



Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center

Community Health Needs Assessment

2022

Report adopted by the Vice Chancellor and the Governing Body of UCLA Health in June 2022.

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 6 |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 9 |
| Background and Purpose | 9 |
| Service Area | 9 |
| Collaboration | 10 |
| Project Oversight | |
| Consultant | 11 |
| Board Approval | 11 |
| Data Collection Methodology | 12 |
| Secondary Data Collection | 12 |
| Significant Community Needs | 12 |
| Primary Data Collection | 13 |
| Public Comment | 13 |
| Prioritization of Significant Needs | 14 |
| Resources to Address Significant Needs | 15 |
| Review of Progress | 15 |
| Community Demographics | |
| Population | |
| Race/Ethnicity | |
| Citizenship | |
| Language | |
| Linguistic Isolation | |
| English Learners | |
| Veterans | 21 |
| Social Determinants of Health | |
| Social and Economic Factors Ranking | |
| Poverty | |
| Unemployment | 24 |
| Free and Reduced-Price Meals | 24 |
| Community Input – Economic Insecurity | |
| Households | |
| Homelessness | 27 |

| | Community Input – Housing and Homelessness | 29 |
|-----|---|----|
| | Public Program Participation | 31 |
| | Food Insecurity | 31 |
| | Farmers Markets Accepting Public Benefits | 32 |
| | Community Input – Food Insecurity | 33 |
| | Educational Attainment | 34 |
| | Preschool Enrollment | 35 |
| | Reading to Children | 36 |
| | Childcare Access | 36 |
| | Transportation | 36 |
| | Community Walkability | 36 |
| | Community Input – Transportation | 37 |
| | Environmental Indicators | 38 |
| | Air Quality | 38 |
| | Water Quality | 39 |
| | Climate Change | 39 |
| | Parks, Playgrounds and Open Spaces | 40 |
| | Community Input – Environmental Conditions | 41 |
| | Adverse Childhood Events | 42 |
| | Crime and Violence | 42 |
| | Child Abuse | 43 |
| | Crime Statistics | 43 |
| | Hate Crimes | 44 |
| | Intimate Partner Violence | 44 |
| | Domestic Violence Calls | 45 |
| | Community Input – Community Safety | 45 |
| Hea | alth Care Access | 48 |
| | Health Insurance Coverage | 48 |
| | Sources of Care | 49 |
| | Telehealth | 50 |
| | Difficulty Accessing Care | 50 |
| | Appointment Availability | 51 |
| | Access to Primary Care Community Health Centers | 52 |
| | Delayed or Forgone Care | 53 |

| | Lack of Care Due to Cost | . 53 |
|------|---|------|
| | Community Input – Access to Care | . 54 |
| | Dental Care | . 56 |
| Birt | h Characteristics | . 57 |
| | Births | . 57 |
| | Delivery Paid by Public Insurance or Self-Pay | . 57 |
| | Prenatal Care | . 57 |
| | Births to Teen Mothers | . 57 |
| | Premature Birth | . 58 |
| | Low Birth Weight | . 58 |
| | Mothers Who Smoked Regularly During Pregnancy | . 58 |
| | Infant Mortality | . 58 |
| | Breastfeeding | . 59 |
| Lea | ading Causes of Death | . 60 |
| | Life Expectancy at Birth | . 60 |
| | Leading Causes of Death | . 60 |
| | Heart Disease and Stroke | . 61 |
| | Cancer | . 61 |
| | Alzheimer's Disease | . 62 |
| | Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease | . 62 |
| | Pneumonia and Influenza | . 63 |
| | Unintentional Injury | . 63 |
| | Diabetes | . 63 |
| | Kidney Disease | . 64 |
| | Suicide | . 64 |
| | Liver Disease | . 64 |
| | Homicide | . 65 |
| | HIV | . 65 |
| Αсι | Ite and Chronic Disease | . 66 |
| | Hospitalization Rates by Diagnoses | . 66 |
| | Emergency Room Rates by Diagnoses | . 66 |
| | Unintentional Injuries | . 67 |
| | Diabetes | . 67 |
| | Heart Disease | . 68 |

| High Blood Pressure | 68 |
|--|----|
| Asthma | |
| Pediatric Asthma Hospitalization | 70 |
| Cancer | 70 |
| Community Input – Chronic Disease | 71 |
| Sexually Transmitted Infections | 72 |
| HIV | 73 |
| Community Input – Sexually Transmitted Infections | 73 |
| COVID-19 | 75 |
| COVID-19, Incidence, Mortality and Vaccination Rates | 75 |
| Community Input – COVID-19 | 76 |
| Health Behaviors | 78 |
| Health Behaviors Ranking | 78 |
| Health Status | 78 |
| Limited Activity Due to Poor Health | 79 |
| Disability | 79 |
| Teen Sexual History | 79 |
| Overweight and Obesity | |
| Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption | |
| Adequate Fruit and Vegetable Consumption | 81 |
| Community Access to Fresh Produce | |
| Physical Activity | 81 |
| Sedentary Children and Teens | |
| Community Input – Overweight and Obesity | |
| Mental Health | |
| Mental Health, Adults | |
| Mental Health Indicators, Adults | |
| Mental Health Care Access, Adults | |
| Mental Health, Teens and Children | |
| Bullying | |
| Suicide Contemplation | 91 |
| Community Input – Mental Health | |
| Substance Use and Misuse | |
| Cigarette Smoking | |

| Alcohol Use | 96 |
|---|-----|
| Marijuana Use | 97 |
| Drug Use | 97 |
| Opioid Use | 98 |
| Drug Misuse | 98 |
| Community Input – Substance Use | 98 |
| Preventive Practices | 101 |
| Immunizations | 101 |
| Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine | 101 |
| Influenza (Flu) Vaccination | 101 |
| Pneumococcal Vaccine | 102 |
| Mammograms | 102 |
| Pap Smears | 102 |
| Colorectal Cancer Screening | 102 |
| Senior Falls and Injuries from Falls | 103 |
| Community Input – Preventive Practices | 103 |
| Attachment 1: Benchmark Comparisons | 105 |
| Attachment 2: Community Stakeholder Interviewees | 106 |
| Attachment 3: Community Stakeholder Interview Responses | 108 |
| Attachment 4: Resources to Address Community Needs | 119 |
| Attachment 5: Report of Progress | 122 |

Executive Summary

Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center is a part of UCLA Health, a world-renowned, nonprofit academic medical center located in Los Angeles, California. UCLA Health is comprised of Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, UCLA Santa Monica Medical Center, Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA and the UCLA Medical Group, which has a wide-reaching system of primary-care and specialty-care offices throughout the region.

Community Health Needs Assessment

The UCLA Health hospitals have undertaken a Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA). California Senate Bill 697 and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act through IRS section 501(r)(3) regulations direct nonprofit hospitals to conduct a CHNA every three years and develop a three-year Implementation Strategy/community benefit plan that responds to community needs.

Service Area

Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center is located at 757 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, California 90095. The service area is shared by the UCLA Health hospitals and includes 28 ZIP Codes, representing 18 cities or communities, exclusively in Service Planning Area (SPA) 5 of Los Angeles County. The UCLA Health service area is detailed below by community and ZIP Code and was determined from the ZIP Codes that reflect a majority of patient admissions from the local geographic area.

| Geographic Area ZIP Code | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Bel Air | 90077 | | | |
| Beverly Hills | 90210, 90211, 90212 | | | |
| Brentwood | 90049 | | | |
| Century City | 90067 | | | |
| Culver City | 90230, 90232 | | | |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | | | |
| Malibu | 90263, 90265 | | | |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | | | |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | | | |
| Palms | 90034 | | | |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | | | |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | | | |
| Santa Monica | 90401, 90402, 90403, 90404, 90405 | | | |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066, 90291 | | | |
| West Los Angeles | 90025, 90035, 90064 | | | |
| Westchester | 90045 | | | |
| Westwood | 90024 | | | |

UCLA Health Service Area

Collaboration

For this CHNA, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, UCLA Santa Monica Medical Center and Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA worked in partnership with Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Cedars-Sinai Marina del Rey Hospital, and Providence Saint John's Health Center. Given that these partners share an overlapping service area, a collaborative effort reduced redundancies and increased data collection efficiency.

Methodology

Secondary Data

Secondary data were collected from a variety of local, county, and state sources to present community demographics, social determinants of health, access to health care, birth characteristics, leading causes of death, acute and chronic disease, COVID-19, health behaviors, mental health, substance use and preventive practices. Where available, these data are presented in the context of Los Angeles County and California.

Analysis of secondary data includes an examination and reporting of health disparities for some health indicators. The report includes benchmark comparison data that measure the data findings as compared to Healthy People 2030 objectives, where appropriate. Healthy People objectives are a national initiative to improve the public's health by providing measurable objectives that are applicable at national, state, and local levels.

Primary Data

Thirty-seven (37) phone interviews were conducted during October and November 2021. Community stakeholders identified by the hospital partners were contacted and asked to participate in the needs assessment interviews. Interview participants included a broad range of stakeholders concerned with health and wellbeing in SPA 5 of Los Angeles County, who spoke to issues and needs in the communities served by the hospital.

Significant Community Needs

Significant needs were identified through a review of the secondary health data and validation through stakeholder interviews. The identified significant needs included:

- Access to health care (i.e., primary care, specialty care, dental care)
- Chronic diseases (i.e., asthma, cancer, diabetes, heart disease, liver disease, stroke)
- Community safety
- COVID-19
- Economic insecurity

- Environmental conditions (i.e., air and water quality, pollution)
- Food insecurity
- Housing/homelessness
- Mental health
- Overweight and obesity (healthy eating and physical activity)
- Preventive practices (i.e., vaccines, screenings, fall prevention)
- Sexually transmitted infections
- Substance abuse
- Transportation

COVID-19

COVID-19 continues to have an unprecedented impact on the health and well-being of the community. This CHNA identifies an increase in economic insecurity, food insecurity, mental health conditions and substance use as a direct or indirect result of the pandemic. Additionally, access to routine care, preventive screenings, disease maintenance, healthy eating and physical activity declined as a result. Community stakeholders' comments on the effect of COVID in the community are included in the CHNA.

Prioritization of Health Needs

The identified significant community needs were prioritized with input from the community. Interviews with community stakeholders were used to gather input on the significant needs. Mental health, COVID-19, housing and homelessness, access to care and economic insecurity were ranked as the top five priority needs in the service area.

Report Adoption, Availability and Comments

This CHNA report was adopted by the Vice Chancellor and the Governing Body of UCLA Health in June 2022. The report is widely available to the public on the hospital's web site at: <u>https://www.uclahealth.org/why-choose-us/about/office-community</u>. To send comments or questions about this report, please send your feedback to: <u>CHNA@mednet.ucla.edu</u>.

Introduction

Background and Purpose

Founded in 1955, UCLA Medical Center became Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center in 2008. Situated on four acres on the UCLA campus in West Los Angeles, the hospital encompasses Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, Stewart and Lynda Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA and UCLA Mattel Children's Hospital. The hospital has 520 beds, including 90 pediatric beds and 74 neuropsychiatric beds. The latest medical advances are provided in a welcoming environment that is filled with light and open spaces to enhance a sense of wellness and promote healing.

The passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (2010) requires taxexempt hospitals to conduct Community Health Needs Assessments (CHNA) every three years and adopt an Implementation Strategy/community benefit plan to meet the priority health needs identified through the assessment. A CHNA identifies unmet health needs in the service area, provides information to select priorities for action and target geographical areas, and serves as the basis for community benefit programs. This assessment incorporates components of primary data collection and secondary data analysis that focus on the health and social needs of the service area.

Service Area

Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center is located at 757 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, California 90095. The service area is shared by the UCLA Health hospitals and includes 28 ZIP Codes, representing 18 cities or communities, exclusively in Service Planning Area (SPA) 5 of Los Angeles County. The UCLA Health service area is detailed below by community and ZIP Code and was determined from the ZIP Codes that reflect a majority of patient admissions from the local geographic area.

| Geographic Area | ZIP Code |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 |
| Beverly Hills | 90210, 90211, 90212 |
| Brentwood | 90049 |
| Century City | 90067 |
| Culver City | 90230, 90232 |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 |
| Malibu | 90263, 90265 |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 |
| Palms | 90034 |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 |
| Playa Vista | 90094 |
| Santa Monica | 90401, 90402, 90403, 90404, 90405 |

UCLA Health Service Area

| Geographic Area | ZIP Code |
|------------------|---------------------|
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066, 90291 |
| West Los Angeles | 90025, 90035, 90064 |
| Westchester | 90045 |
| Westwood | 90024 |



Map of the UCLA Health Service Area

Source: https://www.communities-motion.com/spa-5-homeless-count-portal/

Collaboration

For the CHNA, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, UCLA Santa Monica Medical Center, and Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA worked in partnership with Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Cedars-Sinai Marina del Rey Hospital, and Providence Saint John's Health Center. Because these partners share an overlapping service area, a collaborative effort reduced redundancies and increased data collection efficiency.

Project Oversight

The CHNA process was overseen by: Indu Bulbul Sanwal, MBA & MPH Strategic Development Manager Office of Health System Strategy and Business Development UCLA Health

Consultant

Biel Consulting, Inc. conducted the Community Health Needs Assessment. Dr. Melissa Biel was joined by Victoria Derrick and Vanessa Ivie, BS, MSG to complete the data collection. Biel Consulting, Inc. is an independent consulting firm that works with hospitals, clinics and community-based nonprofit organizations. Biel Consulting, Inc. has over 25 years of experience conducting CHNAs and working with hospitals on developing, implementing, and evaluating community benefit programs. www.bielconsulting.com

Board Approval

This CHNA report was adopted by the Vice Chancellor and the Governing Body of UCLA Health in June 2022.

Data Collection Methodology

Secondary Data Collection

Secondary data were collected from a variety of local, county, and state sources to present community demographics, social determinants of health, access to health care, birth characteristics, leading causes of death, acute and chronic disease, COVID-19, health behaviors, mental health, substance use and preventive practices. Where available, these data are presented in the context of Los Angeles County and California, framing the scope of an issue as it relates to the broader community.

Secondary data for the service area were collected and documented in data tables with narrative explanation. The data tables present the data indicator, the geographic area represented, the data measurement (e.g., rate, number, or percent), county and state comparisons (when available), the data source, data year and an electronic link to the data source.

Analysis of secondary data includes an examination and reporting of health disparities for some health indicators. The report includes benchmark comparison data that measure the data findings as compared to Healthy People 2030 objectives, where appropriate. Healthy People objectives are a national initiative to improve the public's health by providing measurable objectives that are applicable at national, state, and local levels. Attachment 1 compares Healthy People 2030 objectives with service area data.

Significant Community Needs

Initially, significant health needs were identified through a review of the secondary health data collected. The identified significant needs included:

- Access to health care (i.e., primary care, specialty care, dental care)
- Chronic diseases (i.e., asthma, cancer, diabetes, heart disease, liver disease, stroke)
- Community safety
- COVID-19
- Economic insecurity
- Environmental conditions (i.e., air and water quality, pollution)
- Food insecurity
- Housing/homelessness
- Mental health
- Overweight and obesity (healthy eating and physical activity)
- Preventive practices (i.e., vaccines, screenings, fall prevention)
- Sexually transmitted infections

- Substance abuse
- Transportation

Primary Data Collection

The following hospitals partnered to conduct interviews with community stakeholders to obtain input on significant community needs, barriers to care and resources available to address the identified health needs:

- Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center
- UCLA Santa Monica Medical Center
- Resnick Neuropsychiatric Hospital at UCLA
- Cedars-Sinai Medical Center
- Cedars-Sinai Marina del Rey Hospital
- Providence Saint John's Health Center

Thirty-seven (37) phone interviews were conducted during October and November 2021. Community stakeholders identified by the hospital partners were contacted and asked to participate in the needs assessment interviews. Interview participants included a broad range of stakeholders concerned with health and wellbeing in SPA 5 of Los Angeles County, who spoke to issues and needs in the communities served by the hospital. The identified stakeholders were invited by email to participate in the phone interview. Appointments for the interviews were made on dates and times convenient to the stakeholders. At the beginning of each interview, the purpose of the interview in the context of the assessment was explained, the stakeholders were assured their responses would remain confidential, and consent to proceed was given.

During the interviews, participants were asked to share their perspectives on the issues, challenges and barriers relative to the identified health needs (i.e., what makes each health need a significant issue in the community? What are the challenges people face in addressing these needs?), along with identifying known resources to address these health needs, such as services, programs and/or community efforts. Attachment 2 lists the stakeholder interview respondents, their titles and organizations. Attachment 3 provides stakeholder responses to the interview overview questions.

Public Comment

In compliance with IRS regulations 501(r) for charitable hospitals, a hospital CHNA and Implementation Strategy are to be made widely available to the public and public comment is to be solicited. The previous CHNA and Implementation Strategy were made widely available to the public on the website at https://www.uclahealth.org/why-choose-us/about/office-community. To date, no comments have been received.

Prioritization of Significant Needs

The identified significant community needs were prioritized with input from the community. Interviews with community stakeholders were used to gather input on the significant health needs. The following criteria were used to prioritize the health needs:

- The perceived severity of a health or community issue as it affects the health and lives of those in the community.
- Improving or worsening of an issue in the community.
- Availability of resources to address the need.
- The level of importance the hospital should place on addressing the issue.

Each of the stakeholder interviewees was sent a link to an electronic survey (SurveyMonkey) in advance of the interview. The stakeholders were asked to rank each identified need. The percentage of responses were noted as those that identified the need as having severe or very severe impact on the community, had worsened over time, and had a shortage or absence of resources available in the community. Not all survey respondents answered every question, therefore, the response percentages were calculated based on respondents only and not on the entire sample size. Housing and homelessness, COVID-19, mental health and economic insecurity had the highest scores for severe and very severe impact on the community. Housing and homelessness, economic insecurity and mental health were the top three needs that had worsened over time and had the highest scores for insufficient resources available to address the need.

| Significant Health Needs | Severe and Very Severe Impact on the Community | Worsened Over Time | Insufficient or Absent Resources |
|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Access to care | 69.7% | 25.0% | 56.3% |
| Chronic diseases | 65.6% | 29.0% | 51.6% |
| Community safety | 68.8% | 54.8% | 58.1% |
| COVID-19 | 96.8% | 23.3% | 26.7% |
| Economic insecurity | 90.6% | 80.6% | 90.3% |
| Environmental conditions | 46.9% | 48.4% | 45.2% |
| Food insecurity | 87.1% | 60.0% | 60.0% |
| Housing and homelessness | 100% | 100% | 96.7% |
| Mental health | 93.8% | 80.6% | 87.1% |
| Overweight and obesity | 35.5% | 23.3% | 40.0% |
| Preventive practices | 64.5% | 20.0% | 20.0% |
| Sexually transmitted infections | 19.4% | 27.6% | 20.7% |
| Substance use | 78.1% | 76.7% | 70.0% |
| Transportation | 51.6% | 24.1% | 37.9% |

The interviewees were also asked to prioritize the health needs according to highest level of importance in the community. The total score for each significant need (possible score of 4) was divided by the total number of responses for which data were provided, resulting in an overall score for each significant need. Mental health, COVID-19, housing and homelessness, access to care and economic insecurity were ranked as the top five priority needs in the service area. Calculations resulted in the following prioritization of the significant needs:

| Significant Needs | Priority Ranking (Total Possible Score of 4) |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Mental health | 3.94 |
| COVID-19 | 3.78 |
| Housing and homelessness | 3.76 |
| Access to care | 3.75 |
| Economic insecurity | 3.75 |
| Substance use | 3.69 |
| Food insecurity | 3.59 |
| Community safety | 3.57 |
| Chronic diseases | 3.56 |
| Preventive practices | 3.42 |
| Environmental conditions | 3.32 |
| Transportation | 3.03 |
| Sexually transmitted infections | 3.00 |
| Overweight and obesity | 2.87 |

Community input on these health needs is detailed throughout the CHNA report.

Resources to Address Significant Needs

Community stakeholders identified community resources potentially available to address the significant community needs. The identified community resources are presented in Attachment 4.

Review of Progress

In 2019, UCLA Health conducted the previous CHNA. Significant needs were identified from issues supported by primary and secondary data sources gathered for the CHNA. The Implementation Strategy associated with the 2019 CHNA addressed: access to care, heart disease, mental health, and overweight and obesity through a commitment of community benefit programs and resources. The impact of the actions that UCLA Health used to address these significant needs can be found in Attachment 5.

Community Demographics

Population

The population of the UCLA Health service area is 656,748. From 2016 to 2019, the population decreased by 1.2%.

Total Population and Change in Population, 2016 to 2019

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|------------|--------|
| | 2012-2016 | 2015-2019 | Change | 2012-2016 | 2015-2019 | Change |
| Total population | 664,730 | 656,748 | -1.2% | 10,057,155 | 10,081,570 | 0.2% |
| Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2016 & 2015-2019, DP05. https://data.census.gov/cedsci | | | | | | |

30010e. 0.3. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2012-2010 & 2013-2019, D1 03. <u>Imps.//data.census.gowceusor</u>

In the hospital service area, 48.8% of the population are male and 51.2% are female.

Population, by Gender

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Male | 48.8% | 49.3% | | |
| Female | 51.2% | 50.7% | | |
| Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Sunjey, 2015-2019, DP05, https://data.census.gov/cedsci | | | | |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP05. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci</u>

Children and teens, ages 0 to 17, make up 15.7% of the population. In the service area, 68.4% of the population are adults, ages 18 to 64, and 15.9% of the population are seniors, ages 65 and older. The service area has a lower percentage of youth and a higher percentage of seniors when compared to the county.

Population, by Age

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 0-4 | 4.8% | 6.1% |
| 5 – 9 | 4.2% | 5.9% |
| 10 – 14 | 4.3% | 6.2% |
| 15 – 17 | 2.5% | 3.8% |
| 18 – 20 | 4.9% | 4.0% |
| 21 – 24 | 5.8% | 5.7% |
| 25 – 34 | 19.3% | 16.1% |
| 35 – 44 | 14.3% | 13.7% |
| 45 – 54 | 12.8% | 13.4% |
| 55 – 64 | 11.2% | 11.8% |
| 65 – 74 | 8.7% | 7.5% |
| 75 – 84 | 4.6% | 3.9% |
| 85 and older | 2.7% | 1.8% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, B01001. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

In the service area, Pacific Palisades has the largest percentage of youth, ages 0 to 17 (23.8%). Century City has the highest percentage of seniors, ages 65 and older (51.6%). The average median age in the service area is 40.5 years, higher than the median county age of 36.5. The median age of Malibu 90263, where Pepperdine

University is located, is 19.8 years, with no residents over the age of 30.

| | ZIP Code | Total Population | Youth, Ages 0 – 17 | Seniors, Ages 65 and Older | Median Age |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 8,382 | 22.1% | 26.4% | 48.5 |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 19,314 | 20.2% | 27.6% | 49.2 |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 8,019 | 18.6% | 16.8% | 42.2 |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 13,314 | 20.4% | 18.0% | 41.1 |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 36,418 | 18.1% | 20.2% | 40.6 |
| Century City | 90067 | 2,428 | 7.9% | 51.6% | 66.4 |
| Culver City | 90230 | 32,687 | 20.6% | 16.3% | 40.4 |
| Culver City | 90232 | 14,780 | 15.9% | 14.5% | 40.4 |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 7,649 | 18.2% | 25.0% | 49.3 |
| Malibu | 90263 | 1,838 | 1.2% | 0.0% | 19.8 |
| Malibu | 90265 | 17,954 | 16.5% | 23.7% | 50.9 |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 23,549 | 10.3% | 15.2% | 39.6 |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 21,629 | 23.8% | 23.8% | 47.7 |
| Palms | 90034 | 53,861 | 13.6% | 9.3% | 34.0 |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 12,728 | 10.5% | 16.3% | 37.9 |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 9,827 | 22.0% | 8.2% | 36.2 |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 7,111 | 5.6% | 18.7% | 37.2 |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 11,882 | 23.4% | 25.2% | 48.6 |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 23,902 | 13.6% | 19.6% | 41.3 |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 22,929 | 16.4% | 14.4% | 37.4 |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 28,156 | 15.1% | 16.0% | 40.2 |
| Venice | 90291 | 26,950 | 13.2% | 13.2% | 38.5 |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 59,167 | 16.2% | 14.7% | 38.1 |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 46,883 | 11.7% | 12.5% | 34.0 |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 27,272 | 21.7% | 14.3% | 36.3 |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 25,925 | 19.5% | 16.9% | 40.3 |
| Westchester | 90045 | 40,567 | 15.7% | 13.3% | 35.1 |
| Westwood | 90024 | 51,627 | 8.1% | 11.3% | 22.6 |
| UCLA Health Servic | ce Area | 656,748 | 15.7% | 15.9% | 40.5 |
| Los Angeles Count | у | 10,081,570 | 22.0% | 13.2% | 36.5 |

| Population | by Youth | Ages 0-17 | and Seniors | Ages 65 and Older |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| i opulution, | , by routin, | - Ages v=17; | and octions, | Ages to and older |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP05. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci</u>

Race/Ethnicity

Over half of the population in the service area is White (59.2%). This is a higher percentage than the county (26.2%). Hispanics/Latinos account for 16.1% of the service area population as compared to 48.5% in the county. Asians are 13.6% of the population and Black/African Americans are 5.8% of the population.

