D6] Testing and treatment of patients with a significantly elevated postoperative basal serum Ct (Fig. 3). Significantly elevated Ct levels after surgery are defined as >150 pg/mL. The higher the Ct level, the greater the chance that the patient has demonstrable distant metastases (212). The evaluation of these patients is similar to when the basal postoperative Ct is detectable but limited (<150 pg/mL); however, a search for distant metastases is now emphasized (Fig. 3). Machens et al. (94) reported that distant metastases began appearing at preoperative basal serum Ct levels of 150 pg/mL in patients undergoing re-operation. The cumulative risks of identifiable distant metastases exceeded 50% at preoperative basal serum Ct levels of 5000 pg/mL in the re-operative setting. In the re-operative setting, distant metastases were almost always found when the preoperative basal serum Ct level exceeded 20,000 pg/mL. Similarly, Giraudet et al. (212) reported that in patients with one, or two to four sites of distant metastases the median Ct values were 1510 pg/mL (21–6000 pg/mL) and 18,450 pg/mL (276–247,000 pg/mL), respectively (212).

**RECOMMENDATION 79**

In addition to neck US, postoperative MTC patients with serum Ct levels ≥150 pg/mL should undergo additional imaging techniques to evaluate for distant metastases (see Fig. 3). Grade: Recommendation B

The concepts regarding therapy for postoperative MTC patients with serum Ct levels ≥150 pg/mL are similar to those outlined for initial therapy (Fig. 2) (260). However, these patients typically require consideration of locoregional disease control and may require palliation of distant metastases that ideally would benefit from an effective systemic therapy. Unfortunately, while several chemotherapeutic protocols have been proposed, all of them have limited value, with remission (usually partial) reported in no more than 30% of the cases, and usually short lasting. Stabilization of the disease has been reported in several series, but due to the slow evolution of the disease, it is difficult to definitively attribute the stabilization to the therapy rather than to the natural history of the disease alone. In many MTC patients with metastatic disease, the locoregional disease is small (<1 cm) and nonthreatening, and distant metastases are asymptomatic and stable. For these patients, immediate intervention is of unknown benefit and they may be observed. Current highly sensitive neck imaging is increasingly identifying small lymph node metastases that can be challenging for the surgeon to find and remove in their entirety, especially from neck compartments that have previously been operated. Many surgeons have arbitrarily considered 1 cm as a clinically appropriate size for lymph node metastases to consider re-operation. Percutaneous ethanol injection of locoregional MTC has been utilized (261) and may be a useful tool for locoregional disease control, although it is unclear exactly where and when it is best utilized. Rapid progress in drug development may allow more meaningful systemic therapy for MTC patients in the future should they demonstrate progressive disease. Treatment with somatostatin analogs or interferon has shown no convincing evidence of tumor stabilization or regression (262).

**RECOMMENDATION 80**

In postoperative MTC patients with serum Ct levels ≥150 pg/mL with small (<1 cm) locoregional lymph node metastases that are nonthreatening, and with no evidence of distant metastases, immediate intervention is of un-
known benefit and such lymph nodes may be observed or undergo re-operative compartmental dissection of image or biopsy-positive compartments. Grade: C Recommendation

- **RECOMMENDATION 81**
  In postoperative MTC patients with serum Ct levels $\geq 150$ pg/mL with small ($<1$ cm) locoregional metastatic disease that is asymptomatic and non-threatening, and with distant metastases, immediate intervention towards the locoregional disease is of unknown benefit and such lymph nodes may be observed. Grade: C Recommendation

- **RECOMMENDATION 82**
  Postoperative MTC patients with serum Ct levels $\geq 150$ pg/mL with symptomatic and/or progressive locoregional disease $>1$ cm should be considered for locoregional therapy (e.g., surgery), while those with symptomatic distant metastases should be considered for clinical trials and palliative therapies such as surgery, EBRT, percutaneous interventions, and hepatic embolization. Grade: B Recommendation

- **RECOMMENDATION 83**
  The routine use of cytotoxic chemotherapy should be discouraged in patients with MTC. It may be considered for selected patients with rapidly progressive disease not amenable to clinical trials or other palliative therapies discussed below under Management of persistent or recurrent metastatic MTC. Grade: E Recommendation

- **RECOMMENDATION 84**
  Somatostatin analogs are ineffective to control tumor growth and typically should not be considered for this purpose. Grade: F Recommendation

[D7] Role of postoperative radioiodine ablation. In the past a few reports suggested that metastatic MTC could be identified by radioactive iodine (RAI) scanning and, therefore, could be treated by RAI. However, it seems likely that metastatic differentiated epithelial cell–derived thyroid carcinoma may have been erroneously diagnosed as MTC. Others considered the possibility that RAI uptake into nearby follicular cells may provide therapy to adjacent MTC via a “bystander effect” (263,264). However, recent studies do not confirm that RAI treatment plays a role in the postoperative management of patients with MTC, either as remnant ablation or treatment of residual, recurrent, or metastatic disease (248).