Race/Ethnicity

| | UCLA Health | UCLA Health Service Area | | es County |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 388,940 | 59.2% | 2,641,770 | 26.2% |
| Hispanic/Latino | 105,675 | 16.1% | 4,888,434 | 48.5% |
| Asian | 89,471 | 13.6% | 1,454,769 | 14.4% |

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Black/African American | 38,085 | 5.8% | 790,252 | 7.8% |
| Other/Multiple | 29,982 | 4.6% | 260,917 | 2.6% |
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 917 | 0.1% | 20,831 | 0.2% |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 739 | 0.1% | 24,597 | 0.2% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP05. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Within the service area, Pacific Palisades and Beverly Hills 90210 have the highest percentage of White residents (83.4%). Venice/Mar Vista has the highest percentage of Hispanic/Latino residents (28.6%). Westwood has the highest percentage of Asian residents (27.8%). Ladera Heights has the highest percentage of Black residents (68.8%).

| | ZIP Codes | White | Hispanic/Latino | Asian | Black |
|--------------------|-----------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 79.9% | 3.7% | 8.5% | 2.7% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 83.4% | 4.1% | 7.2% | 1.2% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 71.4% | 9.0% | 11.5% | 4.5% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 76.7% | 5.6% | 10.0% | 1.4% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 80.8% | 5.6% | 7.9% | 1.6% |
| Century City | 90067 | 74.4% | 3.1% | 18.1% | 1.4% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 36.1% | 33.1% | 15.7% | 10.0% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 47.7% | 24.5% | 17.5% | 5.0% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 11.4% | 9.0% | 4.7% | 68.5% |
| Malibu | 90263 | 44.9% | 19.7% | 22.4% | 5.7% |
| Malibu | 90265 | 80.1% | 9.7% | 3.8% | 1.5% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 69.4% | 8.4% | 10.5% | 7.2% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 83.4% | 4.7% | 5.7% | 0.4% |
| Palms | 90034 | 39.6% | 25.6% | 19.5% | 10.0% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 61.7% | 14.2% | 12.8% | 5.8% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 51.3% | 12.4% | 26.0% | 6.6% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 67.9% | 10.8% | 7.0% | 9.7% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 76.6% | 10.0% | 8.4% | 0.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 74.6% | 7.5% | 9.7% | 2.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 46.3% | 27.9% | 13.9% | 7.2% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 66.0% | 15.6% | 7.4% | 3.4% |
| Venice | 90291 | 67.9% | 19.5% | 3.6% | 5.2% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 48.2% | 28.6% | 13.5% | 4.2% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 55.8% | 14.1% | 20.2% | 4.2% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 70.8% | 10. 6% | 7.4% | 6.2% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 60.9% | 13.9% | 18.1% | 2.8% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 50.1% | 18.0% | 14.0% | 11.7% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 50.4% | 13.2% | 27.8% | 2.4% |
| UCLA Health Servic | e Area | 59.2% | 16.1% | 13.6% | 5.8% |
| Los Angeles Count | у | 26.2% | 48.5% | 14.4% | 7.8% |

Population, by Race and Ethnicity and ZIP Code

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP05. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Citizenship

In the service area, 25.3% of the residents are foreign born. Of the foreign born, 57.2% are naturalized U.S. citizens and 42.8% are not U.S. citizens. The service area has a smaller foreign-born population compared to the county (34.0%) and state (26.8%). The service area has a higher percentage of naturalized U.S. citizens and a lower percentage of individuals who are not U.S. citizens as compared to the county and state.

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Foreign born | 25.3% | 34.0% | 26.8% |
| Naturalized U.S. citizen | 57.2% | 52.3% | 51.7% |
| Not a U.S. citizen | 42.8% | 47.7% | 48.3% |

Foreign Born Residents and Citizens

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Language

Of the service area population, ages 5 and older, 66.4% speak English only at home, compared to 43.4% of residents in the county, and 55.8% of residents in the state. In the service area, 12.5% of the population speak Spanish in their homes, while 11.0% speak Indo-European languages, and 8.0% speak an Asian/Pacific Islander language.

Language Spoken at Home, Population Ages 5 and Older

| | • | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | California |
| Speaks only English | 66.4% | 43.4% | 55.8% |
| Speaks Spanish | 12.5% | 39.2% | 28.7% |
| Speaks Indo-European language | 11.0% | 5.3% | 4.5% |
| Speaks Asian/Pacific Islander language | 8.0% | 10.9% | 10.0% |
| Speaks other language | 2.2% | 1.1% | 1.0% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Culver City 90230 has the highest percentage of Spanish speakers in the service area (26.7%). Playa Vista has the area's highest percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander speakers (15.5%). Beverly Hills 90210 has the highest percentage of speakers of an Indo-European language (27.8%).

Language Spoken at Home, by ZIP Code

| | ZIP Codes | English | Spanish | Asian/PI | Indo European |
|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 68.3% | 3.7% | 4.9% | 21.0% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 58.5% | 4.8% | 4.9% | 27.8% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 56.1% | 8.5% | 6.6% | 20.6% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 61.7% | 6.2% | 7.7% | 21.4% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 79.0% | 3.8% | 2.9% | 12.8% |
| Century City | 90067 | 70.7% | 2.2% | 13.1% | 14.0% |

| | ZIP Codes | English | Spanish | Asian/PI | Indo European |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|------------------|
| Culver City | 90230 | 55.3% | 26.7% | 10.5% | 5.7% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 61.8% | 19.7% | 9.5% | 6.5% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 86.6% | 6.3% | 1.9% | 3.9% |
| Malibu | 90263 | 66.5% | 13.4% | 15.3% | 4.4% |
| Malibu | 90265 | 84.9% | 7.0% | 2.0% | 5.2% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 71.5% | 8.4% | 7.1% | 11.6% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 84.5% | 5.1% | 2.7% | 7.3% |
| Palms | 90034 | 55.7% | 21.5% | 9.8% | 10.3% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 74.4% | 11.1% | 6.1% | 5.9% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 69.7% | 5.8% | 15.5% | 7.8% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 74.0% | 5.5% | 3.6% | 14.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 80.1% | 5.4% | 4.9% | 8.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 76.7% | 3.8% | 5.3% | 12.4% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 58.3% | 22.2% | 9.7% | 7.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 75.0% | 11.3% | 4.3% | 8.3% |
| Venice | 90291 | 76.6% | 15.0% | 1.4% | 6.2% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 59.6% | 22.8% | 8.1% | 7.9% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 60.1% | 10.1% | 12.2% | 15.5% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 66.0% | 6.6% | 5.0% | 13.5% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 63.5% | 12.0% | 10.3% | 11.7% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 72.6% | 12.6% | 7.4% | 6.6% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 55.5% | 9.4% | 17.7% | 15.5% |
| UCLA Health Servic | e Area | 66.4% | 12.5% | 8.0% | 11.0% |
| Los Angeles Count | у | 43.4% | 39.2% | 10.9% | 5.3% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Linguistic Isolation

Linguistic isolation is defined as the population, over age 5, who speaks English "less than very well." In the service area, 26.9% of the population is linguistically isolated.

Linguistic Isolation, Population 5 Years and Older

| | Percent |
|--------------------------|---------|
| UCLA Health Service Area | 26.9% |
| Los Angeles County | 23.6% |
| California | 17.8% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019,, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

English Learners

The percentage of students who are English learners in service area school districts ranges from 6.7% in the Beverly Hills Unified School District to 21.7% in the Inglewood Unified School District.

English Learners, by School District

| | Percent |
|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 6.7% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 9.7% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 21.7% |

| | Percent |
|---|---------|
| Los Angeles Unified School District | 20.0% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 8.6% |
| Los Angeles County | 18.0% |
| California | 18.6% |

Source: California Department of Education, 2019-2020. http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

Veterans

In the service area, 3.1% of the population, 18 years and older, are veterans.

Veterans

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Veteran status | 3.1% | 3.3% | 5.2% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

Social Determinants of Health

Social and Economic Factors Ranking

The County Health Rankings order counties according to a variety of health factors. Social and economic indicators are examined as a contributor to the health of a county's residents. This ranking examines: high school graduation rates, unemployment, children in poverty, social support, and others. California's 58 evaluated counties were ranked according to social and economic factors with 1 being the county with the best factors to 58 for the county with the poorest factors. For social and economic factors, in 2021, Los Angeles County is ranked 34, showing a decreased rank from 2019 (30).

Social and Economic Factors Ranking

| | County Ranking (out of 58) |
|---|----------------------------|
| Los Angeles County | 34 |
| Source: County Health Rankings 2021 www.countyhealthranking | as ora |

Poverty

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services annually updates official poverty levels. In 2019, the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) was an annual income of \$12,490 for one person and \$25,750 for a family of four. Among residents in the service area,10.6% or below 100% FPL and 20.1% are below 200% FPL. Westwood had the highest rate of individuals below 100% FPL and 200% FPL. Bel Air and Pacific Palisades had the lowest rates of individuals below 100% FPL and 200% FPL and 200% FPL.

| | ZIP Codes | Below 100% Poverty | Below 200% Poverty |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 4.4% | 9.2% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 6.0% | 13.2% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 7.9% | 17.9% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 9.8% | 16.7% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 7.1% | 12.2% |
| Century City | 90067 | 6.3% | 18.7% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 11.4% | 21.1% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 6.7% | 17.0% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 6.5% | 13.6% |
| Malibu | 90265 | 6.3% | 12.2% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 13.7% | 21.2% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 4.4% | 8.2% |
| Palms | 90034 | 9.8% | 23.1% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 6.3% | 14.0% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 10.9% | 13.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 13.9% | 29.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 5.9% | 11.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 7.5% | 15.1% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 12.0% | 28.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 10.6% | 22.3% |

Income below 100% and 200% of Federal Poverty Level, by ZIP Code

| | ZIP Codes | Below 100% Poverty | Below 200% Poverty |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Venice | 90291 | 10.5% | 19.4% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 9.7% | 22.9% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 11.6% | 23.7% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 8.3% | 20.4% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 9.4% | 18.2% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 9.1% | 16.0% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 31.8% | 40.9% |
| UCLA Health Service | Area | 10.6% | 20.1% |
| Los Angeles County | | 14.9% | 34.8% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, S1701. *No sample observations or too few sample observations were available for Malibu 90263 to compute an estimate. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci</u>

Examining poverty levels by community paints an important picture of the population within the service area. Of service area children, 7.9% live in poverty. For seniors in the service area, 10.0% live in poverty. These rates of poverty are lower than the county or state levels. Of women who are the head of household (HOH) with children, less than 18 years old, the percentage of those living in poverty ranged from 0.0% to 29.2%. Marina del Rey has the highest rate of children (26.0%) living in poverty. Century City and Santa Monica 90401 have no measurable rates of children under 18 living in poverty. Culver City 90230 has the highest rate of poverty for females who are head of household with children (29.2%).

| | ZIP Codes | Children Under 18 Years Old | Seniors | Female HoH With Children |
|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 4.2% | 3.6% | 16.4% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 4.4% | 7.6% | 16.9% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 6.2% | 17.0% | 24.9% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 10.2% | 5.6% | 28.8% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 5.1% | 6.0% | 18.8% |
| Century City | 90067 | 0.0% | 3.0% | 0.0% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 14.8% | 13.3% | 29.2% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 1.2% | 14.7% | 6.8% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 1.3% | 7.8% | 0.0% |
| Malibu | 90263 | * | * | * |
| Malibu | 90265 | 3.0% | 3.6% | 16.3% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 26.0% | 13.9% | 35.7% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 1.8% | 6.4% | 16.3% |
| Palms | 90034 | 10.7% | 10.7% | 21.2% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 4.2% | 2.5% | 5.6% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 4.8% | 5.4% | 0.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 0.0% | 21.8% | 0.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 3.7% | 6.1% | 7.3% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 3.0% | 16.8% | 14.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 7.1% | 20.3% | 7.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 6.0% | 12.9% | 16.4% |
| Venice | 90291 | 17.4% | 12.2% | 27.6% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 11.5% | 9.0% | 29.0% |

Poverty Levels of Children, Seniors, and Females Head of Household with Children

| | ZIP Codes | Children Under 18 Years Old | Seniors | Female HoH With Children |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 6.0% | 11.7% | 8.3% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 3.9% | 15.3% | 16.5% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 6.7% | 11.2% | 5.4% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 6.1% | 5.4% | 6.9% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 5.4% | 10.9% | 26.1% |
| UCLA Health Servic | e Area | 7.9% | 10.0% | ^No Data |
| Los Angeles Count | у | 20.8% | 13.2% | 33.3% |
| California | | 18.1% | 10.2% | 33.1% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey, S1701, DP03. *No sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate. ^UCLA Health Service Area data cannot be calculated as the baseline data are provided in percentages by ZIP Code. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci</u>

Unemployment

In 2020, the unemployment rates in the service area cities, where available, ranged from 6.1% in Marina del Rey to 17.7% in Ladera Heights. High unemployment may be attributed, in part, to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Unemployment Rate, 2020 Annual Average

| | Percent |
|---------------------|---------|
| Beverly Hills, city | 10.3% |
| Culver City, city | 10.8% |
| Ladera Heights, CDP | 17.7% |
| Los Angeles, city | 12.9% |
| Malibu, city | 7.6% |
| Marina del Rey, CDP | 6.1% |
| Santa Monica, city | 10.5% |
| Los Angeles County | 12.8% |
| California | 10.1% |

Source: California Employment Development Department, Labor Market Information.

http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov/data/labor-force-and-unemployment-for-cities-and-census-areas.html

Free and Reduced-Price Meals

The percentage of students eligible for the free and reduced-price meal program is one indicator of socioeconomic status. Among Los Angeles Unified and Inglewood Unified School District schools, over three-fourths (80.3% and 83.3%, respectively) of the student population are eligible for the free and reduced-price meal program, indicating a high level of low-income families. In the Culver City Unified School District, 30.5% of students qualify for the program, and 27.0% of Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District students are eligible. Beverly Hills Unified has the lowest percentage of service area school districts, with 17.1% of eligible students.

Free and Reduced-Price Meals Eligibility

| | Percent of Eligible Students |
|---|------------------------------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 17.1% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 30.5% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 83.3% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) | 80.3% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 27.0% |
| Los Angeles County | 68.9% |
| California | 59.3% |

Source: California Department of Education, 2019-2020. <u>http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</u>

Community Input – Economic Insecurity

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to economic insecurity. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- We may be entering a world where we need fundamentally different health care systems for two distinct populations caused by an economic divide.
- Increasing economics comes down to education, understanding how to manage money, as well as mentorship and home ownership to help stabilize at-risk families and community members.
- There's a growing gap between rich and poor and we're seeing this in the housing crisis. The cost of housing in LA County is so high that minimum wage earners can't afford to live here, so we see dense multi-families in one apartment, which is terrible for public health. Lower-income communities of color are disproportionately affected.
- Many of our clients are trying to stay safer, move away from drugs, etc. and want to live in SPA 5 as they deem it to be a better living environment, but can't afford it.
- Economic insecurity is an invisible issue in SPA 5, where affluence covers up poverty. Seniors get priced out of their community, so they move to the streets.
- Many people don't have enough money for housing and basic living expenses. Even if they are working full-time, sometimes with two jobs, it's still not enough to take care of their families.
- Many lack insurance and other benefits. The cost of living is rising, and food costs are increasing. They may be paying 70-80% of their income toward housing and are on the cusp of homelessness.
- Many are a paycheck away from the lights going off or not being to pay rent, creating desperation. The lack of adequate affordable housing leaves little room to pivot when something bad happens.
- We see anxiety around housing instability, as well as hopelessness among those who feel like they have no options, especially immigrants, people of color, temporary workers, those in the service industry and older adults living on limited incomes.
- In the Asian Pacific Islander community, housing insecurity is huge. During COVID, many worked under the radar for cash only, so they couldn't access government benefits, i.e., Paycheck Protection Program, unemployment supplements. Nail salon

and restaurant workers were laid off or had to stay home for childcare reasons. There was a flood of desperation.

- Those without economic fallback protections have no payer for treatments or recuperative care so they can return to mainstream systems, affecting older adults and those with chronic diseases.
- Each assisting government agency has its own very bureaucratic application form, requiring much eligibility info every year. This is too cumbersome.
- Many students lost restaurant or retail jobs. Rescue plans helped but didn't provide ongoing support to buy books, etc. Most impacted are persons who are homeless, low-income, foster youth, black and Latinx.
- Many underinsured persons are in the restaurant or service industry and have been affected by job loss or job change. They do not have the ability to work from home.
- Black women disproportionately lost jobs during the pandemic, leading to risk of losing housing.
- Unemployment relief ended and many haven't maximized other benefits such as CalFresh. Day laborers and undocumented are often paid under the table so they're afraid to sign up for benefits. This is primarily affecting blacks, Latinx, essential workers, and undocumented workers.
- For persons who are homeless who aren't employed but are employable, how do we get them trained, out of encampments, and off the street?
- Employment for veterans can be a challenging when translating one's experience in the service to a civilian job as they work to reintegrate in civilian life. This challenge can be compounded by mental health issues. Some are living temporarily in Airbnb's so not covered by eviction moratorium.
- As employers, we all need to evaluate our wage scales. We have an opportunity to be an engine of change and increase economic mobility.

Households

In the service area, there were 289,838 households and 324,121 housing units in 2019. From 2016-2019, the population decreased by 1.2%, with the number of households decreasing by 0.5% The area had a small gain in housing units during the time period (2.0%). However, vacant units increased by 23.1%. Home-ownership increased slightly, with 1.0% more units occupied by owners. Renter-occupied units decreased by 1.5%.

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | | nty | |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | 2016 | 2019 | Percent Change | 2016 | 2019 | Percent Change |
| Households | 291,375 | 289,838 | -0.5% | 3,281,845 | 3,316,795 | 1.1% |
| Housing units | 317,737 | 324,121 | 2.0% | 3,490,118 | 3,542,800 | 1.5% |
| Owner occ. | 114,730 | 115,446 | 1.0% | 1,499,576 | 1,519,516 | 1.3% |
| Renter occ. | 176,645 | 173,992 | -1.5% | 1,782,269 | 1,797,279 | 0.8% |
| Vacant | 26,362 | 34,283 | 23.1% | 208,273 | 226,005 | 8.5% |

Households and Housing Units, and Percent Change, 2016-2019

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2011-2016 & 2015-2019, DP04. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

According to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development, those who spend more than 30% of their income on housing are said to be "cost burdened." Less than half (44.5%) of all service area households spend 30% or more of their income on housing. This includes those living in owner-occupied housing units with a mortgage and those without a mortgage (where costs are the costs of ownership), as well as those who rent. This is lower than households countywide (47.3%) who spend 30% or more on housing.

Households that Spend 30% or More of Their Income on Housing*

| | 0 | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| | UCLA Health Services | Los Angeles County | California | |
| All occupied households | 44.5% | 47.3% | 41.7% | |
| Owner occupied households with or without mortgage | 37.0% | 35.7% | 31.4% | |
| Renter occupied households | 49.6% | 57.6% | 54.8% | |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP04. *Excludes units were SMOPI and GRAPI cannot be computed.

The median household income in the service area is \$99,652 and the average household income is \$176,081. Service area incomes are higher than county and state incomes.

Household Income

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Median household income* | \$99,652 | \$68,044 | \$75,235 |
| Mean (average) household income | \$176,081 | \$99,133 | \$106,916 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP03. <u>https://data.census.gov/cedsci</u> *Median income is the amount that divides the income distribution into two equal groups, half having income above that amount, and half having income below that amount.

Homelessness

Since 2005, the Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA) had conducted the annual Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count to determine how many individuals and families are homeless on a given day. Data from this survey show an increase in

homelessness from 2018 to 2020. In should be noted that the 2021 Homeless County was postponed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors due to COVID-19.

In SPA 5, 82.0% of the homeless population in 2020 were individual adults and 13.0% were families. From 2018 to 2020, the percent of sheltered homeless in SPA 5 decreased. Shelter includes cars, RVs, tents, and temporary structures (e.g., makeshift shelters), in addition to official homeless shelters. In 2020, no unaccompanied minors who were homeless were identified.

| 0 | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|--------|
| | SPA 5 | | Los Angeles County | |
| | 2018 | 2020 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Total homeless | 4,401 | 6,009 | 49,955 | 63,706 |
| Sheltered | 20.9% | 16.0% | 24.8% | 27.7% |
| Unsheltered | 79.0% | 83.9% | 75.2% | 72.3% |
| Individual adults | 80.0% | 82.0% | 80.0% | 76.0% |
| Families/family members | 14.0% | 13.0% | 16.0% | 19.0% |
| Unaccompanied minors (<18) | 0.1% | 0% | 0.1% | 0.1% |

Los Angeles Continuum of Care Homeless Population*, 2018-2020 Comparison

Source: Los Angeles Homeless Service Authority, 2018 & 2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count.

<u>https://www.lahsa.org/homeless-count/</u> *Data represents the homeless counts from the LA County Continuum of Care, which does not include Glendale, Long Beach and Pasadena homeless counts.

The percentage of chronic homelessness increased in SPA 5 from 26% in 2018 to 40% in 2020. Homeless individuals with a developmental and/or physical disability increased from 13% in 2018 to 19% in 2020. Homeless individuals with a substance abuse disorder increased in SPA 5 from 12% in 2008 to 25% in 2020. From 2018 to 2020 the percentage of homeless veterans increased 11% to 13% in SPA 5.

| . . | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------|--|
| | SP | SPA 5 | | Los Angeles County | |
| | 2018 | 2020 | 2018 | 2020 | |
| Chronically homeless | 26.0% | 40.0% | 27.0% | 38.0% | |
| Domestic violence experience | 8.0% | 6.0% | 30.0% | 33.0% | |
| Persons with HIV/AIDS | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 2.0% | |
| Physical disability | 13.0% | 19.0% | 15.0% | 19.0% | |
| Developmental disability | 6.0% | 13.0% | 6.0% | 9.0% | |
| Serious mental illness | 31.0% | 28.0% | 27.0% | 25.0% | |
| Substance abuse disorder | 12.0% | 25.0% | 15.0% | 27.0% | |
| Veterans | 11.0% | 13.0% | 7.0% | 6.0% | |

Source: Los Angeles Homeless Service Authority, 2018 & 2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count.

https://www.lahsa.org/homeless-count/ *Data represents the homeless counts from the LA County Continuum of Care, which does not include Glendale, Long Beach and Pasadena homeless counts.

The percentage of children under 18 who are homeless decreased in SPA 5 from 9% in 2018 to 8% in 2020. However, in Los Angeles County, the percentage of children who were homeless increased from 9% to 12%. The percentage of youth, ages 18 to 24, remained comparatively the same from 2018 to 2019 in SPA 5 and the county.

| | SPA 5 | | Los Angeles County | |
|---|-------|------|--------------------|------|
| | 2018 | 2020 | 2018 | 2020 |
| Under 18 | 9% | 8% | 9% | 12% |
| Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Ages 18-24 | 6% | 6% | 6% | 7% |

Los Angeles County Continuum of Care Homeless Children and Youth*

Source: Los Angeles Homeless Service Authority, 2018 & 2020 Greater Los Angeles Homeless Count. <u>https://www.lahsa.org/homeless-count/</u>*Data represents the homeless counts from the LA County Continuum of Care, which does

not include Glendale, Long Beach and Pasadena homeless counts.

In the 2020-2021, the Los Angeles County School District (1.2%) had the highest percentage of students enrolled in charter and non-charter public schools who were identified as homeless in the service area.

Homeless Students, by School District

| | Number | Percent |
|---|---------|---------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 1 | 0% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 79 | 1.1% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 101 | 1.0% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District | 6,677 | 1.2% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 19 | 0.2% |
| Los Angeles County | 40,301 | 2.9% |
| California | 183,312 | 3.1% |

Source: California Department of Education, Enrollment Data - 2020-2021, Statewide Enrollment by Subgroup for Charter and Non-Charter Schools. <u>https://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</u>

Community Input – Housing and Homelessness

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to housing and homelessness. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- Homelessness today is different than 10 years ago; economic factors are more severe now. Before it was lack of resources, now it's economic growth in a fractured economic system. Growth on one side brought a wave of homelessness bigger than we can catch up with, primarily impacting young adults, older adults, blacks, and persons with disabling conditions.
- The county has not increased the affordable housing supply, but they're in a
 planning process that could make a change. There are many regional planning
 issues that the state is trying to address, but the political response to homelessness
 may affect progress and programming. The idea of housing first is exciting but has a
 political dynamic, and political fatigue may impact progress.
- NIMBYism pushback on the Westside is frustrating. Every element of the city should be investing in affordable housing to address homelessness, but every time a developer tries to build, there's huge pushback. We need more communication to change hearts and minds.
- Santa Monica and Culver City are high resource areas that do not have enough affordable housing.
- The housing crisis is structural and impacts older adults due to a lack of affordable

senior housing.

- People need places to live near their workplace, but there is institutional resistance to new housing, especially for lower income populations.
- A challenge is capturing student data on homelessness; they don't consider themselves homeless if they are couch surfing or living in their car for a couple days.
- It's important for organizations to look at why an individual has become homeless, to understand the root cause. There needs to be deeper understanding so we can institute long-term efforts to resolve deep-rooted community issues.
- Everyone's pinning hopes on massive relief packages. As a society, we said yes to taxing ourselves to support change; it took COVID to get us to rehouse large numbers but how do we make sure rescue dollars stay with housing and homelessness?
- Clinics created street teams to establish relationships with patients who became homeless. They had success until the City of Los Angeles prohibited camping in public spaces. The patients were displaced and unable to be located, resulting in a disruption in care, especially in the Venice area.
- There are a disproportionate number of African Americans who are homeless as compared to whites and other groups.
- We need data on pregnant/new mothers who are housing insecure as there is no way to measure it currently.
- We're worried about evictions, discriminatory targeting of black and brown and Asian Pacific Islander communities, and people not knowing the housing system. Many get illegal rent increases or eviction notices, so they leave not realizing they can fight it. Tenant protections are needed.
- Lack of affordable housing is what makes people homeless. Mental health, substance abuse, and intimate partner violence are also underlying causes of homelessness.
- Lack of non-congregate housing is an issue, with Venice, Mar Vista, and West LA more impacted.
- We need oversight regarding adequate affordable housing developments in keeping with population growth, and where they're located based on need.
- With families who are experiencing homelessness, the biggest issue is that they are unsure how long they will be with the school district, so they can't commit to anything and, often, the kids can't fully focus in class.
- There is drug use among persons who are homeless, but what's the cause? Part of that is trauma, part is lack of access to jobs, part is generational issues, and part goes back to discrimination. We need early intervention to try to prevent homelessness.
- Persons who are homeless, especially those with mental illness, need support to keep them housed. We need to fund wrap-around services, otherwise homeless

outreach efforts fail if all efforts are only on front end.

- There are not enough adequate shelters, and many are rife with drugs. Addiction can't be treated when one is surrounded by that.
- There's a significant population of youth who are homeless and in the foster care system. Health-related decisions, i.e., vaccine mandate, needs to be addressed in a different way for these youth.
- Many people live in cars and RVs, but then they need money for gas, impound fees, or car repairs.
- There are not enough bathroom and shower facilities.
- Those who receive financial support have experienced checks getting stolen or other financial fraud. Then, how can they pay their rent?
- A challenge is lack of housing that is accepting of people with mental health issues. Landlords aren't always kind to those with mental health issues and will sometimes evict when they have the chance.
- It's hard to find housing for those with chronic and long-term disabilities, which impacts older adults and those with long-term chronic diseases.

Public Program Participation

Among adults in SPA 5, 5.1% reported avoiding government benefits due to concerns about disqualification from obtaining a green card or US citizenship. In SPA 5, 12.8% of adults reported using food stamps and 22.9% of parents/guardians indicated they accessed WIC benefits as compared to the county at 66.2%. Among low-income older and disabled adults in SPA 5, 24.0% received Supplemental Security Income (SSI). SSI was the only category of benefits that was higher in SPA 5 than in the county.

Public Program Participation

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Avoided government benefits | 5.1*% | 18.8% | 16.1% |
| Food stamp recipients (<200% FPL) | 12.8%* | 25.2% | 23.7% |
| Child <5 years, ever participated in WIC [‡] | 22.9%* | 66.2% | No Data |
| Supplemental Social Security Income (SSI) (adults, <a> | 24.0%* | 10.5% | 10.9% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u> ‡2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Food Insecurity

Food insecurity refers to U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) measure of lack of access, at times, to enough food for an active, healthy life for all household members and limited or uncertain availability of nutritionally adequate foods to acquire these foods in socially-acceptable ways.

In 2019, 10.7% of the population in Los Angeles County experienced food insecurity, as

compared to the state (10.2%). Among children in the county, 14.5% were food insecure, as compared to the state at 13.6%. Note, these data were collected prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Food Insecurity, by Population

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| Total population experiencing food insecurity | 10.7% | 10.2% |
| Children, experiencing food insecurity | 14.5% | 13.6% |
| Source: Feeding America, 2019. https://map.feedingamerica.org/county/2019/overall/California | | |

In SPA 5, 18.0% of households, living below 300% of the Federal Poverty Level, reported food insecurity. Among adults, below 200% FPL, 28.2% cannot afford food.

Food Insecurity, by Income

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| Households, <300% FPL that are food insecure | 18.0% | 26.8% |
| Not able to afford food (<200% FPL) [‡] | 28.2%* | 37.8% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm.</u>‡California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. 2018 and 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Farmers Markets Accepting Public Benefits

Eligible individuals in the Women, Infants, and Children Program (WIC) and CalFresh, California's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) are able to use their California WIC card or Electronic Benefit Transfer Card to obtain fruits and vegetable at approved farmers markets. The table below provides information about local farmers markets in the service area.

Farmers Markets Accepting Public Benefits

| | ZIP Codes | Number of Farmers Markets | Accepting EBT and/or WIC |
|-------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 2 | EBT and WIC |
| Century City | 90067 | 2 | EBT and WIC |
| Culver City | 90232 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Malibu | 90263 | 1 | Not available |
| Malibu | 90265 | 1 | Not available |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 2 | EBT |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Palms | 90034 | 1 | EBT |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 2 | EBT and WIC |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Venice | 90291 | 1 | Not available |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 1 | EBT and WIC |

| | ZIP Codes | Number of Farmers Markets | Accepting EBT and/or WIC |
|------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 1 | EBT |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 1 | EBT and WIC |
| Westchester | 90045 | 1 | EBT |
| Westwood | 90024 | 2 | EBT |

Source: Ecology Center. https://ecologycenter.org/fmfinder. Accessed 5/3/2021.

Community Input – Food Insecurity

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to food insecurity. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- It was shocking how many people lost basic food security during the pandemic. Our agency did the most work we've done in 10 years in this area of need.
- Many families aren't comfortable admitting need, so the numbers of food insecure are likely underrepresented.
- Food access to healthy and nutritious food is big issue impacting black and brown communities, those working on the front lines, unemployed, and seniors as they lost touch with loved ones.
- Seniors and those with disabilities often had to choose between rent, medicine, and meals. With senior centers closed, many became homebound.
- 90% of students come from low-income communities; they're not getting enough nutrition at home.
- Schools provided meals and mental health resources, but this required access to transportation.
- We need more fresh grocery outlets and affordable farmers markets.
- With food deserts in many communities, many rely on food pantries. They are unable to just run to the store to stock up. This is exacerbated by racial and wealth disparities impacting black and brown communities, children, and those who are unhoused.
- Low-income families are often on limited incomes eating unhealthy items like ramen, which exacerbates physical health conditions.
- Many aren't aware of SNAP benefits, so we need an education focus.
- The increase in food stamp resources and enhancements to CalFresh were appreciated. We need to think strategically and use food as an incentive to link people to other services and resources.
- Many agencies are still providing food; the need is steady or even increasing, resulting in wait lists.
- Food distribution logistics are problematic; we can't do in a group setting due to COVID. We're worried about the continuing need as we return to normalcy.
- During the pandemic, there was a food surplus. Organizations couldn't take all that was donated by restaurants and vendors, and there was a disconnect in matching food to those who needed it. Some organizations passed out food on the streets, but

food safety issues and waste were concerns.

- We're trying to normalize the food pantry, so students aren't ashamed. It's set up like a store, so students will want to go there.
- Food distribution programs aren't always well-tailored to the dietary needs of the Asian Pacific Islander community, so many won't go as it's not what they eat, or they worry about stigma. Some smaller churches or temples tailor programs to audiences like Chinese seniors.
- For persons who are homeless, the quality of food is a concern. They eat what's available, which isn't always medically appropriate for diabetes, for example.
- Many community clinics started food distribution programs. There's opportunity to strengthen relationships with food banks and clinics for referral relationships, i.e., food is medicine program.
- Not enough organizations provide home-delivered meals. Often the delivery person is the only contact for homebound individuals.

Educational Attainment

Among service area adults, ages 25 and older, 2.5% lack a high school diploma. 9.0% are high school graduates and 36.8% have a bachelor's degree. The service area has higher rates of bachelor and graduate/professional level education than the county.

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Population, ages 25 and older | 483,043 | 6,886,895 |
| Less than 9 th grade | 3.1% | 8.6% |
| 9 th to12 th grade, no diploma | 2.5% | 9.2% |
| High school graduate | 9.0% | 20.6% |
| Some college, no degree | 15.1% | 19.0% |
| Associate degree | 5.0% | 7.0% |
| Bachelor degree | 36.8% | 21.2% |
| Graduate or professional degree | 28.6% | 11.3% |

Educational Attainment, Adults, Ages 25 and Older

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, DP02. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

High school graduation rates are determined by dividing the number of graduates for the school year by the number of freshmen enrolled four years earlier. The Healthy People 2030 high school graduation objective is 90.7%. Beverly Hills Unified (96.0%), Culver City Unified (94.4%), and Santa Monica-Malibu Unified (94.4%) exceed the Healthy People 2030 objective for high school graduation.

High School Graduation Rates, 2019-2020

| | High School Graduation Rate |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 96.0% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 94.4% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 81.3% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District | 80.1% |

| | High School Graduation Rate | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 94.4% | |
| Los Angeles County | 86.5% | |
| California | 87.6% | |

Source: California Department of Education, 2020. https://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

Preschool Enrollment

The percentage of children, ages 3 and 4, enrolled in preschool in the service area ranged from 61.5% in West Los Angeles (90025) to 100% in Beverly Hills (90211, 90212) and Playa del Rey. Malibu 90263 did not report any children ages 3-4 years.

Enrolled in Preschool, Children, Ages 3 and 4

| | ZIP Code | Total Population Ages 3-4 | Percent Enrolled |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 268 | 84.3% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 289 | 88.2% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 73 | 100% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 286 | 100% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 540 | 87.2% |
| Century City | 90067 | 55 | 63.6% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 783 | 68.8% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 112 | 74.1% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 91 | 57.1% |
| Malibu | 90263 | 0 | 0 |
| Malibu | 90265 | 223 | 77.1% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 462 | 70.8% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 721 | 83.5% |
| Palms | 90034 | 953 | 71.6% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 77 | 100% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 435 | 89.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 38 | 57.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 207 | 68.1% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 300 | 75.3% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 227 | 81.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 517 | 83.6% |
| Venice | 90291 | 485 | 69.1% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 1,184 | 62.2% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 634 | 61.5% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 685 | 86.3% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 631 | 81.1% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 810 | 77.3% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 581 | 79.9% |
| UCLA Health Service Area | | 11,667 | 76.5% |
| Los Angeles County | | 255,273 | 54.5% |
| California | | 1,021,926 | 49.6% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019, S1401. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Reading to Children

Adults with children, ages 0 to 5, in their care were asked whether the children were read to daily by family members in a typical week. Of adults in SPA 5, 75.1% responded yes to this question as compared to the county at 51.9%.

Children Who Were Read to Daily, by a Parent or Family Member

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | |
|--|-------|--------------------|--|
| Children, ages 0 to 5, who were read to daily | 75.1% | 51.9% | |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department | | | |
| of Public Health. http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm | | | |

Childcare Access

Of adults with children, ages 0 to 5, 24.4% in SPA 5 reported difficulty in finding needed childcare on a regular basis.

Difficult to Find Childcare on a Regular Basis

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | |
|--|-------|--------------------|--|
| Difficulty finding regular childcare | 24.4% | 29.6% | |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department | | | |
| of Public Health. http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm | | | |

Transportation

In the service area, of individuals, ages 16 and older, 70.3% drove alone to work. Notably, of workers, 9.9% worked from home, 5.9% walked to work, and 4.3% used other means to get to work as compared to the county and state. The average service area commute time was 26.3 minutes.

Transportation for Workers, Ages 16 and Older

| | UCLA Health Service Area | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Drove alone to work | 70.3% | 74.0% | 73.7% |
| Carpooled to work | 5.4% | 9.5% | 10.1% |
| Commuted by public transportation | 4.2% | 5.8% | 5.1% |
| Walked | 5.9% | 2.7% | 2.6% |
| Other means | 4.3% | 2.4% | 2.6% |
| Worked from home | 9.9% | 5.6% | 5.9% |
| Mean travel time to work (minutes) | 26.3 | 31.8 | 29.8 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, DP03. https://data.census.gov/cedsci/

Community Walkability

WalkScore.com ranks over 2,800 cities in the United States (over 10,000 neighborhoods) with a walk score. The Walk Score is determined by access to amenities and pedestrian friendliness, with a scoring range of 0 to 100. A higher score indicates an area is more accessible to walking while a lower score indicates a more vehicle dependent location. Service area communities ranged from a low of 14 in Bel Air to a high of 90 for West Los Angeles.

Walkability

| | Walk Score | Definition |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Bel Air | 14 | Car Dependent |
| Beverly Hills | 75 | Very Walkable |
| Brentwood | 35 | Car Dependent |
| Century City | 66 | Somewhat Walkable |
| Culver City | 75 | Very Walkable |
| Ladera Heights | 50 | Somewhat Walkable |
| Malibu | Not available | Not available |
| Marina del Rey | 67 | Somewhat Walkable |
| Mar Vista | 74 | Very Walkable |
| Pacific Palisades | 35 | Car Dependent |
| Palms | 89 | Very Walkable |
| Playa del Rey | 60 | Somewhat Walkable |
| Playa Vista | 70 | Very Walkable |
| Santa Monica | 82 | Very Walkable |
| Venice | 83 | Very Walkable |
| West Los Angeles | 90 | Walker's Paradise |
| Westchester | 64 | Somewhat Walkable |
| Westwood | 69 | Somewhat Walkable |

Source: WalkScore.com, 2021. http://www.walkscore.com.

Community Input – Transportation

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to transportation. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- The ability to navigate Los Angeles is very hard we lack robust public transportation service.
- Many lack cars as gas and maintenance is expensive.
- The lack of education related to transportation and health outcomes is an issue, i.e., people don't change their car cabin air filters because they don't know that car interior pollution can be just as bad as outside pollution.
- Transportation is a huge barrier to accessing care, some may have to travel quite a distance and use multiple busses; hospital-based services may be even further away.
- The distance between where people can afford to live, where they work and where health supports are available is an issue. Having more health supports built into transport nodes is a possibility.
- Need investment in policy and advocacy. Need innovative project to invest heavily in bike lanes – overwhelmingly low-income people of color use these bike lanes to get to work.
- The Westside is not public transportation friendly. There are a lot of broken-down cars and many living in vehicles. Clients often can't afford a car or gas.
- We need a network of free transportation, i.e., for moms, pregnant women and the very sick.
- Access issues impact those who are undocumented. Many want to go to culturally

specific service providers, who may be located all throughout LA and are hard to get to.

- A barrier is technology; the ease of accessing public transportation isn't there, especially for older adults, the disabled or cognitively impaired. Door-to-door services are needed.
- Many Vietnam veterans tend to have more mobility issues so getting on a bus may be difficult.
- Maintaining public transit access is a concern due to COVID and reduced use of public transit.
- We have safety concerns for older adults using public transportation right now, particularly with the number of people in the bus and proper use of masks.
- A big challenge has been the limited number of students in a bus with social distancing; we had to increase transportation staff so all students who need transportation have it.
- Drug Medi-Cal pays for transportation, but lower income persons who don't qualify still lack access.
- The Medi-Cal transportation benefit has too much administrative work to get people connected.
- Some bus services have limited transportation.
- Poor community members, specifically Tongans, Samoans, Vietnamese, Thai, Cambodians, and seniors without a car rely on public transportation.
- We need more micro-transit options, i.e., a pilot shuttle for on demand services.
- Non-emergency transportation to follow up appointments is challenging; there's an expectation that they can get there.

Environmental Indicators

Air Quality

Los Angeles air quality averages a US AQI or air quality index rating of "moderate." Monthly averages in 2019 varied from AQI 32 ("good") in February to AQI 64 ("moderate") in November¹. Despite seemingly optimistic ratings, Los Angeles' air pollution is among the worst in the United States, both for PM2.5 and ozone. The American Lung Association State of Air report rated Los Angeles County as unhealthy under Ozone, Particle Pollution (24 hours), and receiving a FAIL grade for annual particle pollution.²

¹ Source: IQAir. Downloaded 3/13/21 Los Angeles Air Quality Index (AQI) and California Air Pollution <u>AirVisual (iqair.com)</u>

² Source: American Lung Association, State of the Air Report, 2020. <u>Los Angeles - State of the Air |</u> <u>American Lung Association</u>

Water Quality

Water quality reports³ from the Los Angeles County Water and Power District (2019), City of Beverly Hills (2019), City of Brentwood (2019), and City of Santa Monica (2020) identified that city drinkable water supplies met Primary Drinking Water Standards (PDWS) and Secondary Drinking Water Standards (SDWS).

Climate Change

Climate change affects the social and environmental determinants of health, including, but not limited to, clean air, safe drinking water, sufficient food, and secure shelter. More so, experts have recognized the impact of climate change in exacerbating health and mental health conditions and the compounded risks of adverse health outcomes. The table below identifies of public health impacts of climate change.

| Climate Change Exposures | Health Impacts | Population Most Effected |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| All Impacts | Mental Health Disorders Depression, anxiety, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, substance abuse, and other conditions caused by: Disruption, displacement, and migration Loss of home, lives, and livelihood Health Care Impacts Increased rates of illness and disease, emergency room use, and related costs borne by employers, health plans, and residents Damage to health facilities | All populations • Low income • Health care staff |
| Extreme heat | Premature death • Cardiovascular stress and failure • Heat-related illnesses such as heat stroke, heat exhaustion, and kidney stones | Elderly • Children • Diabetics • Low-income • Urban residents • People with respiratory diseases • Agricultural workers • Those active outdoors |
| Poor air quality/air pollution | Increased asthma, allergies, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and other cardiovascular and respiratory diseases | Children • Elderly • People with respiratory disease • Low income • Those active outdoors |
| Wildfires | Injuries and death from burns and smoke inhalation • Eye and respiratory illnesses due to air pollution • Exacerbation of asthma, allergies, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and other cardiovascular and respiratory diseases • Risk from erosion and land slippage after wildfires • Displacement and loss of homes | People with respiratory diseases |

Public Health Impacts of Climate Change in California

³ Source: Los Angeles County Water and Power District <u>https://ladwp.com</u>, City of Brentwood <u>https://www.brentwoodca.gov/gov/pw/water/reports.asp</u> City of Beverly Hills <u>http://www.beverlyhills.org/departments/publicworks/web.jsp</u>, City of Santa Monica <u>https://www.smgov.net/departments/publicworks/</u>

| Climate Change Exposures | Health Impacts | Population Most Effected |
|---|--|---|
| Severe weather, extreme rainfall, floods, water issues | Population displacement, loss of home and livelihood • Death from drowning • Injuries • Damage to potable water, wastewater, and irrigation systems, resulting in decrease in quality/quantity of water supply and disruption to agriculture • Water and food-borne diseases from sewage overflow | Coastal residents, and residents in flood-prone areas • Elderly • Children • Low income |
| Increased average temperature | Cardiovascular disease • Increased number and range of: • Vector-borne disease, such as West Nile virus, malaria, Hantavirus, or plague • Water-borne disease, such as cholera and E. coli • Food-borne disease, such as salmonella poisoning • Harmful algal blooms causing skin disease and poisoning • Allergies caused by pollen, and rashes from plants such as poison ivy or stinging nettle • Vulnerability to wildfires and air pollution | Children • Elderly • Agricultural workers • Those active outdoors • People with respiratory disease • People with acute allergies |
| Agricultural changes | Changing patterns and yields of crops, pests, and weed species, resulting in higher prices for food and food insecurity, hunger, and malnutrition • Changes in agriculture/forestry, leading to lost or displaced jobs and unemployment | Agricultural workers • Rural communities • Low income • Elderly • Children |
| Drought | Hunger and malnutrition caused by disruption in food and water supply, increased cost and conflict over food and water • Food and water- borne disease • Emergence of new contagious and vector-borne disease | Low income • Elderly • Children |

Source: Maizlish N, English D, Chan J, Dervin K, English P. Climate Change and Health Profile Report: Los Angeles County. Sacramento, CA: Office of Health Equity, California Department of Public Health; 2017. https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/OHE/pages/climatehealthprofilereports.aspx

When queried about concerns due to climate change, adults in SPA 5 identified droughts and water shortages (92.4%) and worsening wildfires (92.0%) as their highest concerns.

Concerns Due to Climate Change, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Concerned about droughts and water shortages | 92.4% | 84.4% |
| Concerned about worse wildfires | 92.0% | 78.0% |
| Concerned about worse air pollution | 85.1% | 79.4% |
| Contamination of drinking water | 73.2% | 74.9% |
| Concerned about more heat waves | 73.0% | 71.1% |
| More diseases from mosquitos | 57.5% | 62.2% |
| Concerned about very heavy rainstorms | 42.9% | 44.9% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Parks, Playgrounds and Open Spaces

Children and teens who live in close proximity to safe parks, playgrounds, and open spaces tend to be more physically active than those who do not live near those facilities.

Among youth, 84.9% lived within walking distance to a playground or open space and 100% visited a park, playground, or open space within the past month in SPA 5.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Walking distance to park, playground or open spaces | 84.9%* | 92.3%* | 89.2% |
| Visited a park/playground/open space | 100%* | 74.2% | 81.4% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Among SPA 5 families, 94.6% with children and 100% with teens agreed/strongly agreed parks and playgrounds closest to where they lived were safe during the day.