- **RECOMMENDATION 85**
  Postoperative radioactive iodine is not recommended for patients with MTC in the absence of concomitant epithelial cell–derived differentiated thyroid cancer. Grade: E Recommendation

[E] Management of persistent or recurrent MTC

[E1] Goal of management of patients with metastatic MTC: choosing when metastases require treatment. All patients with a history of cancer, especially one that is incurable and progressive, benefit from good psychological support. The ideal goal for all cancer patients would be to be free of disease without morbidity. Unfortunately, that is currently unlikely in patients with metastatic MTC because multifocal, and often multi-organ, metastases frequently develop early in the course of the disease. More realistic aims of current therapy are...
palliative and strategically prophylactic. The goals of managing patients with persistent or recurrent metastatic disease are to provide locoregional disease control; palliate the symptoms of hormonal excess (such as diarrhea or Cushing’s syndrome); palliate symptomatic distant metastases (such as pain); and control distant metastases that threaten to cause harm (such as impending bronchial obstruction, fracture, or spinal cord compression). The availability of new therapeutic compounds may change the management of metastatic disease in the future.

Metastases are often discovered at an early stage because detectable Ct levels lead to a compulsive search for them. When distant metastases are discovered, the initial decision is to choose which lesions require therapy. This decision must balance the usual slow rate of progressive disease and the prolonged life expectancy with good quality of life that many patients enjoy against the limited efficacy and potential toxicities of available local and systemic therapies.

**RECOMMENDATION 87**

Active treatment is most often indicated in patients with lesions in critical locations such as brain metastases, impending or active central nervous system compression, airway compromise, symptomatic lesions, hormonal secretion, and impending or active fracture of a weight bearing bone. Grade: A Recommendation

[E2] Management of patients with metastatic MTC: determining tumor burden and rate of progression using sequential imaging and tumor marker DTs. Patients requiring active treatment typically include those with either a large tumor burden and/or a rapid rate of progression. Tumor burden is estimated from a complete imaging work-up (Fig. 5) (206,211,241). The progression rate can be assessed using response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST) on sequential imaging examinations or using a surrogate marker, such as Ct DT and CEA DT that are closely related to the progression rate (62,212,228,268,269). The use of tumor marker results from multiple time points helps eliminate the impact of short-term tumor marker fluctuation often seen when comparing results to only the prior values. Barbet et al. (228) studied MTC patients with abnormal Ct levels after total thyroidectomy and bilateral lymph node dissection. When the Ct DT was less than 6 months, the 5- and 10-year survivals were 25% and 8%, respectively; when 6–24 months, the 5- and 10-year survivals were 92% and 37%, whereas all patients with Ct DT greater than 2 years were alive at the end of the study. TNM stage, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer score, and Ct DT were significant predictors of survival by univariate analysis, but only the Ct DT remained an independent predictor of survival by multivariate analysis. Ct DT was a better predictor than CEA DT, and Ct DT calculated using only the first four measurements was also an independent predictor of survival. Giraudet et al. (212) reported that the Ct DT and CEA DT were strongly correlated in 80% of patients, such that when they were both ≤24 or >24 months then progressive disease at 1 year was seen in 94% and 14% of cases, respectively. However, when the DTs were discordant, if only Ct DT or CEA DT was ≤24 months then progressive disease at 1 year was seen in 40% and 75% of cases, respectively, and thus determination of both DTs was recommended (212). To calculate the tumor marker DT, single exponentials are fitted to the tumor marker concentration by nonlinear least square regression. Reliable estimates are obtained using a minimum of four data points obtained over a minimum of 2 years for most patients; however, the DT of patients with DT <6 months can be reliably estimated within the first 12 months (228). To assist with these computations a tumor marker DT calculator is available on the ATA website (http://www.thyroid.org).

**RECOMMENDATION 88**

Asymptomatic patients with small volume metastatic disease that is stable to slowly progressive as determined by anatomic imaging, or Ct and CEA DT >2 years, typically do not require systemic therapy, and the decision to initiate such treatment should be made with the patient only after a thorough discussion. Grade: E Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 89**

Patients with rapidly progressive disease by anatomic imaging or biochemical DT <2 years should be considered for treatment, ideally in the context of a well-designed clinical trial. Grade: B Recommendation

[E3] Management of Ct-positive, but imaging-negative patients. Patients with detectable serum Ct levels should be imaged to detect persistent or recurrent disease as previously described (Fig. 3). In those without anatomically identified disease, long-term surveillance is indicated. The optimal timing of this follow-up has not been determined, but because the Ct and CEA DTs are predictive of tumor progression (212,228) it follows that they may be useful to determine the interval of follow-up (Fig. 5).

**RECOMMENDATION 90**

Patients with detectable basal serum Ct levels postoperatively with negative imaging should have the basal Ct and CEA levels obtained approximately every 6 months initially to determine the DTs. Ongoing follow-up of these tumor markers and physical examination should occur at one fourth the shortest DT or annually, whichever is more frequent (i.e., follow the patient every 6 months if the shortest DT is 24 months). Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 91**

In patients with detectable basal serum Ct levels postoperatively with negative imaging, if the Ct or CEA rises substantially since the previous anatomic imaging evaluation, then a neck US should be performed. The Ct elevation required to trigger this action typically depends on the baseline serum Ct and the clinical situation, but elevation by more than 20% to 100% may prompt this evaluation. If the serum Ct is >150 pg/mL then systemic imaging should be repeated as well (Fig. 5). Grade: C Recommendation

[E4] Adjunctive external beam irradiation to the neck. The benefits of EBRT are poorly evaluated and only retrospective series are available (275–281). Normalization of serum Ct after EBRT is unlikely (278). Currently, the role of EBRT in MTC is controversial; however, some evidence suggests that EBRT may improve locoregional disease control in high risk
patients, although an improvement in overall survival has not been established (275,278). The morbidity of EBRT is typically greater in the elderly.