Parks and Playgrounds Perceived as Safe During the Day

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|------------|
| Children, ages 1-11 | 94.6%* | 86.5% | 89.2% |
| Teens, ages 12-17 | 100%* | 82.5% | 85.7% |
| | | oztoblo duo to comple cizo, http://co | |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Community Input – Environmental Conditions

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to environmental conditions. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- We have a global climate crisis. We're all impacted by fires and the resulting bad air quality due to wind patterns.
- Many buildings lack air conditioning and are near freeways; the air quality is bad for those who keep their windows open. Many live in unhealthy units with overcrowding and mold.
- There is lead paint in many old homes.
- The 10 freeway runs right through Santa Monica, bringing pollution to the city.
- Some patients, especially those with asthma, have been affected by poor air quality due to smoke from fires. Some clinics have even had to close for a time due to fires.
- Water quality is a concern, especially the creek that's part of the Sepulveda channel. Trash from the streets goes into our water. There's an increased smell that affects the neighborhood.
- Certain areas have significant sewage issues, toxic smells, and air filter needs due to a lack of infrastructure that's unacceptable.
- Generally, this is an issue of equity and system structure. Poor communities don't have same high quality environmental standards. Instead, they have oil drilling, meat processing plants, and less infrastructure for safe, active recreation.
- There's disproportionate overexposure to environmental toxins, especially with black and brown communities living closer to freeways and high traffic corridors. Many of

these areas have an increased incidence of exposure to toxins. A lack of tree canopy compounds the issue.

- Certain ethnic groups within the Asian Pacific Islander community live in high pollution areas.
- There's too much trash and human waste from encampments.
- LA County has a lot of oil drilling and oil fields, primarily in minority communities. There are oil and gas extraction sites and heavy industrial use sites next to residential areas.
- Need equity-based access to parks, nature, and trees. Creating open spaces where the community can gather safely outdoors has positive health impacts.

Adverse Childhood Events

Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) are potentially traumatic events that occur in childhood. ACEs can include violence, abuse, and growing up in a family with mental health or substance use problems. Toxic stress from ACEs can change brain development and affect how the body responds to stress. ACEs are linked to chronic health problems, mental illness, and substance misuse in adulthood. In Los Angeles County 15.7% of children, ages 0 to 17, have experienced two or more adverse events as compared to the state at 14.9%.

Children with Two or More Adverse Experiences, Parent Reported

| | Los Angeles County | California | |
|---|--------------------|------------|--|
| Two or more ACEs | 15.7% | 14.9% | |
| Source: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Survey of Children's Health. 2016-2019 (October 2020). | | | |
| http://www.kidsdata.org | | | |

Crime and Violence

People can be exposed to violence in many ways. They may be victimized directly, witness violence or property crimes in their community, or hear about crime and violence from other residents, all of which can affect quality of life. Safe neighborhoods are a key component of physical and mental health. Among adults, 88.8% in SPA 5 perceived their neighborhoods to be safe from crime.

Safe Neighborhoods, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | |
|--|-------|--------------------|--|
| Neighborhoods safe from crime | 88.8% | 85.0% | |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm | | | |

When adults and teens were asked about neighborhood cohesion, the majority of residents in SPA 5 agreed their neighborhood was safe most of the time, neighbors were willing to help, and people in their neighborhood could be trusted.

Neighborhood Cohesion, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|---------------|--------------------|
| Feels safe all or most of time | 93.1% | 81.3% |
| People in neighborhood are willing to help | 77.3% | 72.6% |
| People in neighborhood can be trusted | 90.0% | 75.3% |
| Source: California Health Interview Survey 2019 http://ask.c | his ucla edu/ | |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Neighborhood Cohesion, Teens, Ages 12-17

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| People in neighborhood are willing to help | 87.3%* | 85.0% |
| People in neighborhood can be trusted | 90.5%* | 79.3% |
| Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019, Year | s 2018- 2019 pooled to improve sus | stainability of data *Statistically |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018- 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Child Abuse

In Los Angeles County, the rate of children, under 18 years of age, who experienced abuse or neglect, was 8.5 per 1,000 children. This is higher than the state rate of 6.6 per 1,000 children. These rates were based on children with a substantiated maltreatment allegation.

Substantiated Child Abuse Rates, per 1,000 Children

| | Los Angeles County | California | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--|--|
| Substantiated cases of child abuse and neglect | 8.5 | 6.6 | | |
| Source: California Child Walfare Indicators Project 2020, http://cssr.berkeley.edu/uch_childwalfare/Allegations.aspy | | | | |

Source: California Child Welfare Indicators Project, 2020. <u>http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/Allegations.aspx</u>

In Los Angeles County, the majority of reported allegations of child abuse and neglect of children, under 18 years old, were from general neglect (42.1%).

Child Maltreatment Allegations, by Type of Abuse

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| General neglect | 42.1% | 49.1% |
| At risk, sibling abuse | 16.8% | 10.0% |
| Emotional abuse | 16.0% | 11.9% |
| Physical abuse | 13.7% | 16.3% |
| Sexual abuse | 9.2% | 10.0% |
| Severe neglect | 1.2% | 1.6% |
| Care taker absence/Incapacity | 1.0% | 0.9% |
| Exploitation | 0.2% | 0.1% |

Source: California Child Welfare Indicators Project, 2020. http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare/Allegations.aspx

Crime Statistics

Violent crimes include homicide, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault. Property crimes include burglary, larceny theft, and motor vehicle theft. Arson includes fires set to structural, mobile, or other property.

| | Violent Crimes | Property Crimes | Arson |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| | Number | Number | Number |
| Beverly Hills Police Department | 103 | 1,499 | 6 |
| Culver City Police Department | 182 | 1,647 | 1 |
| Los Angeles Police Department | 29,400 | 95,704 | 1,672 |
| LA County Sheriff's Department | 5,564 | 15,040 | 220 |
| LA Transit Service Bureau | 150 | 155 | 1 |
| Malibu Police Department | 44 | 416 | 3 |
| Santa Monica Department | 664 | 3,964 | 19 |
| UCLA Police Department | 89 | 756 | 2 |
| Los Angeles County | 54,416 | 224,192 | 2,711 |
| California | 173,205 | 915,197 | 8,266 |

Violent Crimes, Property Crimes, Arson, by Jurisdiction

Source: California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, 2019. <u>State of California Department of Justice -</u> <u>OpenJustice</u>

Hate Crimes

Hate crimes are reported as an event. There may be one or more victims involved for each event. The table below identifies hate crimes reported in service area police jurisdictions.

| Hate Crimes, | by Jurisdiction | |
|--------------|-----------------|--|
| | | |

| | Hate Crime Events | Victims |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Number | Number |
| Beverly Hills Police Department | 9 | 9 |
| Culver City Police Department | N/A | N/A |
| Los Angeles Police Department | 283 | 331 |
| LA County Sheriff's Department | 15 | 19 |
| LA Transit Service Bureau | 4 | 4 |
| Malibu Police Department | 1 | 1 |
| Los Angeles County | 405 | 471 |
| California | 1,015 | 1,247 |

Source: California Department of Justice. 2019 Hate Crime in California Report. Hate Crime in CA 2019.pdf

Intimate Partner Violence

Physical violence is defined by being hit, slapped, pushed, kicked, or hurt by an intimate partner. In SPA 5, 13.7% of women and 21.5% of men experienced physical violence by a partner. Sexual violence is defined by experiencing unwanted sex by an intimate partner. In SPA 5, 13.5% of women and 6.7% of men experienced sexual violence.

Intimate Partner Violence, by Gender

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Women have experienced physical violence | 13.7% | 16.0% |
| Men have experienced physical violence | 21.5% | 11.8% |

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Women have experienced sexual violence | 13.5% | 10.1% |
| Men have experienced sexual violence | 6.7%* | 3.3% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Domestic Violence Calls

Calls for domestic violence are categorized as with or without a weapon, and since 2018 strangulation and suffocation. Weapons include firearms, knives, other weapons, and personal weapons (hands, feet). For Weapon Involved, personal weapon was the most often category reported for all locations below.

| | Total Calls | No Weapon | Weapon Involved | % Using Weapon | Strangulation/ Suffocation |
|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Beverly Hills Police Dept. | 96 | 38 | 58 | 60.4% | 0 |
| Culver City Police Dept. | 50 | 0 | 50 | 100% | 13 |
| Los Angeles Police Dept. | 17,721 | 0 | 17,721 | 100% | 2,049 |
| LA County Sheriff's Dept. | 3,623 | 718 | 2,905 | 80.1% | 0 |
| LA Transit Service Bureau | 35 | 2 | 33 | 94.2% | 0 |
| Malibu Police Dept. | 26 | 4 | 22 | 84.6% | 0 |
| Santa Monica Dept. | 227 | 100 | 127 | 55.9% | 34 |
| UCLA Police Dept. | 70 | 43 | 27 | 38.5% | 0 |
| Los Angeles County | 36,707 | 7,992 | 28,715 | 78.2% | 2,773 |
| California | 161,123 | 85,995 | 75,128 | 46.6% | 8,552 |

Domestic Violence Calls, by Jurisdiction

Source: California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, 2019. https://oag.ca.gov/crime/cjsc/stats/domestic-violence

Community Input – Community Safety

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to community safety. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- Drugs, robberies, violence, and drive by shootings are all happening more. Families already don't feel safe because of COVID, then layering on these issues causes more stress and anxiety.
- We are seeing an influx of violent and threatening behavior, reflecting overall stress in the world.
- The increasing gap of rich and poor results in people being bolder in committing crimes.
- Prevalence of guns and gun violence is a concern.
- Some teen clients live in gang infested areas, so it's not safe to walk around the neighborhood due to gang and violence exposure. School was their safety net.
- Many can't exercise outdoors as it's not safe to be outside.
- The biggest threat to community safety is untreated mental health issues.

- Gang violence and drug use were exacerbated by the pandemic. Children from lowincome families are easier to influence into gangs and violence. Parts of Venice and Culver City are a concern.
- Need more violence prevention or gang intervention at hospitals and/or urgent cares.
- Domestic violence and intimate partner violence are concerns, especially during the quarantine. Being at home isn't always safe.
- Predatory behavior is a concern, specifically women and children who are homeless who have a high incidence of sexual and domestic assault and with sex trafficking.
- Anti-Asian hate attacks, crimes, and discrimination in the workplace have created panic and anxiety. Gender-based violence is also an issue; concerned about women and seniors.
- The impact of policing/over-policing of black and brown communities can be a concern. We hear worries about the threat of police violence.
- Safety concerns in Santa Monica have increased. People in encampments may be safer because they are together.
- Concerned with pedestrian accidents in lower-income parts of Santa Monica. We had one client involved who passed away.
- Safety is a huge issue on college campuses; the key is education.
- There's a lack of safe streets for pedestrians and bicyclists. Children are disproportionately impacted. Road speeds are too high and traffic cameras can't always be used to control traffic.
- Concerned with safe passage to and from school for students.
- Concerned for older adults' in-home safety.
- Homelessness and drug-induced psychosis is a huge issue in West Hollywood and Hollywood areas. This is a safety concern for those on the street and the community in general. Within this population, we know traumatic childhood events are often involved.
- Many people living on the streets are brutalized often, women.
- The high rate of murder of transwomen is an epidemic, as well as LGBT violence in general.
- Cambodian or Vietnamese persons tend to have intergenerational trauma, tied to refugee communities.
- Older adults and transition-age youth who are homeless are more vulnerable to being victimized.
- Violence among persons who are homeless will continue to be a challenge; the violence is often due to co-occurring substance abuse/mental health disorders. Mental health is the upstream issue to deal with.
- With Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs), we're thinking about how to embed

trauma consideration in all care provided. The higher the ACEs score, the more likely to see chronic disease and mental health issues. If we can provide timely intervention to kids with high ACEs scores, then we will impact long-term health outcomes.

- ACEs measures may ask about substance use within a family. We see a concern that if substance use is affirmed, then the child may be removed from parent/caregiver. There's an unintended consequence so people may have reluctance to answer truthfully.
- Our goal is to coordinate with mental health programs to create a universal traumainformed program for teachers and staff to understand impact of ACEs on childhood and adulthood. A lens of empathy is needed - ask "what happened to this child?" instead of "what's wrong with this child?"
- We're implementing ACEs screening once for adults and annually for children. The challenge is workflow who will do the screening? A challenge is having robust behavioral health resources.
- LGBT youth often have ACEs trauma. When their family rejects them, that creates a huge factor in having a difficult life, which downstream creates challenges for us all as a society.

Health Care Access

Health Insurance Coverage

Health insurance coverage is a key component to accessing health care. The Healthy People 2030 objective for health insurance coverage for all population groups is 92.1%. In the service area, 95.1% of the population has health insurance coverage, which is higher than county (90.4%) and state (92.5%) rates. Among children, ages 0 to 18, 97.1% are insured. Among adults, ages 19 to 64, 93.4% have health insurance coverage in the service area.

Health Insurance Coverage

| | ZIP Code | All Ages | 0 to 18 | 19 to 64 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 98.1% | 98.6% | 97.1% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 98.0% | 98.2% | 96.8% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 95.9% | 98.4% | 94.4% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 94.2% | 88.7% | 94.4% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 98.1% | 98.9% | 97.2% |
| Century City | 90067 | 98.1% | 100% | 95.2% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 94.3% | 98.8% | 91.4% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 95.0% | 97.9% | 93.4% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 97.1% | 95.6% | 96.2% |
| Malibu | 90263 | 99.4% | 100% | 99.1% |
| Malibu | 90265 | 95.5% | 94.8% | 94.2% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 96.6% | 99.2% | 95.5% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 99.1% | 100% | 98.4% |
| Palms | 90034 | 91.3% | 96.6% | 89.5% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 96.4% | 97.9% | 95.3% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 97.1% | 99.2% | 96.6% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 98.2% | 100% | 98.0% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 98.3% | 99.5% | 97.1% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 96.8% | 96.7% | 96.1% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 93.0% | 90.6% | 92.3% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 94.6% | 97.9% | 92.5% |
| Venice | 90291 | 93.0% | 98.3% | 90.9% |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 90.9% | 96.9% | 87.8% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 93.5% | 99.5% | 91.7% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 95.2% | 98.4% | 93.5% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 96.8% | 99.3% | 95.3% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 97.8% | 98.2% | 97.3% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 96.1% | 98.3% | 94.9% |
| UCLA Health Service Are | alth Service Area 95.1% | 95.1% | 97.1% | 93.4% |
| Los Angeles County | | 90.4% | 96.1% | 86.6% |
| California | California | | 96.7% | 89.3% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2015-2019. S2701. https://data.census.gov/cedsci

In SPA 5, 13.0% of the population had Medi-Cal coverage, and over half the population (53.3%) had employment-based insurance. Levels of Medi-Cal coverage were lower in SPA 5 than for the county or state, while employment-based, Medicare and other, and

private-purchase insurance coverage rates were higher.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Employment based | 53.3% | 42.6% | 46.4% |
| Medicare and others | 11.5% | 8.1% | 9.7% |
| Private purchase | 11.8% | 5.7% | 5.7% |
| Medi-Cal | 13.0% | 27.5% | 24.0% |
| Uninsured | 5.6% | 8.4% | 7.2% |
| Medi-Cal/Medicare | 3.5% | 5.0% | 4.1% |
| Medicare only | 0.9%* | 1.4% | 1.6% |
| Other public | 0.5%* | 1.2% | 2.3% |

Insurance Coverage, by Type of Insurance

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017-2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Sources of Care

Access to a medical home and a primary care provider improve continuity of care and decrease unnecessary emergency room visits. Children in SPA 5 (94.0%) are more likely to have a usual source of care than children countywide (92.2%). Among adults in SPA 5, 80.7% have a usual source of care. Seniors in SPA 5 (95.8%) are more likely to have a usual source of care than seniors in the county (92.5%) and state (94.8%).

Has a Usual Source of Care

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Ages 0-17 | 94.0%* | 92.2% | 91.5% |
| Ages 18-64 | 80.7% | 80.8% | 83.6% |
| Ages 65 and older | 95.8% | 92.5% | 94.8% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

When access to a usual source of care is examined by race/ethnicity, Latinos in SPA 5 are the least likely to have a usual source of care (74.2%). Whites are the most likely to have a usual source of care (92.3%).

Usual Source of Care, by Race/Ethnicity, All Ages

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| White | 92.3% | 90.5% | 91.2% |
| African American | 82.0%* | 90.5% | 90.4% |
| Asian | 80.3%* | 86.7% | 87.5% |
| Latino | 74.2% | 80.7% | 82.5% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

In SPA 5, 71.2% of the population access care at a doctor's office, HMO or Kaiser, 13.1% access care at a clinic or community hospital, and less than 1.0% access care at

an ER or urgent care.

Source of Care, All Ages

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Doctor's office/HMO/Kaiser | 71.2% | 58.5% | 61.8% |
| Community clinic/government clinic/community hospital | 13.1% | 24.2% | 22.8% |
| ER/Urgent Care | 0.4%* | 1.7% | 1.4% |
| Other | 0.9%* | 0.7% | 1.0% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Telehealth

Telehealth connects patients to vital health care services through videoconferencing, remote monitoring, electronic consults, and wireless communications. Among SPA 5 adults, 12.1% have received care from a health care provider through video or telephone conversations in the past year. It should be noted that these data were collected prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Anecdotally, recent policy changes during the COVID-19 pandemic have reduced barriers to telehealth access and have promoted the use of telehealth as a way to deliver acute/chronic primary and specialty care. It is uncertain if these changes will remain permanent or are only temporary.

Telehealth, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Received care from a health care provider through video or telephone | 12.1% | 11.7% | 12.4% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Difficulty Accessing Care

In SPA 5, 2.7% of children, ages 0-17, and 9.3% of adults had difficulty accessing medical care in the previous 12 months.

Difficulty Accessing Care in the Past Year

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|-----------------------|
| Child reported to have difficulty accessing medical care | 2.7%* | 9.3% |
| Adults who reported difficulty accessing medical care | 12.5% | 21.3% |

Source: Los Angeles County Health Survey, 2018. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/hasurveyintro.htm</u>

Difficulty Accessing Primary Care and Specialty Care

Among adults in SPA 5, 9.6% had difficulty finding primary care. In SPA 5, 16.2% of adults at or below 100% FPL and 13.4% of adults at or below 200% FPL had difficulty finding primary care.

Typically, individuals find it more difficult to access specialty care than primary care. Among SPA 5 adults, 17.7% had difficulty finding specialty care. In SPA 5, 23.9% of adults at or below 200% FPL had difficulty finding specialty care.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Difficulty finding primary care | 9.6% | 8.2% | 8.1% |
| <100% of poverty level | 16.2%* | 9.8% | 9.6% |
| <200% of poverty level | 13.4%* | 9.4% | 10.5% |
| Difficulty finding specialty care | 17.7% | 17.1% | 15.8% |
| <100% of poverty level | ** | 14.5% | 22.3% |
| <200% of poverty level | 23.9%* | 15.8% | 20.6% |

Difficulty Finding Primary and Specialty Care, Adults

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Suppressed due to small sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Difficulty Finding Affordable Health Insurance Plans

Among SPA 5 adults looking for insurance coverage, 100% reported it very difficult or somewhat difficult to find an affordable health plan directly through an insurance company or Health Maintenance Organization (HMO).

Difficulty Finding Affordable Health Insurance Plan - Insurance Company or HMO, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Very Difficult | 35.4%* | 51.8% | 45.7% |
| Somewhat Difficult | 64.2%* | 25.6% | 28.4% |
| Not too Difficult | ** | 10.0% | 14.3% |
| Not at all Difficult | ** | 12.6% | 11.6% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. **Suppressed due to small sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Among SPA 5 adults looking for insurance coverage, 52.8% reported it very difficult or somewhat difficult to find an affordable health plan directly through Covered California.

Difficulty Finding Affordable Health Insurance Plan - Covered California, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Very Difficult | 13.6%* | 40.0% | 38.1% |
| Somewhat Difficult | 39.2% | 27.7% | 27.3% |
| Not too Difficult | 43.2% | 21.1% | 21.1% |
| Not at all Difficult | ** | 11.2% | 13.5% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018-2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.</u>

Appointment Availability

A delay of care can lead to an increased risk of health care complications. Among adults in SPA 5, 33.3% were always able to get a doctor's appointment within two days due to sickness or injury in the past 12 months.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Always able | 33.3% | 26.0% | 30.0% |
| Usually able | 28.6% | 25.0% | 26.3% |
| Sometimes able | 24.9% | 33.7% | 29.3% |
| Never able | 13.3% | 15.3% | 14.4% |

Ability to Get Doctor Appointment Within 2 Days in the Past 12 Months, Adults

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.

Access to Primary Care Community Health Centers

Funded under section 330 of the Public Health Act, Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHC) provide primary care services including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and mental health services to low-income, uninsured, and medically-underserved populations. There are four FQHC and/or FQHC Look-Alike entities located in the service area, including the Achievable Foundation, Complete Care Community Health Center, Venice Family Clinic, and Westside Family Health Center. The majority of these FQHCs operate multiple sites across the service area. However, patients may utilize FQHCs outside of the service area (i.e., Saban Community Clinic).

Predominant FQHC, by ZIP Code

| ZIP Code | | Predominant FQHC Clinic | |
|-------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--|
| Bel Air | 90077 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | Saban Community Clinic | |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | Saban Community Clinic | |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | Saban Community Clinic | |
| Brentwood | 90049 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Century City | 90067 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Culver City | 90230 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Culver City | 90232 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Malibu | 90263 | Not available | |
| Malibu | 90265 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Palms | 90034 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | Westside Family Health Center | |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Venice | 90291 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | Saban Community Clinic | |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Westchester | 90045 | Venice Family Clinic | |
| Westwood | 90024 | Westside Family Health Center | |

Source: UDS Mapper, 2019 UDS Reports. <u>http://www.udsmapper.org</u>

Even with four Community Health Center entities in the service area as well as many Health Centers within ten miles of the service area, there are many low-income residents who are not served by one of these clinic providers. In 2019, FQHCs and FQHC Look-Alikes served a total of 42,201 patients in the UCLA Health service area, which equates to 33.1% coverage among low-income patients and 6.4% coverage among the total population. However, 66.9% of the population (85,341), at or below 200% FPL, were not served by a Community Health Center. It should be noted that these individuals may be accessing health care services through another provider (private county, other) or not using health care services.

| FQHC Penetration Low-Income Patients | FQHC Penetration Total Population | Low-Income Not Served | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | | Number Percer | Percent |
| 33.1% | 6.4% | 85,341 | 66.9% |
| .0 | ow-Income Patients | ow-Income PatientsTotal Population33.1%6.4% | Dw-Income PatientsTotal PopulationNumber33.1%6.4%85,341 |

Source: UDS Mapper, 2019 UDS Reports. <u>http://www.udsmapper.org</u>

Delayed or Forgone Care

A delay of care can lead to an increased risk of complications. In SPA 5, 48.3% of the population that delayed or did not get health care attributed it to personal reasons. Cost, lack of insurance or issues with insurance were also reasons to delay care or forego care (43.2%) in SPA 5. Barriers and issues pertaining to health care systems and providers were also identified as a reason to delay care. Among the SPA 5 population, 8.5% delayed care because of health care systems and provider issues and barriers.

Delayed Care, All Ages

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Personal reasons | 48.3% | 32.3% | 33.9% |
| Cost, lack of insurance or other insurance issue | 43.2% | 51.6% | 47.5% |
| Health care system/provider issues and barriers | 8.5% | 16.0% | 18.6% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019 http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.

Lack of Care Due to Cost

Among SPA 5 parents of children, ages 0-17 years, 1.7% were unable to afford a checkup or physical exam within the prior 12 months, 2.6% were unable to afford to see the doctor for illness or other health problems in the past year, and 3.7% were unable to afford prescription medication in the past year.

Cost as a Barrier to Accessing Health Care in the Past Year, Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|-----------------------|
| Unable to afford medical checkup or physical exam | 1.7%* | 5.5% |
| Unable to afford to see doctor for illness or other health problem | 2.6%* | 5.2% |
| Unable to afford prescription medication | 3.7%* | 5.8% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Community Input – Access to Care

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to access to care. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- There's already a shortage of providers in primary care, especially in medically underserved areas, but that's now at critical high in health care, with burnout being a top reason. Also, many clinics had to furlough/lay off staff and are not able to bring them back yet.
- Providers say it's challenging to hire medical assistants; many left the workforce to care for kids.
- It's tough to find specialists. Many must go through insurance networks for referrals; specialists breaking up with insurers over contract issues affects LGBT care.
- A big issue is health insurance, whether that's public or private. A person may be insured, but not know how to navigate the system, whether it's free clinics or large health care systems.
- Health insurance is a barrier, as well as fears due to COVID, money to afford prescriptions, and care options close to work or home. This specifically impacts older adults and Latinx populations as well as Samoans and Pacific Islanders.
- Dental care is either inaccessible due to lack of insurance or too costly, so many delay preventive dental care. This can result in health emergencies.
- Need urgent care located in vulnerable communities.
- There are few options for people who can't access care from 9a-5p, other than emergency care. This impacts low wage earners, single parents, and minority populations. Telemedicine works if one has access.
- Telehealth became an access portal but also a barrier. SPA 6 didn't do the same work on internet technology as other areas, creating a digital divide affecting students and people trying to sign up for vaccine appointments.
- Moving forward post-pandemic, we need to look at what was beneficial to determine what should be sustained rather than abandoning what was put in place for COVID.
- Technological barriers due to many remote services, especially with the older generation, those with lower socioeconomic status, and those living in lower quality housing with poor broadband access.