In a patient with macroscopic residual tumor in the neck after incomplete surgery, Schlumberger and colleagues (281) advocated EBRT for local disease control. Brierley et al. (279) reported in a series of MTC patients that the local/regional relapse free rate between patients that received EBRT and those that did not was no different; however, in high risk patients (microscopic residual disease, extraglandular invasion, or lymph node involvement), the local/regional relapse-free rate was 86% at 10 years with postoperative EBRT, and 52% for those with no postoperative EBRT (p = 0.049). Similarly, Chow et al. (276) reported that in seven patients with lymph node metastasis, EBRT gave 100% (4/4) 10-year locoregional control compared with 33.3% (1/3) in those without EBRT.

After resection of extensive disease the use of EBRT is controversial in patients in whom surgery was macroscopically complete but who have persistently high Ct level in the absence of detectable distant metastases (278,281). The clinical dilemma is whether the residual disease is locoregional, distant, or both. In these patients, the benefits of EBRT to limit locoregional tumor recurrence may be counterbalanced by the fact that its morbidity may not be necessary, or that it may hamper any surgical procedure in case of clinical recurrence. Schlumberger and colleagues (281) studied 207 patients with MTC, 98 of who received EBRT. In 97 patients without distant metastases, EBRT was associated with decreased relapse in the neck only in patients with elevated postoperative serum Ct levels, even if surgery was macroscopically complete.

**RECOMMENDATION 92**

EBRT should not be used as a substitute for surgery in patients in whom neck tumor foci can be resected without excessive morbidity. Grade: E Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 93**

Postoperative EBRT to the neck and mediastinum may be indicated in patients who undergo a gross incomplete resection (R2 resection). Prior to initiating EBRT, physicians should ensure that optimal surgery has been performed as re-operation (other than major ablative procedures) is much more difficult, and may not be safely or technically possible, after EBRT. Grade: B Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 94**

Postoperative adjuvant EBRT to the neck and mediastinum may be considered in patients who are found to have microscopic positive margin(s) (R1 resection) following surgery for moderate to high volume disease involving the central compartment (level VI) and one or both lateral neck compartments (levels 2A–V). EBRT may also be considered in those who appear to have undergone a margin-negative (R0) operation in the setting of moderate to high volume disease with extra-nodal soft tissue extension of tumor when the post-operative serum Ct remains detectable in the absence of distant metastases. Physicians should be sure that optimal surgery has been performed before proceeding with EBRT. (Task Force opinion was not unanimous as some questioned the benefits of EBRT in these settings) Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 95**

Postoperative adjuvant EBRT to the neck should not be performed in an effort to treat a persistent elevation in serum level of Ct in the absence of a gross or microscopic positive margin or moderate to high volume neck disease with extra-nodal soft tissue extension. Grade: E Recommendation

**[E5] Brain metastases.** Clinically apparent brain metastases from MTC are uncommon, but are probably more prevalent than has been reported due to the lack of routine CNS imaging. The possibility of brain metastases is most often considered in patients with residual or recurrent MTC and suspicious symptoms, in the setting of extensive distant metastases, and before initiation of systemic therapy (especially in the setting of a clinical trial). No randomized clinical trials exist on which to base treatment recommendations (282–284).

**RECOMMENDATION 96**

Patients with isolated or limited brain metastases should be considered for surgical resection. EBRT (including stereotactic radiosurgery) may be indicated for brain metastases not amenable to surgery. Grade: C Recommendation

**[E6] Bone metastases.** Bone metastases may be found on anatomic or functional tumor imaging. Unfortunately, some patients present with painful bone lesions, fracture, or spinal cord compression.

**RECOMMENDATION 97**

Patients with spinal cord compression require urgent glucocorticoid therapy, surgical evaluation, and subsequent radiation oncology consultation. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 98**

Surgery is indicated in weight-bearing bone metastases with fracture or impending fracture. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 99**

EBRT should be considered to treat painful bone metastases and is indicated for clinically significant lesions that are not candidates for surgery, especially if they demonstrate disease progression or may threaten adjacent structures if they progress. Grade: C Recommendation

**RECOMMENDATION 100**

EBRT is indicated postoperatively for bone metastases that are incompletely resected. Grade: C Recommendation

Experience with osseous metastases from tumors other than MTC have demonstrated that percutaneous methods such as vertebroplasty (percutaneous osteoplasty/cementation) (285), radiofrequency ablation (286–289) ± cementation (290–292), cryosurgery (287,293), and arterial embolization (294–296) ± follow-up surgery (297), cementation (298), or EBRT (299) have been associated with pain reduction.

**RECOMMENDATION 101**

Minimally invasive percutaneous methodologies (alone or in combination) should be considered to treat painful bone
metastases, especially those that have failed or are not candidates for surgery or EBRT. Grade: C Recommendation

Asymptomatic isolated bone metastases may be surgically resected, but it is extremely uncommon for these patients to be rendered free of disease.

**RECOMMENDATION 102**
Small bone metastases that are asymptomatic and are not an immediate threat may be followed. Grade: C Recommendation

Intravenous bisphosphonates have been prescribed for painful bony metastases from other primary malignancies with some success. The use of bisphosphonates to prevent progression of existing osseous metastases has been well-studied in both hematological and solid malignancies, but there is no substantial published experience in MTC (300).

**RECOMMENDATION 103**
Recommend neither for nor against the use of bisphosphonates in the setting of MTC with osseous metastases. Grade: I Recommendation

[Lung and mediastinal metastases. Uncommonly, dominant mediastinal lesions may be considered for surgical resection. More often, lung and mediastinal lesions are left untreated or considered for clinical trials if the lesions are progressive. Lung or mediastinal lesions causing local compression of an airway or bleeding may be considered for surgery, EBRT, or radiofrequency ablation. Lesions with central airway invasion may be amenable to the addition of photo-dynamic therapy or airway stenting.

**RECOMMENDATION 104**
Lung or mediastinal lesions that are progressive should be considered for clinical trials, or focal therapy. Grade: C Recommendation

[Hepatic metastases. The liver is a major site of MTC metastases. When liver metastases are large or progressive or are associated with symptoms such as diarrhea or pain, there is a need for treatment. Single or limited large metastases requiring therapy should be surgically resected if possible. However, liver metastases are usually multiple and disseminated throughout the liver, and are usually not amenable to surgery, percutaneous ethanol ablation (261), or radiofrequency ablation (301,302), and may be best treated with chemoembolization (261,303,304) or systemic therapy (preferably within a clinical trial).

Chemoembolization has been reported to be effective in anecdotal MTC patients; in 11 patients, symptomatic improvement was observed in all, with transient remission or stabilization in 60% (303); in 12 MTC patients, 42% had partial responses and 42% had stabilization, while diarrhea improved in 40% (304). The extent of liver involvement was the main factor that influenced the results; partial responses being observed only in patients with liver involvement <30% and when metastases were <30 mm. Following a single cycle, the duration of partial responses and stabilizations was longer than 1 year. When disease progression occurred, additional therapy offered the opportunity for another partial tumor response, but of shorter duration. Despite these favorable responses, chemoembolization did not allow for subsequent curative surgery, which is typically not possible given the frequently diffuse nature of these metastases. It is important that patients have adequate liver and renal function prior to treatment. Toxicity was mild and transient, but care must be taken to exclude the presence of a PHEO, since one death was reported in a patient with an occult PHEO who was treated by this technique (303).

**RECOMMENDATION 105**
Liver metastases that are progressive, large, or associated with symptoms such as diarrhea or pain, should be considered for active treatment. The method of treatment often depends on whether the lesions requiring therapy are limited or multiple and disseminated. Grade: B Recommendation

[Palliative surgery. Surgery offers effective palliative treatment for metastatic disease in several situations. First, MTC neck metastases are frequently painful, resistant to medical treatment, and their resection may offer significant pain relief. Second, mechanical effects of bulky metastases such as acute spinal cord compression, or airway and esophageal obstruction (with coughing, dyspnea, and difficulty swallowing) can be improved by palliative resection of tumor in these areas (266). Third, the diarrheal syndrome caused by large MTC tumor burden can be alleviated by surgical tumor debulking (305,306). Another method to reduce the volume of liver metastases for palliation of diarrhea and pain is chemoembolization (303,304).

**RECOMMENDATION 106**
Palliative therapy, including surgery, should be considered for symptomatic lesions causing pain, mechanical compression, or hormonal secretion. Grade: C Recommendation

[Chemotherapy and clinical trials. Clinical trials of chemotherapeutic regimens in patients with persistent or recurrent MTC have shown limited efficacy, with best responses of partial remission generally in the range of 10–20%, and these responses are short lived. The agents yielding the best results have been dacarbazine, fluorouracil, and doxorubicin (307–313).

“Complementary” or “alternative” therapies can include a myriad of “nontraditional” approaches to promote a good attitude and healthy spirit; acupuncture and reflexology; herbs and vitamins; fasting, juicing, and other dietary practices; and nontraditional pharmacologic and biological therapies (314). Some cancer patients find that therapies can relieve some symptoms or side effects, ease pain, and enhance their lives during treatment. Unfortunately, there are no data that they enhance disease-specific survival or disease-free survival.

**RECOMMENDATION 107**
The use of standard chemotherapeutic agents should not be considered as first-line therapy for patients with persistent or recurrent MTC given the low response rates and the advent of promising new compounds entering clinical trials and other available treatment options. Grade: D Recommendation
The experience with radio-labeled molecules delivering high radiation dose is limited, but only modest responses have been reported. Iten et al. (251) studied [90Yttrium-DOTA]-TOC in MTC patients with rising Ct levels and tumor uptake on 111In-Octreoscan. Twenty-nine percent of patients demonstrated decreasing Ct levels after therapy. Thirteen percent developed hematologic toxicities and 23% developed renal toxicities. The visual grade of 111In-Octreoscan tumor uptake was not associated with treatment response or survival.