- We see many clients who aren't willing to see a health care provider. Linking/colocating health care and mental health care is so important. The severely mentally ill die earlier due to health issues.
- There's a tendency for large health care provider organizations to provide an array of high revenue producing specialty services, but avoid low revenue/high demand services, such as psychiatric and substance use disorder detox. This impacts low-income communities.
- As a system, we need to look at how to integrate to treat the whole person physical, mental health, substance use - and coordinate care better utilizing onsite partnerships and shared space with mental health and primary care to create a "one stop" system of care.
- Need education regarding what care options are available for homeless students and foster youth.
- Many are fearful that seeking health care will impact their immigration status.
- Diversity of communities leads to diversity of health care needs. Language barriers are challenging. We need the ability to accommodate different languages, understand cultural beliefs and stigma with certain needs like mental health and depression.
- Transportation is a long-standing issue, which is why telehealth is successful. Latino women are primarily affected as they are often caregivers or domestic workers so it's challenging for them to access health care as rent is expensive for clinics on the Westside where these women are working.
- Transportation barriers affect low-income, apartment dwellers, and LA's Del Rey community.
- With prenatal care, transportation is challenging especially for those who need to travel far for specialty care. Lack of childcare can lead to missed appointments.
- Trusted faith- and community-based organizations assist individuals/communities in increasing knowledge. For example, there's a movement to increase access to doulas, especially with infant mortality concerns among low-income and at-risk women. Sometimes it's supporting the home during a very stressful time for women who don't have resources.
- For homeless individuals, their lives are chaotic living on the street, they're not likely to get/keep appointments or manage medications. Poor hygiene becomes a barrier to getting care.
- Persons who are homeless have trouble accessing services in a mainstream way so they utilize emergency rooms. This is also true for those with physical or cognitive limitations.
- Homeless efforts are innovating street medicine, but rarely get to chronic disease management. Need more resources to provide mental health and medical services

where people are. Peer interventions covered through Medi-Cal was an important step forward.

Dental Care

Oral health is essential to a person's overall health and wellbeing. In SPA 5, 3.6% of children, under 17 years old, and 29.1% of adults lack dental insurance.

Dental Insurance, Adults and Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Children without dental insurance | 3.6%* | 11.4% | 9.8% |
| Adults without dental insurance | 28.6% | 36.9% | 32.9% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Regular dental visits are essential for the maintenance of healthy teeth and gums. Among SPA 5 adults, 89.8% have been to a dentist in the last two years.

Dental Utilization and Condition of Teeth, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Never been to a dentist | 1.2%* | 3.6% | 2.5% |
| Visited dentist < 6 months to 2 years ago | 89.8% | 80.6% | 82.3% |
| Visited dentist more than 5 years ago | 3.7%* | 6.6% | 7.0% |
| Condition of teeth: good to excellent | 80.0% | 70.4% | 72.5% |
| Condition of teeth: fair to poor | 18.8% | 27.4% | 25.1% |
| Condition of teeth: has no natural teeth | 1.2%* | 2.2% | 2.3% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Among SPA 5 children, ages 3-11, 81.4% have seen a dentist in the past six months.

Dental Utilization, Children, Ages 3-11

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Never been to the dentist | 13.6%* | 15.8% | 14.2% |
| Been to dentist \leq 6 months ago | 81.4%* | 71.3% | 71.8% |
| Been to dentist > 6 months to 1 year ago | 5.0% | 10.1% | 10.1% |
| Been to dentist < 1 to 2 years ago | ** | 2.0%* | 2.9% |
| Parent could not afford needed dental care for child | ** | 5.4% | 5.3% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Birth Characteristics

Births

From 2014 to 2018, on average, there were 6,627 births in the service area.

Delivery Paid by Public Insurance or Self-Pay

In the service area, the rate of births paid by public insurance or self-pay was 149.7 per 1,000 live births, which is lower than the county (542.9 per 1,000 live births) or state (498.5 per 1,000 live births) rates.

Delivery Paid by Public Insurance or Self-Pay Rate, per 1,000 Live Births

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Delivery paid by public insurance or self-pay | 992 | 149.7 | 542.9 | 498.5 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001.

Prenatal Care

Among pregnant women in the service area, 7.4% entered prenatal care *after* the first trimester. As such, 92.6% of pregnant women started prenatal care in the first trimester. This percentage is higher than the Healthy People 2030 objective of 80.5% of women entering prenatal care in the first trimester.

Late Prenatal Care (After 1st Trimester) Rate, per 1,000 Live Births

| UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------|---------------|--|------------------|
| Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| 491 | 74.0 | 148.2 | 161.7 |
| | Number 491 | Number Rate 491 74.0 | Number Rate Rate |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001.

Births to Teen Mothers

The teen birth rate for females, ages 15-19, is 2.3 births per 1,000 females, ages 15-19. This is lower than the county and state rate (17.3 per 1,000 females, ages 15-19). The Healthy People 2030 objective is for no more than 31.4 pregnancies per 1,000 females, ages 15 to 19.

Teen Birth Rate, per 1,000 Females, Ages 15-19

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Births to Teen Mothers | 46 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 17.3 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001

Premature Birth

The rate of premature births (occurring before the start of the 38th week of gestation) in the service area was 78.0 per 1,000 live births. This rate of premature births was lower than the county and state rate of premature births.

| | UCLA Health Se | | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------|----------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Premature birth | 517 | 78.0 | 88.5 | 85.4 |

Premature Birth Rate, Before Start of 38th Week or Unknown, per 1,000 Live Births

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001.

Low Birth Weight

Babies born at a low birth weight (<2,500g) are at higher risk for disease, disability, and possible death. The service area rate of low birth weight babies was 66.8 per 1,000 live births This was rate was lower than county and state rates.

Low Birth Weight (<2,500g) Rate, per 1,000 Live Births

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Low birth weight | 443 | 66.8 | 72.0 | 68.6 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001.

Mothers Who Smoked Regularly During Pregnancy

In the service area, the rate of mothers who smoked during pregnancy was 3.7 per 1,000 live births. This rate is lower than the county and state rates.

Mothers Who Smoked During Pregnancy Rate, per 1,000 Live Births

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Mothers who smoked | 23 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 15.8 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Birth Profiles by ZIP Code of Residence and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001.

Infant Mortality

The infant mortality rate (less than one year of age) in Los Angeles County was 4.2 deaths per 1,000 live births, which was lower than the state rate (4.3 per 1,000 live births) and lower than the Healthy People 2030 objective of 5.0 deaths per 1,000 births.

Infant Death Rate, per 1,000 Live Births

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Infant death rate | 4.2 | 4.3 |

Source: California Department of Public Health, County Health Status Profiles, 2020. County Health Status Profiles 2020 (ca.gov)

Breastfeeding

Breastfeeding has been proven to have considerable benefits to baby and mother. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that babies are fed only breast milk for the first six months of life. Breastfeeding data are collected by hospitals on the Newborn Screening Test Form. Breastfeeding rates at Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center showed 96.8% of new mothers initiated breastfeeding and 79.0% used breastfeeding exclusively. Rates for any initiated breastfeeding and exclusively breastfeeding were higher than rates at hospitals in the county and state.

| | Any Breas | stfeeding | Exclusive B | reastfeeding |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center | 1,431 | 96.8% | 1,181 | 79.0% |
| Los Angeles County | 94,300 | 93.7% | 63,799 | 63.4% |
| California | 366,592 | 93.8% | 274,331 | 70.2% |

In-Hospital Breastfeeding, Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center

Source: California Department of Public Health, Breastfeeding Hospital of Occurrence, 2018. <u>https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CFH/DMCAH/Breastfeeding/Pages/In-Hospital-Breastfeeding-Initiation-Data.aspx</u>

There are ethnic/racial differences noted in breastfeeding rates of mothers who deliver at Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center. Mothers reporting Other race/ethnicity were most likely to engage in any breastfeeding (97.8%). White mothers were most likely to engage in exclusive breastfeeding (85.6%). African American mothers were the least like to engage in any breastfeeding (91.4%) and the least likely to breastfeed exclusively (70.0%).

In-Hospital Breastfeeding, by Race/Ethnicity of Mother

| | Any Breastfeeding | | Exclusive Breastfee | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White | 522 | 97.6% | 458 | 85.6% |
| Latino/Hispanic | 412 | 96.7% | 303 | 71.1% |
| Asian | 283 | 96.9% | 241 | 82.5% |
| Multiple Race | 73 | 96.1% | 64 | 84.2% |
| African American | 64 | 91.4% | 49 | 70.0% |
| Other | 45 | 97.8% | 38 | 82.6% |
| Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center | 1,431 | 96.8% | 1,181 | 79.0% |

Source: California Department of Public Health, Breastreeding Hospital of Occurrence, 2018. https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CFH/DMCAH/Breastfeeding/Pages/In-Hospital-Breastfeeding-Initiation-Data.aspx

Leading Causes of Death

Life Expectancy at Birth

Prior to the COVID-19 Pandemic, life expectancy in Los Angeles County was 82.4 years. 260 residents of Los Angeles County per 100,000 residents, died before the age of 75, which is considered a premature death. The total of the Years of Potential Life Lost (the difference between the age of persons who died and the age of 75, totaled) for the county was 5,000 years.

Life Expectancy, Premature Mortality and Premature Death, Age-Adjusted

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| Life expectancy at birth in years | 82.4 | 81.7 |
| Premature age-adjusted mortality (number of deaths among residents under 75, per 100,000 persons)* | 260 | 270 |
| Premature death/Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) before age 75, per 100,000 population, age-adjusted | 5,000 | 5,300 |

Source: National Center for Health Statistics' National Statistics System (NVSS); *CDC Wonder mortality data; data accessed and calculations performed by County Health Rankings. 2017-2019. <u>http://www.countyhealthrankings.org</u>

Leading Causes of Death

The causes of death are reported as age-adjusted death rates. Age-adjusting eliminates the bias of age in the makeup of the populations that are compared. When comparing across geographic areas, age-adjusting is used to control the influence that population age distributions might have on health event rates. Heart disease, cancer, and Alzheimer's disease are the top three causes of death in the service area, followed by stroke and chronic lower respiratory disease.

| | UCLA Servic | | Los Angeles County | California | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate | |
| Heart Disease | 1,090 | 114.9 | 146.9 | 142.7 | |
| Cancer | 1,048 | 124.1 | 134.3 | 139.6 | |
| Alzheimer's Disease | 340 | 32.0 | 34.2 | 35.4 | |
| Stroke | 236 | 24.7 | 33.3 | 36.4 | |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease | 165 | 17.8 | 28.1 | 32.1 | |
| Pneumonia and Influenza | 149 | 15.5 | 19.2 | 14.8 | |
| Unintentional Injuries | 143 | 18.3 | 22.6 | 31.8 | |
| Diabetes | 93 | 10.7 | 23.1 | 21.3 | |
| Kidney Disease | 79 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 8.5 | |
| Suicide | 66 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 10.5 | |
| Liver Disease | 52 | 6.7 | 13.0 | 12.2 | |
| Homicide | 11 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 5.0 | |
| HIV | 8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 | |

Mortality Rates, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons, Annual Average, 2014-2018

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Heart Disease and Stroke

The age-adjusted mortality rate for heart disease (114.9 per 100,000 persons) was lower than the county (146.9 per 100,000 persons) and the state rates (142.7 per 100,000 persons). The rate of ischemic heart disease deaths (a sub-category of heart disease) was 78.0 per 100,000 persons in the service area. The Healthy People 2030 objective is 71.1 heart disease deaths per 100,000 persons.

The age-adjusted rate of death from stroke was lower in the service area (24.7 per 100,000 persons) than in the county (33.3 per 100,000 persons) and the state (36.4 deaths per 100,000 persons). The rate of stroke death is lower than the Healthy People 2030 objective of 33.4 stroke deaths per 100,000 persons.

Heart Disease and Stroke Mortality Rates, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Servic | | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Heart disease death rate | 1,090 | 114.9 | 146.9 | 142.7 |
| Ischemic heart disease death rate | 294 | 78.0 | 106.8 | 88.1 |
| Stroke death rate | 236 | 24.7 | 33.3 | 36.4 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Cancer

In the service area, the age-adjusted cancer mortality rate was 124.1 per 100,000 persons. This is lower than county (134.3 per 100,000 persons) and state rates (139.6 per 100,000 persons). The cancer death rate in the service area is higher than the Healthy People 2030 objective of 122.7 per 100,000 persons.

Cancer Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Cancer death rate | 1,048 | 124.1 | 134.3 | 139.6 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

In Los Angeles County, the rate of death from cancer is below the state cancer death rate. Rates of death from some cancers are notably higher in the county, however, including the rates of colorectal, liver, cervical and uterine, and stomach cancer deaths.

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Cancer all sites | 136.9 | 140.0 |
| Lung and bronchus | 25.4 | 28.0 |
| Prostate (males) | 20.1 | 19.8 |
| Breast (female) | 19.5 | 19.3 |
| Colon and rectum | 13.1 | 12.5 |
| Pancreas | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| Liver and intrahepatic bile duct | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| Cervical and Uterine (female)* | 8.0 | 7.2 |
| Ovary (females) | 7.2 | 6.9 |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Stomach | 5.1 | 3.9 |
| Urinary bladder | 3.4 | 3.8 |
| Myeloid and monocytic leukemia | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Kidney and renal pelvis | 3.1 | 3.3 |
| Myeloma | 2.8 | 2.9 |
| Esophagus | 2.5 | 3.1 |

Cancer Mortality Rates, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

Source: California Cancer Registry, Cal*Explorer-CA Cancer Data tool, 2014-2018. <u>https://explorer.ccrcal.org/application.html</u> *Cervix Uteri, Corpus Uteri and Uterus, NOS

Alzheimer's Disease

The World Health Organization notes that Alzheimer's disease is the most common form of dementia and may contribute to 60-70% of cases.⁴ In the service area, the Alzheimer's disease death rate was 32.0 per 100,000 persons. This rate is lower than county and state levels.

Alzheimer's Disease Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Alzheimer's disease death rate | 340 | 32.0 | 34.2 | 35.4 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease (CLRD) and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) include emphysema and bronchitis. The age-adjusted death rate for respiratory disease in the service area was 17.8 per 100,000 persons, which was lower than county (28.1 deaths per 100,000 persons) and state rates (32.1 deaths per 100,000 persons).

⁴ Source: World Health Organization, Dementia Fact Sheet, September 21, 2020. <u>https://www.who.int/news-room</u>

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease death rate | 165 | 17.8 | 28.1 | 32.1 |

Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Pneumonia and Influenza

In the service area, the pneumonia and influenza death rate was 15.5 per 100,000 persons, which was lower than the county rate (19.2 deaths per 100,000 persons) and higher than the state rate (14.3 deaths per 100,000 persons).

Pneumonia and Influenza Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | | Ith Service ea | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Pneumonia/influenza death rate | 149 | 15.5 | 19.2 | 14.8 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Unintentional Injury

Major categories of unintentional injuries include motor vehicle collisions, poisonings, and falls. The age-adjusted death rate from unintentional injuries in the service area was 18.3 per 100,000 persons, as compared to the county (22.6 deaths per 100,000 persons) and the state (31.8 deaths per 100,000 persons). In the service area the death rate from unintentional injuries was lower than the Healthy People 2030 objective of 43.2 deaths per 100,000 persons.

Unintentional Injury Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|------|-----------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Unintentional injury death rate | 143 | 18.3 | 22.6 | 31.8 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Diabetes

Diabetes may be underreported as a cause of death. Studies have found that 35% to 40% of people with diabetes who died did not have diabetes listed on the death certificate and 10% to 15% had it listed as an underlying cause of death.⁵ The age-

⁵ Source: American Diabetes Association. Statistics about Diabetes, 2020. Down loaded April 2021. <u>https://www.diabetes.org/resources/statistics/statistics-about-diabetes</u>

adjusted mortality rate from diabetes in the service area (10.7 deaths per 100,000 persons) was lower than county (23.1 deaths per 100,000 persons) and state rates (21.3 deaths per 100,000 persons).

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Diabetes death rate | 93 | 10.7 | 23.1 | 21.3 |

Diabetes Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Kidney Disease

In the service area, the kidney disease death rate was 8.6 per 100,000 persons. This rate is lower than the county rate (11.2 deaths per 100,000 persons) and slightly higher than the state rate (8.5 deaths per 100,000 persons).

Kidney Disease Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Kidney disease death rate | 79 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 8.5 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Suicide

In the service area, the age-adjusted death rate due to suicide was 8.8 per 100,000 persons, which is higher than the county rate (7.9 death per 100,000 persons). The Healthy People 2030 objective for suicide is 12.8 per 100,000 persons.

Suicide Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Suicide | 66 | 8.8 | 7.9 | 10.5 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Liver Disease

Mortality from liver disease in the service area is 6.7 deaths per 100,000 persons. This rate is lower than in the county (13.0 deaths per 100,000 persons) and the state (12.2 deaths per 100,000 persons). The Healthy People 2030 objective for liver disease death of 10.9 per 100,000 persons.

Liver Disease Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Liver disease death rate | 52 | 6.7 | 13.0 | 12.2 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Homicide

In the service area, the age-adjusted death rate from homicides was 1.5 per 100,000 persons. This rate was lower than the county and state rates for homicides. The Healthy People 2030 objective for homicide is 5.5 per 100,000 persons.

Homicide Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| Homicide | 11 | 1.5 | 5.7 | 5.0 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

HIV

In the service area, the death rate from HIV was 1.2 per 100,000 persons. This rate was lower than the county rate (2.1 per 100,000 persons) and state rate of HIV death (1.6 per 100,000 persons).

HIV Mortality Rate, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | UCLA Health Service Area | | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------|------------|
| | Number | Rate | Rate | Rate |
| HIV death rate | 8 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.6 |

Source: Calculated by Gary Bess Associates using California Department of Public Health Master Death File 2014-2018 and U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey, 5-Year Average 2014-2018, Table B01001, and using the 2000 U.S. standard million. Values of 10 or less are withheld per HIPAA guidelines.

Acute and Chronic Disease

Hospitalization Rates by Diagnoses

At Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, the top five primary diagnoses resulting in hospitalization were circulatory system diagnoses, injuries/poisonings, cancers (including non-cancerous growths), digestive system diagnoses, and pregnancies.

Hospitalization Rates, by Principal Diagnoses, Top Ten Causes

| Percent |
|---------|
| 6.20% |
| 6.12% |
| 5.24% |
| 4.61% |
| 3.87% |
| 3.76% |
| 3.55% |
| 2.77% |
| 2.73% |
| 1.94% |
| |

Source: Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Facility Summary Report Hospital Inpatient, 2020. https://report.oshpd.ca.gov/?DID=PID&RID=Facility_Summary_Report_Hospital_Inpatient

Emergency Room Rates by Diagnoses

At Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center, the top five primary diagnoses seen in the Emergency Department were signs, symptoms, and abnormal clinical and laboratory findings, injuries/poisonings, mental/behavioral/neurodevelopmental disorders, diseases of the respiratory system, and diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue.

Emergency Room Rates, by Principal Diagnoses, Top Ten Causes

| | Percent |
|--|---------|
| Symptoms, Signs and Abnormal Clinical and Laboratory Findings, Not Elsewhere Classified | 6.80% |
| Injury, Poisoning and Certain Other Consequences of External Causes | 5.71% |
| Mental, Behavioral and Neurodevelopmental Disorders | 3.71% |
| Diseases of the Respiratory System | 3.22% |
| Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue | 2.42% |
| Diseases of the Circulatory System | 1.79% |
| Diseases of the Genitourinary System | 1.68% |

| | Percent |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Diseases of the Nervous System | 1.52% |
| Diseases of the Digestive System | 1.24% |

Source: Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Facility Summary Report Emergency Department, 2020. https://report.oshpd.ca.gov/?DID=PID&RID=Facility Summary Report Hospital Inpatient

Unintentional Injuries

The leading causes of non-fatal unintentional injuries are falls, motor vehicle accidents, and being struck by an object. The most common types of non-fatal self-inflicted injuries are from poisoning and cutting or piercing. The number of hospitalizations, not number of children hospitalized (emergency room visits that did not require admission were excluded), are listed below. In Los Angeles County, the unintentional injury rate for children/youth is 193.9 per 100,000 children/youth, ages 20 and under.

Unintentional Injury Hospitalization Rate, per 100,000 Children/Youth

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Under age 1 | 278.1 | 253.6 |
| Ages 1 to 4 | 257.5 | 208.3 |
| Ages 5 to 12 | 150.9 | 117.9 |
| Ages 13 to 15 | 167.0 | 152.2 |
| Ages 16 to 20 | 207.7 | 203.6 |
| Ages 20 and under | 193.9 | 168.1 |

Source: California Department of Public Health, EpiCenter (February 2020); California Department of Finance, Population Estimates and Projections (January 2020); CDC, WISQARS (May 2020). **Data suppressed due to fewer than 20 injury hospitalizations. http://www.kidsdata.org

Diabetes

Among SPA 5 adults, 17.7% have been diagnosed as pre-diabetic and 5.0% have been diagnosed with diabetes. For SPA 5 adults with diabetes, 46.8% felt very confident they could control their diabetes.

Diabetes, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Diagnosed as pre-diabetic | 17.7% | 17.1% | 15.7% |
| Diagnosed with diabetes [‡] | 5.0% | 11.0% | 10.2% |
| Very confident to control diabetes | 46.8% | 55.5% | 59.6% |
| Somewhat confident | 41.9% | 35.1% | 32.7% |
| Not confident | 11.3%* | 9.4%* | 7.7% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2018, Years 2017 & 2018 pooled to improve sustainability of data. ‡2017-2019. ‡Years 2017, 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

When queried by race and ethnicity, Asians have the highest diabetes rate in SPA 5 (7.6%) and Whites had the lowest diabetes rate (4.8%).

Diabetes, Adults, by Race/Ethnicity

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Asian | 7.6%* | 10.2% | 9.7% |
| African American | 6.9%* | 16.1% | 15.7% |
| Latino | 5.4%* | 12.4% | 11.6% |
| White | 4.8%* | 8.1% | 8.5% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017, 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Heart Disease

For adults in SPA 5, 5.3% have been diagnosed with heart disease. Among SPA 5 adults diagnosed with heart disease, 89.6% were given a management care plan by a health care provider.

Heart Disease, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Diagnosed with heart disease | 5.3% | 6.4% | 6.8% |
| Has a Management Care Plan [‡] | 89.6%* | 77.8% | 78.2% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019, Years 2017, 2018 & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. ‡2017-2018. Years 2017 & 2018 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

When queried by race and ethnicity, Whites in SPA 5 have the highest percentage (7.6%) and African Americans have the lowest rates of heart disease (2.8%).

Heart Disease, Adults, by Race/Ethnicity

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| White | 7.6%* | 9.9% | 9.6% |
| Latino | 3.1%* | 4.9% | 4.3% |
| African American | 2.8%* | 8.2% | 6.4% |
| Asian | ** | 4.2% | 5.3% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017, 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

High Blood Pressure

A co-morbidity factor for diabetes and heart disease is hypertension (high blood pressure). In SPA 5, 15.8% of adults were diagnosed with high blood pressure and 8.9% were diagnosed with borderline high blood pressure.