The efficacy of pretargeted radio-immunotherapy with bispecific monoclonal antibody (BsMAb) and a 131I-labeled bivalent hapten has been evaluated (211,315,316). Patients with advanced, progressive MTC (defined by short serum Ct DT) received an anti-CEA/anti-diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA)–indium BsMAb, followed 4 days later by a 131I-labeled bivalent hapten (315). Overall survival (OS) was compared with contemporaneous untreated MTC patients with comparable prognostic indicators. OS was significantly longer in high-risk, treated patients (Ct DT <2 years) than in high-risk, untreated patients (median OS, 110 v 61 months; p < 0.030). Forty-seven percent of treated patients demonstrated a greater than 100% increase in Ct DT. Treated patients with bone or bone-marrow disease had a longer survival than patients without such involvement (10-year OS, 83% vs. 14%; p < 0.023). Toxicity was mainly hematologic and related to bone or bone-marrow tumor spread.

Treatment with 131I-MIBG is generally regarded as ineffective for MTC, although some reports have described partial tumor remission or stability, improved symptoms and quality of life, and limited data suggesting prolonged survival (250,317–319).

**RECOMMENDATION 108**

Treatment with radio-labeled molecules may be considered in selected patients, ideally in the setting of a well-designed clinical trial. Grade: C Recommendation

Recently, a number of promising agents have been studied in phase I and phase II clinical trials with results presented at national scientific meetings (320–324). Several of these agents have demonstrated significant partial response rates in the range of 20–50% with a larger number of patients demonstrating stable disease. Thus, while these cytostatic agents are unlikely to demonstrate a complete remission, they have the potential to provide high rates of disease control with durable responses and relatively low toxicity. Improved quality of life, such as diminished diarrhea, is also possible. However, it is difficult to make a specific recommendation regarding their use in patients with advanced MTC, since there is little peer-reviewed published data and most of these compounds have not been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Further, long-term toxicity of these compounds needs to be investigated. Additionally, it is possible that combination therapy with two or more of these targeted agents, or a combination of a targeted agent with conventional cytotoxic chemotherapy will eventually prove more efficacious than a single targeted therapy alone.

**RECOMMENDATION 109**

Given the absence of an established effective systemic therapy for patients with advanced MTC, physicians should give high priority to facilitating enrollment of their patients into well-designed clinical trials. Grade: C Recommendation

**[E11] Symptoms, evaluation, and treatment of hormonally active metastases.** Diarrhea, ectopic corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), and ectopic adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH) are the main hormonally mediated complications of MTC. Other rare situations include the ectopic production of PTH-related peptide, insulin, and glucagon.

Diarrhea occurs most frequently in the setting of advanced disease, frequently in patients with hepatic metastases. The diarrhea may be hypersecretory (325), or due to enhanced gastrointestinal motility (326), or a combination of both. The diarrhea can be debilitating both in terms of quality of life and nutrition. Therapy with antimotility agents (such as loperamide or codeine) is first-line therapy due to their low side effects and ease of administration. Treatment with somatostatin analogues and debulking of large tumor deposits have also been employed. Somatostatin analogue therapy for diarrhea has mostly been reported in this setting in small nonrandomized cohort studies with variable results that may suggest modestly improved symptoms in some patients (327–330). The combination of somatostatin analogs with interferon alpha has been reported in single center studies to improve symptoms of flushing and diarrhea in the majority of patients (331,332), although these findings have not been confirmed by others. Local treatment of large hepatic metastases using selective arterial chemoembolization has also been reported in nonrandomized studies (303,304). Fromigue et al. (304) reported that five of their patients had diarrhea, but only two had an objective response. By contrast, Lorenz et al. (303) reported that all six of their patients with diarrhea had improvement.

**RECOMMENDATION 110**

Therapy to reduce the frequency and amount of diarrhea in the setting of MTC should be employed. Initial therapy should include antimotility agents. Alternative therapies may include treatment with somatostatin analogues and local therapies such as surgery or chemoembolization in selected cases. Grade: C Recommendation

MTCs can occasionally secrete high levels of bioactive hormones other than Ct that rarely cause clinical manifestations; typically in the setting of metastatic disease that frequently includes large hepatic metastases. The most common of these additional hormonal secretions is ACTH or CRH that can result in Cushing syndrome, which may present with hypokalemia. MTC is reported to account for up to 2–6% of all cases of ectopic Cushing syndrome (333,334). Control of cortisol levels (or action) and clinical manifestations may be achieved by debulking of large hepatic metastases (surgery or chemoembolization), by medical therapy using ketoconazole, mifepristone, aminoglutethimide (335), metyrapone (335), or mitotane (336), and/or by bilateral adrenalectomy (333,334,337–339). Treatment with somatostatin analogs is ineffective. Overall, based on individual case reports or small clinical series, the development of Cushing syndrome from MTC is associated with poor patient survival due to the progressive MTC; however, because Cushing syndrome can be severe and debilitating, treatment should be considered even in the setting of widely metastatic MTC.
RECOMMENDATION 111
Clinicians should maintain a heightened vigilance for Cushing syndrome due to tumoral production of ACTH and/or CRH from MTC. Grade: C Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 112
While MTC patients with Cushing syndrome typically have a poor prognosis, treatment should be considered even in the setting of widely metastatic MTC because the syndrome can be severe and debilitating. Grade: C Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 113
Cushing syndrome from MTC may be treated in a multimodality manner with therapy directed towards the tumor and medical therapy directed towards the Cushing Syndrome, or bilateral adrenalectomy. The choice of therapy may depend on multiple factors including the severity and the stability of the MTC and the response of the Cushing Syndrome to medical therapy. However, expert opinion of the Task Force generally favored bilateral adrenalectomy. Grade: C Recommendation

[F] Long-term follow-up and management

[F1] Goals of long-term follow-up and management of patients with and without residual disease. For patients with sporadic or familial MTC with no evidence of residual disease after initial surgery based on radiographic and biochemical testing, long-term complete remission is a realistic goal. Early detection of recurrent MTC may reduce the likelihood of local complications or the development of distant metastases, but this has not been studied in a prospective manner. In one large cooperative study of 899 patients from France in which a biochemical recurrence was defined as an elevation of Ct after postoperative Ct “normalization,” the rate was 4.9% (40). In a follow-up study from the same group utilizing a single and more sensitive Ct assay (sensitivity 2 ng/L), patients were identified who had an abnormal basal or pentagastrin stimulated serum Ct level >10 ng/L at their last visit. Of these, 3.3% were considered to have recurrent disease because they had previously demonstrated a postoperative pentagastrin-stimulated serum Ct of <10 ng/L within 6 months of surgery. Interestingly, one third of these patients had no evidence of nodal metastasis at initial surgery. The recurrences occurred at a mean of 3.2 (range 0.7–7.5 years) after the initial surgery (213). Disappointingly, about 7% of patients undergoing prophylactic thyroidectomy experience biochemical recurrence, although those children had surgery at 13 years of age or later (80). Using the more strict criteria of an undetectable basal and stimulated Ct, Skinner et al. (81) demonstrated a 2% rate of persistent disease after prophylactic thyroidectomy and a 10% rate of recurrence after 5–10 years of follow-up. Eighty percent of these patients with recurrence had no lymph node metastases found at the time of their thyroidectomy and central neck dissection.

RECOMMENDATION 114
Long-term biochemical monitoring for patients with MTC who achieve a complete biochemical cure should be performed. Grade: B Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 115
Long-term biochemical monitoring for MTC patients who achieve a complete biochemical cure should include annual measurement of serum Ct. Grade: C Recommendation

In the setting of residual MTC after appropriate initial surgical resection, it is unlikely that a complete remission will be attained. The goals of follow-up in this setting are to prevent local complications of progressive residual disease and/or limit the likelihood and/or complications of metastatic MTC. Early detection of progressive disease may reduce the likelihood of local cervical complications and also complications of distant metastases located near critical structures, such as the spinal canal.

RECOMMENDATION 116
Patients with persistent MTC should be monitored by measuring Ct and CEA levels, along with history and physical examinations. The timing of follow-up anatomic imaging may be based on the relative stability of these tests, presence or absence of symptoms, and the location of known or likely sites of metastatic deposits. Grade: C Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 117
Patients with detectable basal serum Ct levels postoperatively should have the basal Ct and CEA levels obtained approximately every 6 months to determine their DTs. Ongoing follow-up of these tumor markers and physical examination should occur at one fourth the shortest DT or annually, whichever is more frequent (i.e., follow patient every 6 months if the shortest DT is 24 months). Grade: C Recommendation

RECOMMENDATION 118
In patients with detectable basal serum Ct levels postoperatively, if the Ct or CEA rises substantially since the previous anatomic imaging evaluation, then a neck US should be performed. The Ct elevation required to trigger this action typically depends on the basal serum Ct and the clinical situation, but elevation by more than 20–100% may prompt this evaluation. If the serum Ct is >150 pg/mL then systemic imaging should be repeated as well. Grade: C Recommendation

[F2] Follow-up of patients without MTC at thyroidectomy (Fig. 5). The risk of persistent or recurrent disease after prophylactic thyroidectomy reveals normal tissue or CCH is very low (84). Skinner et al. (81) studied 50 patients with MEN 2 who underwent prophylactic thyroidectomy and central neck dissection. Sixteen of these patients demonstrated only CCH or normal pathology, and none of them demonstrated any measurable Ct after stimulation testing through a minimum of 60 months of follow-up. Conversely, 6 of their 50 patients demonstrated persistent or recurrent disease, including two patients whose pathology demonstrated only microscopic evidence of MTC and no lymph node metastases. Their follow-up stimulated Ct testing first became abnormal 5–7 years after initial therapy, while their basal levels remained undetectable.
RECOMMENDATION 119