High Blood Pressure, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Diagnosed with high blood pressure | 15.8% | 25.5% | 25.9% |
| Diagnosed with borderline high blood pressure | 8.9% | 7.2%% | 7.2% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

When queried by race and ethnicity, Whites have the highest percentage (20.1%) and

Asians have the lowest percentage of high blood pressure (4.4%) in SPA 5. Rates for African Americans were unavailable due to a small sample size.

| • | | - | |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
| White | 20.1% | 27.2% | 28.9% |
| Latino | 10.4%* | 22.9% | 22.9% |
| Asian | 4.4%* | 23.8% | 21.6% |
| African American | ** | 38.2% | 38.3% |

High Blood Pressure, Adults, by Race/Ethnicity

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Asthma

Asthma is a common chronic illness, especially affecting children, and it can significantly impact quality of life. In SPA 5, 10.7% of adults and 8.7% of children, ages 1 to 17, have been diagnosed with asthma. These percentages are lower than county and state rates.

Asthma, Adults and Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Ever diagnosed with asthma, adults | 10.7% | 14.6% | 15.9% |
| Has had an asthma episode/attack in past 12 months, adults | 19.2%* | 26.7% | 28.6% |
| Takes daily medication to control asthma, adults | 38.8% | 45.6% | 44.7% |
| Ever diagnosed with asthma, ages 1- 17 | 8.7%* | 14.5% | 13.7% |
| Has had an asthma episode/attack in past 12 months, ages 1-17 | ** | 27.1% | 27.3% |
| Takes daily medication to control asthma, ages1-17 | ** | 48.2% | 46.8% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

When queried by race and ethnicity, African Americans have the highest percentage of Asthma (20.1%) and Asians have the lowest percentages of asthma (6.4%) in SPA 5.

Asthma, All Ages, by Race/Ethnicity

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| African American | 20.2%* | 18.0% | 20.2% |
| White | 9.2% | 16.9% | 16.6% |
| Latino | 8.6%* | 13.6% | 14.4% |
| Asian | 6.4%* | 10.9% | 11.5% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Pediatric Asthma Hospitalization

The rate of asthma-related hospitalizations among children, ages 2 to 17, in Los Angeles County is 57.1 hospitalizations per 100,000 children.

Pediatric Asthma Hospital Admission Rates, per 100,000 Children

| | Los Angeles County | California | | |
|--|--------------------|------------|--|--|
| Pediatric asthma admissions | 57.1 | 53.4 | | |
| Source: California Office of Statewide Health Planning & Development, 2019, AHRO Quality, Indicators - OSHPD | | | | |

Cancer

Cancer diagnoses (incidence rates) have been increasing, while cancer mortality rates have been decreasing. In Los Angeles County, the age-adjusted cancer incidence rate was 373.5 cancers per 100,000 persons, which was lower than the state rate of 394.5 per 100,000 persons. The incidence of colorectal and stomach cancers was higher for Los Angeles County than for the state.

Cancer Incidence Rates, Age Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| All sites | 373.5 | 394.5 |
| Breast (female) | 117.9 | 122.2 |
| Prostate (males) | 90.6 | 91.7 |
| Lung and bronchus | 35.6 | 40.0 |
| Colon and rectum | 35.6 | 34.8 |
| Corpus Uteri (females) | 27.3 | 26.6 |
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | 17.7 | 18.3 |
| Kidney and renal pelvis | 14.1 | 14.7 |
| Melanoma of the skin | 13.9 | 23.1 |
| Thyroid | 13.3 | 13.1 |
| Leukemia | 11.9 | 12.4 |
| Ovary (females) | 11.7 | 11.1 |
| Pancreas | 11.6 | 11.9 |
| Liver and intrahepatic bile duct | 9.3 | 9.7 |
| Stomach | 9.1 | 7.3 |
| Urinary bladder | 8.2 | 8.7 |

Source: California Cancer Registry, Cal*Explorer-CA Cancer Data tool, 2014-2018. https://explorer.ccrcal.org/application.html

When examined by race, Whites and Blacks have the highest rates of diagnosed cancers in the county, while Asians have the lowest rates.

Cancer Incidence Rates, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons, by Race/Ethnicity

| | Latino | White | Asian/PI | Black | All |
|------------------------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| Cancer all sites | 309.9 | 437.3 | 296.3 | 408.0 | 373.5 |
| Breast (female) | 87.7 | 148.3 | 108.9 | 126.8 | 117.9 |
| Prostate (males) | 76.5 | 94.7 | 46.3 | 136.0 | 90.6 |
| Lung and bronchus | 21.1 | 43.8 | 33.6 | 51.2 | 35.6 |
| Colon and rectum | 31.9 | 37.6 | 34.1 | 39.9 | 35.6 |
| Corpus Uteri (females) | 25.5 | 28.8 | 22.6 | 29.2 | 27.3 |

| | Latino | White | Asian/PI | Black | All |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------|----------|-------|------|
| Non-Hodgkin lymphoma | 16.6 | 20.6 | 12.9 | 14.6 | 17.7 |
| Kidney and renal pelvis | 15.7 | 14.2 | 8.8 | 15.9 | 14.1 |
| Melanoma of the Skin | 3.8 | 29.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 13.9 |
| Thyroid | 11.9 | 15.7 | 14.8 | 8.0 | 13.3 |
| Leukemia | 9.8 | 14.7 | 7.7 | 11.2 | 11.9 |
| Ovary (females) | 11.1 | 13.0 | 10.4 | 9.5 | 11.7 |
| Pancreas | 10.4 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 15.0 | 11.6 |
| Liver and bile duct | 11.8 | 6.2 | 11.4 | 9.5 | 9.3 |
| Stomach | 10.9 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 8.7 | 8.9 |
| Urinary bladder | 5.0 | 12.0 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 8.2 |
| Cervix uteri (females) | 9.2 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.9 |
| Testis (males) | 6.2 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 6.0 |
| Myeloma | 5.5 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 5.8 |
| Brain and other nervous system | 4.7 | 7.8 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.4 |

Source: California Cancer Registry, Cal*Explorer-CA Cancer Data tool, 2014-2018 <u>https://explorer.ccrcal.org/application.html</u> Rates are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Standard Population. N/A means data is not available due to low number of incidences.

Community Input – Chronic Disease

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to chronic disease. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- COVID took up our bandwidth. We now need a broad health educational campaign urging people to live healthier lifestyle and manage chronic diseases.
- We're starting to see poorer health outcomes due to deferred care during the pandemic. We're looking at deeper investments into things like blood pressure cuffs and electronic scales so patients can self-monitor and providers can track health outcomes data.
- Many persons delayed or fell out of care because of COVID and that continues. Some services require being in-person so that's a challenge, i.e., blood draw. How do we re-engage folks?
- Need to get those with existing chronic diseases back into care with their provider and ensure access to telehealth, although we do still need in-person monitoring of health and wellbeing.
- Culturally, we have to overcome stigmas around preventive care.
- There's an interplay of chronic disease, the built environment and food access, underscoring that we need to think beyond a doctor's four walls.
- Many community organizations are helping physicians manage patients with chronic disease through programs such as the Diabetes Prevention Program.
- Women's health issues particularly need emphasis.
- Hypertension and diabetes are big issues.
- Obesity, diabetes, heart issues, blood pressure are issues with blacks and Latinx populations, often attributed to environment, stigma, and living in poor neighborhoods.

- Diabetes continues to be a challenge and there's not enough information available. Patients usually must pay for access to evidence-based best practices – that's a barrier. Transportation is also a challenge as many best practices are group therapy or group sessions, which need to be in person.
- Obesity worsened tenfold during the pandemic. Kids had too much screentime and not enough activity.
- Indoor air pollution can be worse than outdoors. We need to gradually get rid of gas inside residential dwellings, improve transportation-related emissions, and watch for extreme heat-related heart disease.
- Pacific Islanders specifically have high levels of obesity and obesity-related chronic disease.
- Asthma is a big problem among children and adults. During the pandemic, many had flare-ups and never saw a doctor.
- Addiction and psychiatric disorders are chronic diseases, but there's a lack of interest by health care organizations to provide services.
- Home care options are awful. As the community ages, chronic diseases worsen. We need to ask ourselves: do we learn how to take care of them at home or institutionalize them as a solution?
- Medication management is a huge issue, especially for seniors and persons who are homeless who lack a place to safely store and take meds regularly.
- The shift in Alzheimer's Association focus away from provision of services affected many families and it was challenging for private philanthropy to pick up that slack. The result is not there is not a lot of Spanish language services with cultural competency on how to address stigma in Latinos with dementia.
- Lack of specialty care options for those who are mentally ill.
- Among persons who are homeless, we see issues with Hepatitis C, HIV, and skin problems, as well as sanitary issues, and lack of medical equipment, dialysis access, and recuperation options.
- Lower-income individuals usually don't have time for self-care, so they don't access services; they're overstressed already with money and childcare issues.

Sexually Transmitted Infections

In SPA 5, there were 387 cases of Chlamydia per 100,000 persons, 124 cases of Gonorrhea per 100,000 persons, and 23 cases per 100,000 persons of early syphilis, which includes primary and secondary syphilis, and early latent. These rates are lower than county rates.

Sexually Transmitted Infection Rates, per 100,000 Persons

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Chlamydia | 387 | 555 |
| Gonorrhea | 124 | 171 |
| Early (primary/secondary + early latent) syphilis | 23 | 33 |

Source: County of Los Angeles, Public Health, Division of HIV and STD Programs, 2015 Annual HIV/STD Surveillance Report, May 2018. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/dhsp/Reports.htm</u>

HIV

In SPA 5, the rate and number of individuals with newly diagnosed with HIV remained unchanged from 2017 to 2018 with 10 persons per 100,000 newly diagnosed. In 2018, Black and Latino individuals had the highest rates of HIV diagnoses.

New HIV Diagnoses Rates, per 100,000 Persons, Ages 13 and Older

| | 2017 | | 2018 | |
|--------------------|--------|------|--------|------|
| | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |
| SPA 5 | 61 | 10 | 61 | 10 |
| Los Angeles County | 1,756 | 20 | 1,660 | 19 |

Source: Division of HIV and STD Programs, Department of Public Health, County of Los Angeles. HIV Surveillance Annual Report, 2019. Published May 2020. <u>Annual HIV Surveillance Report 2019 - 08202020 Final Trebuchet Figure 4 and 40 Update</u> (lacounty.gov)

In 2019, the rate of persons in SPA 5 living with diagnosed HIV (PLWDH) was 428 per 100,000 persons, as compared to the county rate of 599 per 100,000 persons.

Living with Diagnosed HIV Rates, per 100,000 Persons, Ages 13 and Older

| | Number | Rate |
|--------------------|--------|------|
| SPA 5 | 2,508 | 428 |
| Los Angeles County | 51980 | 599 |

Source: Division of HIV and STD Programs, Department of Public Health, County of Los Angeles. HIV Surveillance Annual Report, 2019. Published May 2020. <u>Annual HIV Surveillance Report 2019 - 08202020 Final Trebuchet Figure 4 and 40 Update</u> (lacounty.gov)

Community Input – Sexually Transmitted Infections

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to sexually transmitted infections. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- The Department of Public Health had to delay work on sexually transmitted infections to address COVID. Unless something more is done, we'll see increases.
- Need increased education, especially for transitional age youth. Sexually transmitted infections get overlooked with public health currently.
- The lack of access to consistent preventive care means there is no safety net. Sexually transmitted infections don't get addressed until presented as a problem. Issues that are uncomfortable or awkward don't get talked about.

- Issues exist around easy access to condoms, contraceptives, hygiene, testing, treatment and contact tracing.
- The threat of defunding Planned Parenthood is a concern; we need to be thinking about how to keep those resources going. Having various locations make a significant difference in access.
- We see many in need of housing assistance who are also suffering from sexually transmitted infections, often HIV.
- Families are often embarrassed to speak about sexually transmitted infections.
- We're seeing an increase in congenital syphilis across all population groups.
- Rates of sexually transmitted infections are skyrocketing, specifically congenital syphilis in communities of color. This can be solved with access to regular screening, trust in medical systems, and culturally competent providers.
- Sex trafficking victims and sex workers need access to education and treatment.
- People don't think of seniors having sex, but there's an issue of sexually transmitted infections among seniors.
- It's a win that PrEP HIV treatment is now covered by Medi-Cal, although now we see increases in other sexually transmitted infections due to lack of condom use because they're using PrEP. This is most prevalent among LGBTQ communities and African American women.

COVID-19

COVID-19, Incidence, Mortality and Vaccination Rates

As of February 28, 2022, there have been 2,666,804 confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Los Angeles County, with a rate of 26,630.7 cases per 100,000 residents. This rate was higher than the statewide average of 21,201.4 cases per 100,000 persons. Through February 28, 2022, 30,410 residents of Los Angeles County had died due to COVID-19 complications, at a rate of 303.7 deaths per 100,000 persons. This was higher than the statewide rate of 214.3 deaths per 100,000 residents.

COVID-19, Cases and Crude Death Rates, per 100,000 Persons, 2/28/22

| | Los Angeles County | | California | |
|--------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |
| Cases | 2,666,804 | 26,630.7 | 8,382,656 | 21,201.4 |
| Deaths | 30,410 | 303.7 | 84,712 | 214.3 |

Source for LA County and California case and death numbers: California State Health Department, COVID19 Dashboard, Updated March 1, 2022, with data from February 28, 2022. <u>https://covid19.ca.gov/state-dashboard</u> Rates calculated using U.S. Decennial Population 2020 P1 Redistricting data.

The number of Los Angeles County residents, ages 5 and older, who have received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine was 8,018,395 or 83% of that population. This was similar to the 83.1% statewide COVID-19 vaccination rate for those ages 5 and older. Among seniors, 86.4% received at least one vaccine dose, which was lower than the statewide rate of 91.7% for seniors. For adults, ages 50 to 64, the county rate of any level of vaccination was 89.6%, compared to 91.8% statewide. For youth, ages 12-17, the rate of at least partial vaccination was 80.2%, compared to 73.3% for California.

| | Los Angeles County | | California | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| | Partially Vaccinated | Completed | Partially Vaccinated | Completed |
| Population, ages 5-11 | 6.7% | 29.1% | 7.0% | 30.2% |
| Population, ages 12-17 | 8.3% | 71.9% | 8.3% | 65.0% |
| Population, ages 18-49 | 9.1% | 79.5% | 10.3% | 76.6% |
| Population, ages 50-64 | 7.5% | 82.1% | 8.9% | 82.9% |
| Population, ages 65+ | 7.2% | 79.2% | 9.2% | 82.5% |

COVID-19 Vaccinations, by Age, as of 2/22/22

Source: <u>California Department of Public Health. https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccination-progress-data/#progress-by-group</u> Updated February 23, 2022 with data from February 22, 2022^h. <u>https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccination-progress-data/</u>

In Los Angeles County, Hispanic/Latino and non-Hispanic Black residents appear to be underrepresented among the number of vaccines administered compared to the corresponding vaccine-eligible population.

| | Percent of Vaccines Administered* | Percent of Vaccine Eligible Population |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Latino | 38.3% | 49.6% |
| White | 25.3% | 26.3% |
| Asian | 15.0% | 13.5% |
| Multiracial | 2.2% | 2.0% |
| Black | 5.9% | 8.1% |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander | 0.3% | 0.2% |
| American-Indian/Alaska Native | 0.2% | 0.2% |

COVID-19 Vaccinations, by Race, as of 2/22/2022

Source: California State Health Department, COVID19 Vaccination Dashboard, Updated February 23, 2022 with data from February 22, 2022^h. <u>https://covid19.ca.gov/vaccination-progress-data/</u> *Where race/ethnicity was known.

Community Input – COVID-19

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to COVID-19. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- We saw many financial issues and then families losing their homes and lives being uprooted.
- Many service workers were impacted by businesses closing.
- Many families started living together, but then some families could get assistance while other families couldn't as they shared the same (duplicated) address.
- Many families elected not to have their kids return to educational programs, primarily our Latinx families. They have many families living together and feared COVID exposure and rapid spreading.
- Families didn't have money for basic needs, i.e., food, rent, especially prevalent among older adults and health care workers.
- Isolation was an issue, especially for older folks.
- Returning back to school is challenging for some who were protected from bullying while at home.
- Technology challenges impacted seniors and low-income persons who lack stable internet or computers.
- We saw increased intimate partner violence due to the quarantine.
- COVID further limited access to services for those most in-need. Many public spaces and services were no longer available, having a tremendous negative impact.
- For persons who are homeless, barriers were access to care for the most vulnerable

 older adults and those with chronic conditions and recuperation options if they
 were COVID positive.
- Women haven't been able to get their mammograms, so we're catching breast cancer later, which may require more invasive treatment at the hospital.
- Mental health became a giant problem, and the system has not expanded to meet the need.

- College campuses lost the sense of community among teachers and classmates, resulting in isolation and depression.
- After the pandemic, we will all deal with mental health effects, i.e., anxiety, depression, PTSD.
- Health care provider burnout is an ongoing issue. With the rates of doctors and nurses retiring, there aren't enough in the pipeline, and those staying have their own anxiety and depression.
- It's going to be difficult to go back in person for many providers. Many will continue virtual mental health care options, but we foresee hybrid versions will be a challenge.
- There was tremendous cost associated with unnecessary hospitalizations, which deferred other critical services. We need to figure out how to address vaccine hesitancy.
- We know there was disparity in vaccine access, but many black and brown communities stood up to make sure resources were available locally for those most vulnerable.
- My Turn wasn't easy to navigate and wasn't in all languages for the Asian Pacific Islander community. Many didn't have email addresses, so an organization would have to create an email address, but then family members couldn't translate emails with health care language.
- Need continued resources for community-based organizations to support COVID response.
- Racial disparity is very real. The pandemic highlighted a broad lack of positive experiences, specifically with blacks and Latinx who are fundamentally disenfranchised. Their experiences caused them to see that vaccine will cause harm.
- There is significant grassroots level misinformation around COVID and the vaccine. We saw this in public housing projects, making it challenging to provide services.
- We need more community health workers integrated into communities with high rates of unvaccinated to help dispel myths/misinformation through one-on-one conversations.
- With COVID vaccination, there's a differential between attitudes and behavior. There are three groups: 1) don't believe in any vaccines; 2) don't believe in COVID vaccine; and 3) don't understand science. We need different approaches for each group.
- Now, long-haul COVID is the focus what should a clinical program of excellence look like? It needs to be invented.

Health Behaviors

Health Behaviors Ranking

The County Health Rankings examine healthy behaviors and rank counties according to health behavior data. California's 58 evaluated counties are ranked from 1 (healthiest) to 58 (least healthy) based on a number of indicators that include: adult smoking, obesity, physical inactivity, excessive drinking, sexually transmitted infections, and others. A ranking of 11 puts Los Angeles County in the top quarter of California counties for health behaviors.

Health Behaviors Ranking

| | County Ranking (out of 58) | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|
| Los Angeles County | 11 | | |
| Source: County Health Rankings, 2021, www.countyhealthrankings.org | | | |

Health Status

Among the adult residents of SPA 5, 67.8% rated themselves as being in excellent and very good health.

Self-Reported Health Status, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|----------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Excellent health status | 26.0% | 18.7% | 18.6% |
| Very good health status | 41.8% | 33.3% | 35.0% |
| Good health status | 25.1% | 32.6% | 31.0% |
| Fair health status | 7.7% | 12.2% | 12.4% |
| Poor health status | ** | 3.2% | 3.0% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. **Data repressed due to small sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

In SPA 5, 85.2% of children, ages 0 to 17, were reported to be in excellent and very good health.

Self-Reported Health Status, Children, Ages 0-17

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Excellent health status | 64.7% | 49.2% | 50.9% |
| Very good health status | 20.5%* | 33.4% | 32.1% |
| Good health status | 14.8%* | 15.0% | 14.3% |
| Fair health status | ** | 2.2%* | 2.6% |
| Poor health status | ** | ** | 0.2%* |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data repressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Limited Activity Due to Poor Health

In SPA 5, adults limited their activities due to poor mental health an average of 3.5 days per month. Similarly, SPA 5 adults limited their activities due to poor physical health an average of 3.1 days per month.

Activities Limited from Poor Mental/Physical Health, Average Days in Past Month

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Poor mental health days | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Poor physical health days | 3.1 | 3.9 |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Disability

People with a disability have difficulty performing activities due to a physical, mental, or emotional condition. Among SPA 5 adults, 24.1% reported a physical, or mental or emotional disability. In SPA 5, 16.8% of children were reported by their caretakers to have special health care needs.

Disability, Adults and Children, Ages 0-17

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Adults with a disability | 24.1% | 24.6% |
| Children, 0-17, with special health care needs | 16.8% | 14.7% |
| Source: 2019 Lee Angeles County Health Survey, Office of Her | 1th Assessment and Enidemials and | Las Annalas County Donortura |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Students with Autism, by School District

| | Number | Percent |
|---|---------|---------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 63 | 12.2% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 94 | 13.3% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 262 | 16.7% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District | 16,607 | 18.9% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 193 | 15.4% |
| Los Angeles County | 33,834 | 17.7% |
| California | 120,095 | 15.1% |

Source: California Department of Education, 2018-2019. http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

Teen Sexual History

In SPA 5, 88.8% of teens, ages 14 to 17, whose parents gave permission for the question to be asked, reported they had never had sex; this was a lower rate of abstinence than in the county (90.3%).

Teen Sexual History, Ages 14 to 17

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Never had sex | 88.8%* | 90.3%* | 87.9% |
| | | | |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Overweight and Obesity

In SPA 5, 26.5% of adults were overweight, and 3.2% of children were overweight for their age. Data for teens in SPA 5 were not available due to a small sample size.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Adults, ages 18 and older | 26.5% | 32.8% | 33.1% |
| Teens, ages 12-17 | ** | 16.8% | 15.6% |
| Children, ages 12 and younger | 3.2%* | 11.4% | 14.3% |

Overweight, Adults, Teens and Children

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017, 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

The Healthy People 2030 objectives for obesity are 36% of adults, ages 20 and older, and 15.5% for children and teens, ages 2 to 19. Data for teens in SPA 5 were not available due to a small sample size.

Obesity, Adults and Teens

| SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------|--------------------|-------------|
| 17.4% | 28.0% | 26.9% |
| ** | 19.7% | 17.6% |
| | 17.4% | 17.4% 28.0% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017-2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. **Data suppressed due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

When overweight and obesity measures are combined, Latino (55.5%) and African American (50.1%) adults have the highest rates in SPA 5. White adults have the lowest rates (38.8%) of overweight/obesity in SPA 5.

Overweight or Obese, Adults, by Race/Ethnicity

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Latino | 55.5% | 71.0% | 70.3% |
| African American | 50.1% | 68.3% | 68.6% |
| Asian | 44.0% | 38.1% | 29.1% |
| White | 38.8% | 54.8% | 57.3% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. Years 2017, 2018, & 2019 pooled to improve sustainability of data. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Sugar-Sweetened Beverage Consumption

Among SPA 5 children and adolescents, ages 17 and younger, 16.7% drink one or more sodas or sweetened beverages (SSB) a day.

Consumed 1 or More Sodas or Sweetened Beverages Daily, Ages 17 and Younger

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Drank > 1 SSBs daily, 17 and younger | 16.7% | 37.2% |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of He | alth Assessment and Epidemiology, | Los Angeles County Department |

of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

In Los Angeles County, adolescents are the highest consumers of soda and sugarsweetened beverages (SSB).

Consumed 1 or More Sodas or Sweetened Beverages Daily, by Age Group

| | Los Angeles County |
|--|--------------------|
| Drank <u>></u> 1 SSBs daily, children, ages 0-5 | 26.5% |
| Drank > 1 SSBs daily, children, ages 6-11 | 39.3% |
| Drank > 1 SSBs daily, teens, ages 12-17 | 45.0% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Adequate Fruit and Vegetable Consumption

In SPA 5, 30.1% of children and 10.9% of teens ate five or more servings of fruit and vegetables daily. 17.6% of SPA 5 adults ate five or more servings of fruits and vegetables in the previous day.

Five or More Servings Daily of Fruits and Vegetables

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|--------|--------------------|
| Children, ages 0-11 | 30.1%* | 28.3% |
| Teens, ages 12-17 | 10.9%* | 23.7% |
| Adults, ages 18 and older [‡] | 17.6% | 12.1% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u> ‡2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Community Access to Fresh Produce

In SPA 5, 96.1% of parents/guardians rated their community's access to fresh fruits and vegetables as good or excellent compared to the county at 78.2%.

Community Access to Fresh Produce

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Access to fresh produce good or excellent | 96.1% | 78.2% |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey: Office of He | ealth Assessment and Epidemiology | Los Angeles County Department |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Physical Activity

The physical fitness test (PFT) for students in California schools is the FitnessGram®. One of the components of the PFT is measurement of body composition (measured by skinfold measurement, BMI, or bioelectric impedance). Children who do not meet the "Healthy Fitness Zone" criteria for body composition are categorized as needing improvement (overweight) or at health risk (obese).

- In the Beverly Hills Unified School District, less than ten percent of 5th, 7th, and 9th grade students tested for a body composition at health risk.
- In the Culver City Unified School District, 16% or less of 5th, 7th, and 9th grade students tested for a body composition at health risk.

- In the Inglewood School District, over a guarter of 5th, 7th, and 9th grade students tested for a body composition at health risk.
- In the Los Angeles Unified School District, over a guarter of 5th, 7th, and 9th grade students tested for a body composition at health risk.
- In the Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, 11% or less of 5th, 7th, and 9th grade students tested for a body composition at health risk.

| | Fifth Gr | Fifth Grade | | Seventh Grade | | Ninth Grade | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|--|
| | Needs Improvement | Health Risk | Needs Improvement | Health Risk | Needs Improvement | Health Risk | |
| Beverly Hills USD | 17.6% | 9.5% | 17.9% | 8.9% | 12.2% | 6.9% | |
| Culver City USD | 13.3% | 16.2% | 16.4% | 14.4% | 20.5% | 12.5% | |
| Inglewood USD | 23.4% | 29.2% | 20.5% | 27.6% | 24.5% | 30.1% | |
| Los Angeles USD | 20.6% | 30.5% | 20.5% | 27.3% | 21.9% | 26.5% | |
| Santa Monica - Malibu USD | 17.8% | 11.6% | 15.7% | 10.4% | 14.1% | 9.6% | |
| Los Angeles County | 20.2% | 25.4% | 19.8% | 23.2% | 20.3% | 21.0% | |
| California | 19.4% | 21.9% | 19.4% | 20.6% | 18.9% | 18.9% | |

Body Composition, 'Needs Improvement' and 'Health Risk', 5th, 7^{th,} and 9th Grade Youth

Source: California Department of Education, Fitnessgram Physical Fitness Testing Results, 2018-2019. http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataguest/page2.asp?Level=District&submit1=Submit&Subject=FitTest

Vigorous-intensity aerobic activity should make up most of a child's 60 or more minutes of daily physical activity at least three days per week. Among SPA 5 children, ages 5 to 11, 58.0% engaged in vigorous activity at least three days a week.

Vigorous Physical Activity, at Least 3 Days per Week, Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California | |
|--|--------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Children engaged in vigorous physical activity | 58.0%* | 70.3% | 76.0% | |
| Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018 *Statistically unstable due to sample size, http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/ | | | | |

Survey, 2018. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.cnis.ucia.edu/</u>

One of the components of the physical fitness test (PFT) is the measurement of students' aerobic capacity through run and walk tests. Inglewood Unified School District and Los Angeles Unified School District scored lower for all grades as compared to other service area school districts and the county and state.

Aerobic Capacity

| | Fifth Grade | Seventh Grade | Ninth Grade |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | Healthy Fitness Zone | Healthy Fitness Zone | Healthy Fitness Zone |
| Beverly Hills USD | 75.7% | 75.5% | 76.4% |
| Culver City USD | 89.5% | 73.2% | 84.6% |
| Inglewood USD | 36.9% | 35.7% | 38.1% |

| | Fifth Grade | Seventh Grade | Ninth Grade | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| | Healthy Fitness Zone | Healthy Fitness Zone | Healthy Fitness Zone | |
| Los Angeles USD | 50.5% | 48.4% | 48.1% | |
| Santa Monica – Malibu USD | 73.3% | 80.0% | 76.3% | |
| Los Angeles County | 57.1% | 57.3% | 54.1% | |
| California | 60.2% | 61.0% | 60.0% | |

Source: California Department of Education, Fitnessgram Physical Fitness Testing Results, 2018-2019. <u>http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/page2.asp?Level=District&submit1=Submit&Subject=FitTest</u>

Sedentary Children and Teens

Sedentary activities include time spent sitting and watching TV, playing computer games, talking with friends, or doing other sitting activities. Among SPA 5 children, ages 2 to 11, 1.8% spent five or more hours in sedentary activities on weekend days.

Sedentary Children, Ages 2-11

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| 2 to <3 hours | 19.2%* | 28.6% | 25.2% |
| 3 to < 5 hours | 29.2%* | 25.2% | 30.3% |
| 5 or more hours | 1.8%* | 10.4% | 13.5% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018-2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size

Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 51.7% spent five or more hours in sedentary activities on weekend days as compared to county (26.4%) and state (26.9%) teens.

Sedentary Teens, Ages 12-17

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| 2 to <3 hours | ** | 15.2% | 15.0% |
| 3 to < 5 hours | 24.0%* | 34.3% | 26.0% |
| 5 or more hours | 51.7%* | 26.4% | 26.9% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018-2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

The U.S. Department of Health and Human Service has established physical activity guidelines for adults, and children and adolescents.⁶ Physical activity guidelines for adults include 1) vigorous activity for at least 75 minutes a week, or 2) moderate activity for at least 150 minutes a week, or 3) an equivalent combination of vigorous and moderate activity. Additionally, adults should engage in muscle-strengthening activities that are moderate or high intensity and involve all major muscle groups on two or more days a week.

⁶ Source: Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2nd edition. 2018 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. <u>https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2019-09/Physical_Activity_Guidelines_2nd_edition.pdf</u>

For children and adolescents, ages 6 to17, aerobic physical activity guidelines advise 60 minutes or more of physical activity each day. Additionally, to meet physical activity guidelines for muscle-strengthening exercises, children and adolescents must do muscle-strengthening physical activity at least three days a week.

In SPA 5, 45.2% of adults, ages 18 and older, meet the aerobic and muscle strengthening guidelines. Among SPA 5 children and adolescents, ages 6 to 17, 8.9% meet the aerobic and muscle strengthening guidelines.

Physical Activity Guidelines, Adults and Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Met aerobic guidelines, adults | 70.5% | 64.4% |
| No aerobic activity, adults | 8.1% | 11.2% |
| Met strengthening guidelines, adults | 52.3% | 43.1% |
| Met both aerobic and strengthening guidelines, adults | 45.2% | 35.1% |
| Met aerobic guidelines, children, ages 6-17 | 18.4% | 23.7% |
| Met strengthening guidelines, children, ages 6-17 | 44.5% | 50.8% |
| Met both aerobic and strengthening guidelines, children, ages 6-17 | 8.9% | 15.1% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Community Input – Overweight and Obesity

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to overweight and obesity. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- Many things contribute to obesity, i.e., lack of portion control, accessibility of fast food, lack of affordable healthy options, food deserts, and sedentary lifestyles.
- There's a need to evaluate what food is available to the community. Often, healthy affordable food options are too costly, too far way, or take too long to prepare.
- Cheap food is unhealthy; this is a systemic problem.
- Many people can't focus on healthy food/activities when other stressors are present.
- As a result of COVID, people chose safety over movement; movement suffered.
- Many eat to address mental health challenges, especially when mental health care options are limited.
- Food deserts and lack of access to fresh fruits and vegetables has long-term chronic disease impacts.
- Food pantries are trying to promote healthier eating, i.e., refusing to put soda out, trying to upgrade what is available. The quality of food that people are okay giving to people who are homeless is a huge problem. If that could be stopped then it may change obesity and other chronic diseases.
- Culturally sensitive food education programs that work with varying budgets are needed.

- The general norm of unhealthy eating is an issue, despite listing calories and nutrient content.
- Those who rent often lack access to green space for activity.
- It would be great if there were more places where clients could go to exercise, but those with mental health issues are stigmatized. People will hold their children if someone different walks by. If clients are in parks, they may be harassed when they're just trying to take care of their health.
- Need increased education for seniors and how they can exercise safely.
- There's a need for more youth programs to keep kids active. Physical activity is especially important now as it relieves stress.
- Eating disorders are an observed issue among students, but only confirmed if a student discloses.
- Need more access to green space, but the bigger challenge is that youth sports programs are expensive; we need free programs. Lower income communities are most impacted, especially near Venice Beach.
- Obesity impacts older adults significantly.
- We must help make a plan for those who are concerned with obesity. They often aren't aware they can have diabetes, high blood pressure and other chronic disease.
- The Asian Pacific Islander community has a high rate of obesity and related issues.
- The side effects of medications can include lethargy, obesity, and lack of energy to exercise. It's always a challenge to navigate that balance.
- Many are surprised that persons who are homeless are overweight, but they're surviving on whatever food is available. Unhealthy food often contributes to chronic disease. Also, some health issues get overlooked and once exacerbated, these issues can impact weight.

Mental Health

Mental health includes emotional, psychological, and social well-being. It affects how individuals think, feel, and act. It also helps determine how individuals handle stress, relate to others, and make choices.

Mental Health, Adults

In SPA 5, 7.2% of adults were at risk for major depression and 15.8% were currently diagnosed with depression.

Depression, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Adults at risk for major depression | 7.2% | 13.0% |
| Adults with current diagnosed depression | 15.8% | 11.5% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

When queried by race and ethnicity, Whites in Los Angeles County have the highest percentage of depression (16.5%) and Asians have the lowest percentage of depression (5.8%).

Current Depression, Adults, by Race/Ethnicity

| | Los Angeles County |
|------------------|--------------------|
| White | 16.5% |
| African American | 15.3% |
| Latino | 9.5% |
| Asian | 5.8% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Mental Health Indicators, Adults

Among adults in SPA 5, 10.9% likely had serious psychological distress in the past year. Higher rates of serious psychological distress were found among low-income residents (20.6%), males (14.7%), Whites (12.8%) and Latinos (12.7%), young adults, ages 18-24 (22.3%), and persons who identify as bisexual (20.5%).

Mental Health Indicators, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|-------|--------------------|------------|
| Adults who had serious psychological distress during past year | 10.9% | 12.2% | 12.0% |
| 0-99% Federal Poverty Level | 15.9% | 16.0% | 18.2% |

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| 100-199% FPL | 11.4% | 15.4% | 14.1% |
| 200-299% FPL | 20.6% | 12.3% | 13.4% |
| 300%+ FPL | 8.9% | 9.4% | 9.3% |
| Male | 14.7% | 10.5% | 10.4% |
| Female | 7.7% | 13.7% | 13.6% |
| White | 12.8% | 10.2% | 11.2% |
| Black | ** | 10.0% | 10.7% |
| Latino | 12.7%* | 14.3% | 13.4% |
| Asian | 4.7%* | 9.9% | 9.7% |
| Two or More Races | ** | 18.1%* | 19.1% |
| 18 - 24 years old | 22.3% | 28.7% | 25.8% |
| 25 - 39 years old | 18.0% | 17.0% | 16.2% |
| 40 - 64 years old | 3.4%* | 7.5% | 8.4% |
| 65 - 79 years old | ** | 3.6% | 4.1% |
| 80 years and older | ** | 1.7%* | 3.6% |
| Straight or heterosexual | 10.2% | 10.3% | 10.3% |
| Gay, Lesbian, or homosexual | 12.1%* | 24.5% | 20.4% |
| Bisexual | 20.5%* | 41.9% | 43.2% |
| Asexual/Celibate/None/Other | ** | 16.7%* | 13.7% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Among adults in available service area ZIP Codes, those who were likely to have had serious psychological distress in the past year ranged from 5.3% (Century City) to 17.1% (Malibu 90263).

| | ZIP Code | Percent |
|-------------------|----------|---------|
| Bel Air | 90077 | 7.3% |
| Beverly Hills | 90210 | 8.8% |
| Beverly Hills | 90211 | 11.3% |
| Beverly Hills | 90212 | 8.4% |
| Brentwood | 90049 | 8.5% |
| Century City | 90067 | 5.3% |
| Culver City | 90230 | 8.9% |
| Culver City | 90232 | 9.3% |
| Ladera Heights | 90056 | 8.0% |
| Malibu | 90263 | 17.1% |
| Malibu | 90265 | 9.8% |
| Marina del Rey | 90292 | 8.8% |
| Pacific Palisades | 90272 | 7.3% |
| Palms | 90034 | 10.1% |
| Playa del Rey | 90293 | 8.5% |
| Playa Vista | 90094 | 10.2% |
| Santa Monica | 90401 | 9.7% |
| Santa Monica | 90402 | 7.6% |
| Santa Monica | 90403 | 8.9% |
| Santa Monica | 90404 | 9.5% |
| Santa Monica | 90405 | 8.9% |
| Venice | 90291 | 9.6% |

Serious Psychological Distress in the Past 12 Months, Adults, by ZIP Code

| | ZIP Code | Percent |
|------------------|----------|---------|
| Venice/Mar Vista | 90066 | 9.3% |
| West Los Angeles | 90025 | 9.8% |
| West Los Angeles | 90035 | 11.1% |
| West Los Angeles | 90064 | 8.5% |
| Westchester | 90045 | 10.3% |
| Westwood | 90024 | 10.8% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey Neighborhood Edition, 2018. http://askchisne.ucla.edu/

Among adults in SPA 5, 12.3% have taken prescription medicine for emotional/mental health issue for at least two weeks in the past year. In SPA 5, 18.2% to 23% of adults reported moderate to severe family life, social life, household chore, or work life impairments in the past year.

Emotional/Mental Health, Prescription Medicine, and Life Impairments, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Adults on prescription medicine at least 2 weeks for emotional/mental health issue in past year | 12.3% | 8.2% | 10.3% |
| Adults reporting family life impairment during the past year | 20.4% | 20.9% | 20.8% |
| Adults reporting social life impairment during the past year | 18.2% | 20.8% | 20.9% |
| Adults reporting household chore impairment during the past year | 20.2% | 20.2% | 20.3% |
| Adults reporting work impairment during the past year | 23.0% | 21. 1% | 20.2% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Utilizing the UCLA 3-Item Loneliness Scale, among SPA 5 adults, ages 65 years and older, 20.5% were lonely some of the time. It should be noted these data were collected prior to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Loneliness, Elder Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|
| Hardly lonely | 79.5%* | 77.4% | 77.6% |
| Lonely some of the time | 20.5%* | 21.3% | 19.2% |
| Often lonely | ** | 1.3% | 3.2% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Suppressed due to small sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/

Mental Health Care Access, Adults

Among SPA 5 adults who received care for mental or emotional problems, 52.0% visited a mental health professional. 28.2% of SPA 5 adults visited a primary care provider and mental health professional.

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Primary care physician only | 19.8% | 27.8% | 25.5% |
| Mental health professional only | 52.0% | 35.9% | 34.0% |
| Both | 28.2%* | 36.3% | 40.5% |

Provider Type Giving Care for Mental and Emotional Issues in the Past Year, Adults

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.

Among SPA 5 adults, 6.2% sought on-line help (mobile apps or texting services) for mental health, emotions, nerves, or use of alcohol or drugs in the past 12 months. In SPA 5, 13.4% of adults connected on-line with a mental health professional and 2.7% connected online with people sharing similar issues.

Online Mental Health Utilization, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|-------|-----------------------|------------|
| Sought help from an online tool | 6.2% | 5.8% | 6.2% |
| Connected with a mental health professional on-line in last 12 months | 13.4% | 6.1% | 5.5% |
| Connected with people on-line with similar mental health or alcohol/drug status | 2.7%* | 4.3% | 4.6% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.</u>

Mental Health, Teens and Children

In SPA 5, 15.8% of children, ages four years and older, have had difficulties with emotion/concentration/behavior in the past six months. Parents of children who have had difficulties with emotions/concentration, or behavior, provided a rank of severity from mild, or definite/severe. Among SPA 5 children, 53.1% had minor problems and 46.9% had definite and/or severe problems.

Emotion/Concentration/Behavior Problems, Difficulty and Severity, Children

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Has had emotion/concentration/behavior problem difficulty | 15.8%* | 15.6% | 18.5% |
| Mild problems | 53.1%* | 57.8% | 63.0% |
| Definite/severe problems | 46.9%* | 42.2% | 37.0% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019 Years 2017, 2018, 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Among SPA 5 teens, 52.8% likely had serious psychological distress during the past year, which was higher than county (37.3%) and state (29.4%) rates.

Serious Psychological Distress Past Year, Teens

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|---|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Teens who had serious psychological distress during past year | 52.8%* | 37.3% | 29.4% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/.

Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 42.6% needed help in the past year for emotional or mental health problems. Female teens (75.4%) reported needing help more frequently than males (40.0%).

Needed Help for Emotional or Mental Health Problems in Past Year, Teens

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Needed Help, ages 12-17 years old | 42.6% | 23.5% | 25.6% |
| Male | 40.0%* | 16.7% | 15.6% |
| Female | 75.4%* | 31.0% | 36.0% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019 Years 2017,2018, 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 33.5% received psychological/emotional counseling. Female teens (75.4%) reported receiving psychological/emotional counseling. Data for male teens were unavailable due to a small sample size.

Received Psychological/Emotional Counseling in Past Year, Teens

| | - | - | | | |
|--|--------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California | | |
| Received Counseling | 33.5% | 12.0% | 15.9% | | |
| Male | ** | 12.4%* | 13.4% | | |
| Female | 75.4%* | 12.3% | 18.4% | | |
| Occurrence of the sector of the state of the sector of the | | | and the state of t | | |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2017-2019 Years 2017,2018, 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Bullying

Bullying has been shown to affect the mental health of children and teens. Among California children and teens, ages 6 to 17 years, 30.8% reported being bullied, picked on or excluded at least 1-2 times in past 12 months. In contrast, 13.3% of California children and teens reported bullying others, picking on others, or excluding others in the past 12 months.

Children/Teens Bullies, Picks On, or Excludes Other Children, or Is Bullied

| | California | | United States | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Was Bullied | Bullied Others | Was Bullied | Bullied Others |
| Never in past 12 months | 57.0% | 80.0% | 52.5% | 80.0% |
| 1-2 times in past 12 months | 30.8% | 13.3% | 32.6% | 15.5% |
| 1-2 times in past month | 7.5% | 3.9% | 8.8% | 2.8% |

| | California | | United | States |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Was Bullied | Bullied Others | Was Bullied | Bullied Others |
| 1-2 times per week | 3.0% | 1.8% | 4.2% | 1.3% |
| Almost every day | 1.8% | 0.9% | 1.9% | 0.5% |

Source: Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health, The Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. 2018-2019 National Survey of Children's Health. <u>www.childhealthdata.org</u>.

The ability to form and maintain friendships is important for the mental wellbeing of children and teens. In California, 15.6% of parents/guardians characterized their child as having a little difficulty with making or keeping friends his own age as compared to peers.

Difficulty Making or Keeping Friends, Compared to Peers, Children, Ages 6-17

| | California | United States |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|
| No difficulty | 79.0% | 77.6% |
| A little difficulty | 15.6% | 17.7% |
| A lot of difficulty | 5.4% | 4.6% |

Source: Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health, The Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. 2018-2019 National Survey of Children's Health. <u>www.childhealthdata.org</u>.

Among parents/guardians in California, 4.8% perceived their children, ages 6 to17, always argued too much.

Argues Too Much, Children, Ages 6-17

| | California | United States |
|-----------|------------|---------------|
| Always | 4.8% | 5.2% |
| Usually | 10.8% | 10.2% |
| Sometimes | 59.8% | 58.5% |
| Never | 24.5% | 26.1% |

Source: Data Resource Center for Child and Adolescent Health, The Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative. 2018-2019 National Survey of Children's Health. <u>www.childhealthdata.org</u>.

Suicide Contemplation

Among adults in SPA 5, 14.5% have seriously thought about committing suicide. Higher rates of suicide contemplation were found among low-income residents (32.1%), males (16.2%), two or more races (23.4%) and Whites (18.9%), young adults, ages 18-24 (19.1%) and adults, ages 25-39 (19%), and persons who identify as gay, lesbian or homosexual (31%).

Suicide Contemplation, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Seriously considered suicide, adults | 14.5% | 12.3% | 13.7% |
| 0-99% Federal Poverty Level (FPL) | 12.1%* | 12.9% | 14.9% |
| 100-199% FPL | 26.5%* | 12.8% | 15.0% |

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| 200-299% FPL | 32.1%* | 13.6% | 16.2% |
| 300%+ FPL | 10.4% | 11.5% | 12.3% |
| Male | 16.2% | 12.5% | 13.1% |
| Female | 13.0% | 12.1% | 14.3% |
| White | 18.9%* | 14.7% | 15.9% |
| Black | ** | 13.7% | 12.7% |
| Latino | 10.4%* | 11.5% | 12.4% |
| Asian | 3.1%* | 8.5% | 9.3% |
| Two or More Races | 23.4%* | 19.4% | 26.3% |
| 18 - 24 years old | 19.1%* | 20.2% | 22.3% |
| 25 - 39 years old | 19.0% | 15.7% | 18.3% |
| 40 - 64 years old | 8.9%* | 9.8% | 11.2% |
| 65 - 79 years old | 11.9%* | 7.9% | 7.5% |
| 80 years and older | ** | 4.3%* | 4.3% |
| Straight or heterosexual | 13.4% | 10.5% | 11.9% |
| Gay, Lesbian, or homosexual | 31.0%* | 23.2% | 24.6% |
| Bisexual | 26.7* | 39.4% | 45.6% |
| Asexual/Celibate/None/Other | ** | 17.2% | 16.9% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2018-2019. Years 2018 & 2019 pooled to increase sustainability of data. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. **Data suppressed due to small sample size. <u>http://ask.chis.ucla.edu/</u>

Among students in 7th, 9th, and 11th grades enrolled in service area school districts, 12.0% to 19.0% seriously considered attempting suicide in the past 12 months.

| | 7 th Grade | 9 th Grade | 11 th Grade |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District** | Not asked | 16.0% | 16.0% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 13.0% | 12.0% | 12.0% |
| Inglewood Unified School District** | Not asked | 18.0% | 19.0% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District [*] | 15.0% | 14.0% | 12.0% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 14.0% | 18.0% | 16.0% |

Source: California Department of Education, California Healthy Kids Survey, 2019-20, ±2018-2019, ±±2017-2018. https://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataguest/

Community Input – Mental Health

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to mental health. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- We're seeing alarming rates of mental health issues among clinic patients, especially depression and anxiety. Access to mental health services is a challenge, especially for those who don't speak English. This is a workforce issue too – finding those who speak the language and understand cultural beliefs.
- There are not enough LGBTQ trained clinicians.
- Mental health is a huge need. There's a gap in providers and a stigma around treatment and many are unsure about treatment benefits, especially for those on state programs. We need an anti-stigma campaign using ambassadors to share their

stories.

- Mental health issues are prevalent among blacks, Latinx, and those with little family support. There is a disconnect with serving the undocumented.
- General access to mental health care is challenging for those who need it the most, including the privately insured, but especially those with Medi-Cal or the un/underinsured who lack options. If I'm a schizophrenic having a psychotic break, our system will find care. If I'm a 30-year-old black female with significant depression, it's going to be difficult for me find care immediately.
- Access barriers include childcare, transportation, lack of awareness where to go for bilingual clinicians and locations that are culturally responsive.
- Many parents see mental health issues in their children, across all economic groups, such as extensive problems with reentering school, anxiety, and depression.
- There's going to be long lasting emotional and psychological impact on the kids from the pandemic- we don't even understand that impact yet.
- During quarantine, many mental health needs were highlighted with families home together and without the capacity to support one another. Many students aren't doing well back in school.
- During the pandemic, depression among college students sometimes led to drop out. If they got connected to counseling, students would time out in terms of how many sessions they could have.
- We saw huge increases in mental health and substance use issues during the pandemic, especially with adolescents due to fear and social isolation.
- Mental health providers are alarmed, overwhelmed, and can't take new patients due to a high caseload. People are reaching out, but we're concerned about who isn't reaching out.
- Many are providing group or informational sessions, but more one-on-one services are clearly needed and more directed group sessions, especially for kids.
- The impact of the pandemic has been superimposed on generational trauma that communities have experienced. It'd be wise to start addressing the acute trauma layered on the chronic trauma.
- The blessing of the pandemic is that we've suffered a collective trauma, resulting in an empathetic lens around mental health and how we should prioritize it. We should lean into this opportunity.
- We need to address stigma early on with kids and reinforce that it's okay to ask for help.
- There are challenges with making care more patient-centric. Currently, community clinics can't bill mental health visits and primary care visits on the same day all while community clinics are trying hard to integrate care to address all needs. Many clinics just provide the care and don't get paid. There's work being done to try to get state to include this as a benefit; it's mostly an FQHC issue.