After prophylactic thyroidectomy demonstrates no evidence of MTC, the risk of developing MTC is low, and the optimal follow-up for these patients is uncertain. Annual measurement of basal serum Ct without measurement of CEA should be considered. Less frequent testing may be considered if there is no evidence of disease after prolonged follow-up. Grade: C Recommendation

[F3] Role of stimulation testing for serum Ct. In the past and present, some MTC patients have demonstrated undetectable basal serum Ct levels that rise after stimulation testing with pentagastrin, calcium, or both (92). Stimulation testing adds cost to patient follow-up, and pentagastrin frequently causes transient unpleasant symptoms. A rise in serum Ct after stimulation suggests residual or recurrent MTC (81). However, as the functional sensitivity of the Ct assays have become lower, those patients with abnormal testing only after stimulation typically have very low levels of disease that is unlikely to be found by anatomic or functional imaging (231). It is possible that knowledge of likely residual disease may prompt the patient and physician to maintain regular surveillance for disease progression. However, given the low rate of biochemical remission in patients with metastatic MTC, the impact of this knowledge is likely to be low. Further, pentagastrin is not available in many countries, and calcium stimulation testing is accepted as inferior (although demonstrative publications are largely lacking).

RECOMMENDATION 120

Stimulated serum Ct testing may detect low levels of residual disease despite undetectable basal Ct values. Such minimal disease is currently unlikely to be able to be localized or treated, and therefore this follow-up testing is not recommended (agreement amongst the Task Force was not unanimous). Grade: D Recommendation

[F4] Management of CEA-positive, but Ct-negative patients. Laboratory factors that may result in falsely low Ct levels were discussed previously. False elevation of serum CEA may result from heterophilic antibodies (340). Other possibility for this scenario include CEA coming from a condition other than MTC or the loss of Ct production by the MTC (341, 342).

CEA may be secreted by cancers of the digestive system, lung, prostate, breast, and ovary. Benign conditions associated with CEA elevation, to varying degrees, have included bronchogenic cyst, gastrointestinal tract inflammatory disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and benign pulmonary disease.

In patients with MTC, rising CEA levels suggest progressive disease, although the vast majority of such patients also have elevated serum Ct levels (212,269). Some patients with progressive disease demonstrate an increase in CEA while the Ct levels decline, which has been considered a mark of tumor dedifferentiation (343). Rarely MTC patients are described that lack elevation of both serum Ct and CEA which is thought to reflect more advanced dedifferentiation and convey a poor prognosis (234,342).

Mendelsohn et al. (344) studied CEA and Ct in MTC by immunohistochemistry. They found that in most cases, CEA and Ct were similar in the tumors, being expressed by almost every cell. This was especially true for CCH, in early disease (microscopic MTC), and even in gross MTC confined to the thyroid. In contrast, primary and metastatic tumors from patients with invasive disease had an inverse relationship between CEA and Ct staining such that the most aggressive disease had persistent and intense CEA staining but minimal if any Ct staining. They suggested that CEA was a marker for early epithelial differentiation and therefore retained while Ct was a late marker for terminal differentiation and therefore lost.

RECOMMENDATION 121

Elevated CEA levels that are out of proportion to the serum Ct may occur from several causes, including some unrelated to MTC, which should be considered and evaluated as appropriate based on clinical judgment. Grade: C Recommendation

[F5] Lichen planus amyloidosis. Lichen planus amyloidosis (LPA) can be associated with MTC in the setting of MEN 2A with codon 634 mutations in RET (46,345–347). Verga et al. (47) reported an incidence of LPA, or pruritis without LPA, in 36% of patients with the 634 mutation. The initial classic symptom of LPA is intense pruritis between the scapulae that improves with sun exposure and worsens during periods of stress. Hyperpigmented lesions later develop believed to be secondary to the dermalomat scratching. However, amyloid in biopsies of LPA has been shown to be comprised of Ct using atomic force microscopy and MALDI-TOF analysis, suggesting a potential role for Ct in its development (348). The pruritis is often present in childhood and can pre-date the development of MTC (47,349). The pruritis can be a significant problem for patients as therapy typically provides incomplete symptom relief. Treatments have included moisturizing lotions and creams, local corticosteroids, systemic antihistamines, capsaicin, and phototherapy (47). Two patients with LPA treated with the tyrosine kinase inhibitor vandetanib experienced rapid disappearance of LPA, which reappeared with dose reduction (M. Schlumberger, personal communication, May 11, 2008).

RECOMMENDATION 122

LPA should be treated symptomatically to minimize pruritis. Grade: C Recommendation

(G) Directions for future research

Directions for future research include: 1) The refinement of the cancer phenotype (especially age-related penetrance of component features) associated with specific mutations of RET to allow for more individualized surveillance and management recommendations, including the timing of prophylactic surgery. These questions will likely be best answered in the setting of an international consortium able to accrue large numbers of patients. Further studies are needed to answer whether there are truly mutations that predispose to only FMTC, or, rather do these mutations confer lower (but not nonexistent) penetrance for PHEO and PHPT. 2) The identification of potential modifier genes and “polymorphisms” within RET on the clinical phenotype (350–356). 3) Improving murine models of MEN 2. 4) Advancing knowledge of the role of RET signaling pathways in MTC biology; including tumorigenesis and progression, to devise novel therapies (357–359). 5) Elucidating the reasons for tissue selectivity of tumors
given the “germline” mutation status present in every cell. 6) Identifying the potential role of targeting RET or its signaling cascade at the level of the gene, mRNA, and/or protein in the prevention and treatment of MEN 2 (359).

In the absence of nonsurgical methods to prevent MTC, the optimal timing of prophylactic thyroidectomy in MEN 2 and FMTCT remains an issue that may benefit from further study, particularly in identifying parameters that can identify subsets of patients in whom surgery can be safely delayed, thereby minimizing risks. Refinement of criteria that may allow the safe avoidance of central neck dissections may reduce the incidence of hypoparathyroidism and recurrent laryngeal nerve injuries. This includes a need for establishment and reporting of the normal Ct range on each Ct assay in children, especially in the first 12 months of life for the rare MEN 2B patients. Educational opportunities should be explored on how to optimally perform and interpret neck US in young children to differentiate suspicious from benign lymph nodes. The development and recognition of centralized referral centers for such rare cases would likely be of benefit, but are only practical if the medical and medical insurance communities endorsed such referral patterns. The fact that a high percentage of MEN 2B children are not cured of MTC due to both early metastases and late diagnosis suggests the need for effective therapy beyond that of extirpative surgery.

In the setting of established MTC, the optimal extent of preoperative metastatic screening is unclear. Should all patients have a significant metastatic survey preoperatively, or should this evaluation be minimized with the potential for further evaluation based on the postoperative Ct level? If a more complete preoperative evaluation is considered, should it be based on the extent of neck disease, the serum Ct level, or both?

New strategies to treat metastatic MTC are being evaluated and include radioimmunotherapy and vaccine-based therapies. There has been recent focus on the use of compounds that inhibit the function of receptors or intracellular kinases. Compounds have been developed that block kinases with greater or lesser degrees of specificity that are involved in the pathogenesis or progression of cancer. In MTC, the most obvious kinase target is RET due to its critical role in familial cases, as well as in 40–50% of sporadic cases. Molecules that block RET kinase activity directly, or that block subsequent downstream signaling molecules, have been shown to inhibit MTC cell proliferation and reduce the production of active peptides (360–362). Other targets for therapeutic intervention are proteins that facilitate cancer cell growth and/or blood vessel formation, such as vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors. Currently, several kinase inhibitors are under evaluation in clinical trials and preliminary evidence indicates that they may have important clinical benefits (363). However, the final results of these trials and adequate follow-up observation times are needed. Further, most of these targeted therapies are more likely to be cytostatic rather than cytoreductive. While clinical stability is important in patients with progressive disease, most clinical trials are based on RECIST criteria that are designed to detect complete and partial tumor remissions, the latter defined as the reduction of the summed greatest tumor lengths of >30%. Additionally, these therapies have significant short and/or long-term toxicities. Thus, new approaches to clinical trial design and analysis are likely to be needed to evaluate the relevance of these new compounds regarding clinically important measures: progression-free survival, disease-specific survival, overall survival, and quality of life.

Major future challenges including the likelihood that single agent–targeted therapies will not be a panacea, and that tumors will evade these blocks in signaling pathways and eventually manifest progressive disease. Thus, combination or sequential therapies may be required. Another concern is that targeted therapies may actually exert pressure on the tumor to find these alternative mechanisms for continued survival and growth, and thus may encourage more aggressive tumor behavior or dedifferentiation. Further, while reductions in tumor volume may be seen, many of these compounds are more likely to induce tumor stabilization, and therefore the optimal duration of therapy is unknown. Realistically, patients with metastatic MTC may be faced with taking a systemic therapy for the remainder of their lives, and the long-term effects of blocking these pathways for prolonged periods of time are currently unknown. The emergence of an effective systemic therapy, or combination of therapies, that is well-tolerated may lead to its potential use as an adjuvant treatment to surgery, or as an option for primary treatment for selected patients.
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Disclaimer

The American Thyroid Association develops Clinical Practice Guidelines to provide guidance and recommendations for particular practice areas concerning thyroid disease and thyroid cancer. The Guidelines are not inclusive of all proper approaches or methods, or exclusive of others. The Guidelines do not establish a standard of care and specific outcomes are not guaranteed.

Treatment decisions must be made based on the independent judgment of health care providers and each patient’s individual circumstances. A guideline is not intended to take the place of physician judgment in diagnosing and treating particular patients.

The ATA develops guidelines based on the evidence available in the literature and the expert opinion of the task force in the recent timeframe of the publication of the guidelines. Management issues have not been and cannot be comprehensively addressed in randomized trials; therefore, the evidence cannot be comprehensive. Guidelines cannot
always account for individual variation among patients. Guidelines cannot be considered inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other treatments reasonably directed at obtaining the same results.

Therefore, the American Thyroid Association considers use of this guideline to aid in clinical decision-making to be voluntary, with the ultimate determination regarding its application to be made by the treating physician and health care professionals with the full consideration of the individual patient’s clinical history and physical status. In addition, the guideline concerns the therapeutic interventions used in clinical practice and do not pertain to clinical trials.
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