- Many providers focus on the diagnosis and not the needs, so they are only addressing part of the problem, not the whole person.
- We need a mapping project to understand gaps, showing the ratio of mental health providers to regions. Maybe the best we can do is ensure some progress; not sure we can get ahead of the issue.
- This is a systemic issue. There aren't enough intensive levels of therapy for persons who are homeless and not enough affordable/covered resources for older adults. More peer-to-peer support is needed.
- Post-partum mood disorders and perinatal mental health are issues of concern across all groups, but with black mothers in particular.
- People are experiencing eco-grief, which is mental health issues related to climate events or grief around someone who dies after a heat wave, or with indigenous people impacted by wildfires.
- There's a structural problem with inability to treat psychosis and paranoia because a patient is unwilling to accept treatment it's a legal issue.
- We need emergency psychiatric care that treats underlying substance abuse disorders.
- Risk assessments for self-harm and suicide ideation should be done when individuals leave the hospital. Hospitals are great partners in this.
- Adverse Childhood Experiences screening is now being implemented with clients; suicidal thoughts is part of the assessment. Highest call volume ZIP Code is Beverly Hills.
- Screening for depression and anxiety should be routine and built-in for all who are getting primary care.
- Eating disorders are a mental health condition, not a lifestyle choice.
- Many are providing mental health services virtually. Many families resist this as they are unsure how to access virtual services or they live where there's too many people and they don't feel comfortable.
- Older adults need help with remote access, i.e., training and connection via chrome books already loaded with software and someone to help them navigate appointments and technology.
- We can do therapy over phone or zoom with good outcomes but lack psychiatrists to work with acute mental health issues. It's difficult to access this care; many don't take insurance (cash only).
- We don't have enough beds available in the county for inpatient and outpatient services.
- Veterans with moral injury has come up in science; this is often misdiagnosed as PTSD. Misdiagnosis and mistreatment can exacerbate the issue.
- There's limited psychiatric urgent care centers and a gap in treatment beds for persons who are homeless. We're getting better on the street medicine side, but

more could be done, i.e., mobile psychiatric vans.

- Those who need help won't come to us, we must go to them, especially persons who are homeless.
- Some persons who are homeless have psychotic breaks on the streets, but milder mental health issues are also concerning. We need them housed in a trauma free environment so we can address their issues.

Substance Use and Misuse

Cigarette Smoking

The Healthy People 2030 objective for cigarette smoking among adults is 5.0%. Among SPA 5 adults, 7.9% are current smokers, and 3.1% are e-cigarette smokers.

Smoking, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Current smoker | 7.9%* | 6.0% | 6.7% |
| Former smoker | 20.2% | 18.4% | 19.5% |
| Never smoked | 71.8% | 75.5% | 73.8% |
| Thinking about quitting in the next 6 months | 64.2%* | 63.1% | 66.4% |
| Current e-cigarette user | 3.1%* | 4.0% | 4.2% |

Source: California Health Interview Survey, 2019. *Statistically unstable due to sample size. http://ask.chis.ucla.edu

Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 1.4% have engaged in cigarette smoking in the past month, and 2.7% have used tobacco products, such as cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, cigars, or tobacco pipes.

Tobacco Use, Teens, Ages 12-17

| | SPA 5 [‡] | Los Angeles County | California |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Cigarette smoking in past month | 1.4% | 1.7% | 1.8% |
| Tobacco product use | 2.7% | 3.0% | 3.0% |

Source: SAMHSA, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2016, 2017, and 2018. ‡Includes SPA 1 and SPA 5.

https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29376/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTabs2018/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTa bs2018.pdf Published July 2020

Alcohol Use

Binge drinking is defined as consuming a certain amount of alcohol within a set period of time. For males this is five or more drinks per occasion and for females it is four or more drinks per occasion. Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 9.2% have used alcohol and 4.5% have engaged in binge drinking in the past month.

Alcohol Use, Teens, Ages 12-17

| | SPA 5 [‡] | Los Angeles County |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Alcohol use in past month | 9.2% | 8.1% |
| Binge drinking in past month | 4.5% | 4.3% |
| Perception of great risk from having 5+ drinks once or twice a week | 46.0% | 46.8% |

Source: SAMHSA, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2016, 2017, and 2018. *Includes SPA 1* and SPA 5.

<u>https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29376/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTabs2018/</u>

Among adults in SPA 5, 70.6% have used alcohol and 20.3% have engaged in binge drinking in the past month.

Alcohol Use, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|------------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Alcohol use in past month | 70.6% | 53.8% |
| Binge drinking in past month | 20.3% | 17.9% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Marijuana Use

Among SPA 5 teens, ages 12 to 17, 7.2% have used marijuana in the past month and 15.0% have used marijuana in the past year.

Marijuana Use, Teens, Ages 12-17

| | SPA 5 [‡] | Los Angeles County |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| Marijuana use in past month | 7.2% | 6.9% |
| Marijuana use in past year | 15.0% | 13.0% |
| Perception of great risk from smoking marijuana once a month | 23.7% | 23.0% |

Source: SAMHSA, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2016, 2017, and 2018. *Includes SPA 1 and SPA 5*.

<u>https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29376/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTabs2018/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTa</u> <u>bs2018.pdf</u> Published July 2020

Among adults In SPA 5, 19.9% have used marijuana in the past month and 26.7% have used marijuana in the past year.

Marijuana Use, Adults

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Marijuana use in past 30 days | 19.9% | 12.9% |
| Marijuana use in past year | 26.7% | 18.2% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Drug Use

The age-adjusted death rate from drug-induced causes in Los Angeles County was 8.5 per 100,000 persons, which is lower than the state rate (12.7 per 100,000 persons). The Healthy People 2030 objective for drug-induced deaths is 20.7 per 100,000 persons.

Drug-Induced Death Rates, Age-Adjusted, per 100,000 Persons

| | Rate |
|--------------------|------|
| Los Angeles County | 8.5 |
| California | 12.7 |

Source: California Department of Public Health, County Health Status Profiles, 2019. <u>https://www.cdph.ca.gov/programs/chsi/pages/county-health-status-profiles.aspx</u>

Opioid Use

The Los Angeles County emergency department visit rate for any opioid overdose was 10.2 per 100,000 persons and the hospitalization rate for opioid overdose was 5.1 per 100,000 persons. These rates are lower than state levels. The age adjusted opioid death rate was 5.1 per 100,000 persons in Los Angeles County as compared to the state at 6.4 per 100,000 persons. The rate of opioid prescriptions in Los Angeles County (315.8 per 1,000 persons) was lower than the state rate (383.53 per 1,000 persons).

| | Los Angeles County | California |
|--|--------------------|------------|
| ED visit rate for any opioid overdose per 100,000 persons | 10.2 | 15.8 |
| Hospitalization rate for any opioid overdose per 100,000 persons | 5.1 | 6.4 |
| Age-adjusted opioid overdose deaths per 100,000 persons | 6.7 | 7.9 |
| Opioid prescriptions, per 1,000 persons | 315.8 | 383.5 |

Source: California Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, via California Department of Public Health, California Opioid Overdose Surveillance Dashboard, 2019. <u>https://discovery.cdph.ca.gov/CDIC/ODdash/</u>

Drug Misuse

Misuse of prescription psychotherapeutics is defined as use in any way not directed by a doctor, including use without a prescription of one's own; use in greater amounts, more often, or longer than told; or use in any other way not directed by a doctor. In SPA 5, adults, ages 18 to 25, had the highest rate of pain reliever misuse (5.4%).

Pain Reliever Misuse in Past Year, All Ages

| SPA 5 [‡] | Los Angeles | |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| 3.2% | 3.4% | |
| 5.4% | 5.8% | |
| 3.7% | 3.4% | |
| 4.0% | 3.8% | |
| | 3.2% 5.4% 3.7% | |

Source: SAMHSA, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 2016, 2017, and 2018. ‡Includes SPA 1 and SPA 5.

https://www.samhsa.gov/data/sites/default/files/reports/rpt29376/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTabs2018/NSDUHsubstateAgeGroupTa bs2018.pdf Published July 2020

Community Input – Substance Use

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to substance use. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- Substance abuse is increasing substantially. We're starting to see spread of opioid epidemic and an explosion of methamphetamine use, highlighting how addictive, cheap and accessible it is.
- Street drug usage and overdoses are current challenges, specifically with fentanyl and meth. Easy and cheap access is a problem.
- Substance abuse worsened during COVID. People used drugs/alcohol to manage

stress and since people were in isolation, there was no peer support to intervene.

- Substance abuse may be a negative coping strategy for a mental health condition.
- We worry that those with mental health conditions aren't taking their medications properly.
- Substance abuse is an area with disparity for supports for those with low versus high income – the options are dramatic and different, like the area of mental health was 10 years ago.
- We see a lot of alcohol use among clients' families.
- We're seeing substance abuse among staff, mostly alcohol; we're providing education and services.
- Alcohol abuse is a problem for many Asian Pacific Islander communities, and it's hard to get them to access services and treatment due to language and cultural barriers.
- Some Asian Pacific Islander communities also have issues with cannabis and opioid use.
- Alcohol is a problem among Korean teens; parents don't know where to get help and resources.
- Smoking and e-cigarettes are a concern among college students. Campuses are non-smoking, so they go into the neighborhoods to smoke. Policing them in neighboring streets is a challenge.
- Lack of needle exchange/disposal programs to safely administer drugs and seek addiction services.
- One present crisis is fentanyl contamination; test strips can be distributed to test for this.
- This is a challenging, fragmented territory to navigate, even with integrated services. There are different approaches so it's hard to align among agencies and there are coverage issues, i.e., when do you get paid by Medi-Cal vs Drug Medi-Cal?
- Need more providers enrolled in Drug Medi-Cal and infrastructure to bill against it, otherwise it affects treatment options available, especially for those on the street.
- Biggest issue is that substance abuse and mental health are two different systems funded by different agencies. If someone needs both services, as they often do, they need two separate assessments, and sometimes have different clinicians and different locations for services. We need a whole person approach and integration of services, funding, and payment mechanisms.
- Clinics are starting to do more in this area rather than just referring out. It's becoming common practice to bring resources in-house to provide more patient centric care.
- Screening for substance abuse should be routine and built into primary care services.
- We're concerned with drug overdoses among young people and the unhoused

population.

- Substance abuse is an issue among foster care and congregate care providers. The level of substance abuse among young people is worse and it's now mostly hard drugs. If they have a reaction, they go to the hospital, may be put on a 5150 hold, but then become lost in the system after that. There aren't many youth programs to address substance abuse.
- The absence of hospital-based detoxification is a huge problem. Therapeutic use of Ketamine should be highly monitored; people with addiction need precautions for use in outpatient settings.
- Access to evidence-based treatment is challenging. The silo of treatment means we lose integration with health care and, therefore, medical innovations and partnerships.
- Many substance use providers won't accept those who are lower functioning due to mental illness. It's challenging for these patients to stay in structured treatment. Harm reduction is also a needed focus, but hard to find providers who work in this space.
- It's challenging to link to services and beds when an individual is ready. Often, resources aren't available for those not privately insured or privately funded.
- Criminal reentry makes this issue really challenging as it can be harder to locate treatment.
- This issue impacts persons who are homeless due to the trauma they face, but we need them off the street to address it. Also impacts those who are housing insecure and rent-burdened.
- Outreach teams need more substance abuse providers on the street, but they need the ability to get someone into an addiction program on a same day basis.
- There's a need for cannabis dispensaries zoning. A dispensary moved right next to a local treatment program – a terrible problem.

Preventive Practices

Immunizations

For the academic year 2018-2019, in service area school districts, rates of children with up-to-date immunization upon entry into kindergarten ranged from 87.0%-98.0%.

Up-to-Date Immunization Rates, Children Entering Kindergarten, 2018-2019*

| | Immunization Rate |
|---|-------------------|
| Beverly Hills Unified School District | 92.9% |
| Culver City Unified School District | 98.0% |
| Inglewood Unified School District | 87.0% |
| Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD)** | 94.6% |
| Santa Monica – Malibu Unified School District | 92.8% |
| Los Angeles County* | 94.5% |
| California* | 95.3% |

Source: California Department of Public Health, Immunization Branch, 2018-2019. *Excludes schools with 10 or less children enrolled in kindergarten and private schools. **Includes all schools in LAUSD with kindergarten enrollment. https://data.chhs.ca.gov/dataset/school-immunizations-in-kindergarten-by-academic-year

Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine

In SPA 5, 57.6% of children, ages 11 to 17, have received at least one dose of the Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) vaccine. 66.2% of females, ages 11 to 17, received at least one dose of the HPV vaccine as compared to 47.7% of males of the same age. Rates of HPV vaccination in SPA 5 were higher for the 11- to 17-year-old population, and both genders, when compared to the county.

HPV Vaccinations, Ages 11-17, by Gender

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Children ages 11-17 | 57.6% | 47.2% |
| Female | 66.2% | 53.4% |
| Male | 47.7% | 41.2% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Influenza (Flu) Vaccination

The Healthy People 2030 objective is for 70% of the population to receive a flu shot. In SPA 5, adults 65 years and older met the Healthy People 2030 objective (78.1%). 67.8% of children and 53.4% of adults had received a flu vaccine.

Flu Vaccine

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---|-------|-----------------------|
| Reported having flu vaccination in past 12 months, 6 months to 17 years | 67.8% | 59.9% |

| Reported having flu vaccination in past 12 months, 18 years and older | 53.4% | 47.1% |
|---|-------|-------|
| Reported having flu vaccination in past 12 months. 65 years and older | 78.1% | 73.2% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Pneumococcal Vaccine

Among SPA 5 seniors, 72.3% have received a pneumonia vaccine.

Pneumococcal Vaccine, Adults, Ages 65 and Older

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Ever had a pneumonia vaccine | 72.3% | 72.3% |
| Courses 2018 Les Anneles Courts Llastith Sun aux Office of Llastith Accessment and Enidemialany, Les Anneles Courts Denortment | | |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Mammograms

The Healthy People 2030 objective for mammograms is 77.1% of women, ages 50 to 74 years, to have a mammogram in the past two years. Among women in SPA 5, 79.3% had a mammogram in the past two years. The service area SPA exceeds the Healthy People 2030 objective.

Mammogram, Ages 50-74

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Had a mammogram | 79.3% | 77.0% |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey: Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department | | |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Pap Smears

The Healthy People 2030 objective for Pap smears is 84.3% of women, ages 21 to 65 years, to be screened in the past three years. Among SPA 5 women, 90.2% had a Pap smear in the prior 3 years, which exceeds the Healthy People 2030 objective.

Pap Smear, Ages 21-65

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|--|-------|--------------------|
| Pap smear within past 3 years | 90.2% | 81.4% |
| Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department | | |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Colorectal Cancer Screening

The Healthy People 2030 objective for colorectal cancer screening is 74.4% of adults, ages 50 to 74 years, to be screened. Among SPA 5 adults, ages 50 to 74 years, 18.6% had a blood stool test in the past 12 months, and 61.4% had a sigmoidoscopy within the past five years or colonoscopy within the past 10 years. SPA 5 does not meet the Healthy People 2030 objective.

Colorectal Cancer Screening, Adults, Ages 50-74

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|---|-------|--------------------|
| Blood stool test in past 12 months | 18.6% | 20.0% |
| Sigmoidoscopy w/in past 5 years or Colonoscopy w/in past 10 years | 61.4% | 54.6% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Senior Falls and Injuries from Falls

Among seniors in SPA 5, 26.5% experienced at least one fall in the past year and 12.6% were injured due to a fall.

Falls and Injuries from Falls, Past Year, Seniors 65 and Older

| | SPA 5 | Los Angeles County |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Experienced at least one fall | 26.5% | 26.5% |
| Injured due to a fall | 12.6% | 11.1% |

Source: 2018 Los Angeles County Health Survey; Office of Health Assessment and Epidemiology, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health. <u>http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/ha/LACHSDataTopics2018.htm</u>

Community Input – Preventive Practices

Stakeholder interviews identified the following issues, challenges and barriers related to preventive practices. Following are their comments edited for clarity:

- Prevention is always a big challenge; it's hard to quantify impact.
- Services are underfunded and there's an overall lack of awareness. Additional investments are needed for black-led or culturally congruent community-based organizations doing the work.
- We've seen delay with colonoscopies, and breast and cervical cancer screenings. Unless people get back on schedule, we'll see significant increase of preventable, treatable, detectable diseases – especially among black and Latinx communities.
- Access is a concern. Required student physicals and immunizations can be challenging to get.
- Understanding insurance is the biggest barrier to preventive care. Many people are afraid of incurring costs.
- Fears and language barriers among undocumented populations result in them not seeking preventive care.
- There's a need for specialists who are culturally competent in treating LGBT patients. For example, we know of LGBT clients who were shamed for their sexual choices if they had abnormal colon cancer screenings, or others who were treated poorly by their OB doctor.
- We're seeing a lot of additional patients with acute illness that could have been prevented. Many are overdue for their preventive screenings; we're trying to get through a huge backlog.

- With childhood vaccines, clinic data shows many are way behind with getting kids up to date.
- The greatest challenge is for school districts to provide access and education for the COVID vaccine.
- School-based health centers need to continue, but funding can be limited.
- Fall prevention safety education is an issue; needs to address safety in the home and community.
- With persons who are homeless, there's a big issue with anything that requires more than one encounter. Getting them access to vaccines on the street and connecting to care for regular screening is a challenge.
- For the unhoused population, the challenge is patient tracking and lack of patient records, personal contact info and care relationships. We need advancement in field-based care but how does that become a series of care visits or care relationship? That's a big issue for us to solve.

Attachment 1: Benchmark Comparisons

Where data were available, health and social indicators in the hospital service area were compared to the Healthy People 2030 objectives. The **bolded items** are indicators that did not meet established benchmarks; non-bolded items meet or exceed benchmarks.

| Indicators | Service Area Data | Healthy People 2030 Objectives |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| High school graduation rate | 80.1%- 96.0% | 90.7% |
| Child health insurance rate | 97.1% | 92.1% |
| Adult health insurance rate | 93.4% | 92.1% |
| Unable to obtain medical care | 13.3% | 3.3% |
| Ischemic heart disease deaths | 78.0 | 71.1 per 100,000 persons |
| Stroke deaths | 24.7 | 33.4 per 100,000 persons |
| Cancer deaths | 124.1 | 122.7 per 100,000 persons |
| Colon/rectum cancer death | 13.1 | 8.9 per 100,000 persons |
| Lung and bronchus cancer deaths | 25.4 | 25.1 per 100,000 persons |
| Female breast cancer deaths | 19.5 | 15.3 per 100,000 persons |
| Prostate cancer deaths | 20.1 | 16.9 per 100,000 persons |
| Drug-induced deaths | 8.5 | 20.7 per 100,000 persons |
| Overdose deaths involving opioids | 6.7 | 13.1 per 100,000 persons |
| Unintentional injury deaths | 18.3 | 43.2 per 100,000 persons |
| Suicides | 8.8 | 12.8 per 100,000 persons |
| Liver disease (cirrhosis) deaths | 6.7 | 10.9 per 100,000 persons |
| Homicides | 1.5 | 5.5 per 100,000 persons |
| Infant death rate | 4.2 | 5.0 per 1,000 live births |
| Obese adults | 17.4% | 36% |
| Adults engaging in binge drinking | 20.3% | 25.4% |
| Cigarette smoking by adults | 7.9% | 5.0% |
| Pap smears, ages 21-65, screened in the past 3 years | 90.2% | 84.3% |
| Annual adult influenza vaccination | 53.4% | 70.0% |
| Mammograms, ages 50-74, screened in the past 2 years | 79.3% | 77.1% |
| Colorectal cancer screenings, ages 50-75, screened per guidelines | 66.4% | 74.4% |

Attachment 2: Community Stakeholder Interviewees

Community input was obtained from interviews with community stakeholders from community agencies and organizations that represent medically underserved, low-income, and/or minority populations.

| Name | Title | Organization |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Vishesh Anand | Field Deputy | Councilmember Mike Bonin, 11th District, City of Los Angeles |
| Thomas V. Babayan MS LMFT | Director | UCLA/VA Veteran Family Wellness Center |
| Chris Baca | Executive Director | Meals on Wheels West |
| Tara Barauskas | Executive Director | Community Corporation of Santa Monica |
| Grace Cheng Braun MSPH | President and Chief Executive Officer | WISE & Healthy Aging |
| Ward Carpenter MD | Co-Director, Health Services (he) | The Los Angeles LGBT Center |
| Mary Carr, LCSW | Mental Health Clinical Supervisor | LA County Department of Health Services |
| Stephanie Cohen | Health Services Deputy | Office of Supervisor Sheila Kuehl (LA County District 3) |
| Lucia Diaz | Chief Executive Officer | The Mar Vista Family Center |
| Sue Dunlap | President and Chief Executive Officer | Planned Parenthood Los Angeles |
| Cheryl Karp Eskin MA, MFT | Program Director | Teen Line |
| Connie Chung Joe JD | Chief Executive Officer | Asian Americans Advancing Justice – Los Angeles |
| Dr. Va Lecia Adams Kellum | President and Chief Executive Officer | St. Joseph Center |
| Jan King MD, MPH | Area Health Officer, SPA 5 | Los Angeles County Department of Public Health |
| Alison Klurfeld MPP, MPH | Director, Safety Net Programs and Partnerships | L.A. Care Health Plan |
| Chris Ko | Vice President, Impact & Strategy | United Way of Greater Los Angeles |
| David Lisonbee | President and Chief Executive Officer | Twin Town Treatment Centers |
| John Maceri | Chief Executive Officer | The People Concern |
| Lidia Magarian | Chronic Disease Prevention Director | Santa Monica Family YMCA |
| Smita Malhotra MD | Medical Director | Los Angeles Unified School District |
| Lyn Morris LMFT | Chief Operating Officer | Didi Hirsch Mental Health Services |
| Kari Pacheco | Co-Director, Health Services (she/her/hers) | The Los Angeles LGBT Center |
| Kristen Pawling | Sustainability Program Director | County of Los Angeles Chief Sustainability Office |
| Lorri Perreault | Regional Director | Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Inc. |
| Daniel Reti | Healthcare Integration Coordinator | Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority |
| Erin Raftery Ryan | Executive Director | National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI) - Westside Los Angeles |

| Name | Title | Organization |
|------------------------------|--|---|
| Susan Samarge-Powell, EdD | Director of Early Learning | Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District |
| Dana Sherrod MPH | Birth Equity & Racial Justice Manager Lead, Cherished Futures for Black Moms & Babies | Public Health Alliance of Southern California |
| Michael Tuitasi | Vice President of Student Affairs | Santa Monica College |
| Nina L. Vaccaro MPH | Chief Operating Officer | Community Clinic Association of Los Angeles County |
| Jennifer Vanore | President and Chief Operating Officer | UniHealth Foundation |
| Eli Veitzer | Chief Executive Officer | Jewish Family Service LA |
| Rosemary C. Veniegas PhD | Senior Program Officer, Health | California Community Foundation |
| Jacquelyn Wilcoxen | Service Area Chief | Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health |
| Margaret Willis | Housing and Human Services Administrator | City of Santa Monica |
| Setareh Yavari | Housing and Human Services Manager | City of Santa Monica |
| Anita Zamora | Deputy Director/Chief Operations Officer | Venice Family Clinic |

Attachment 3: Community Stakeholder Interview Responses

Community interview participants were asked to name some of the major health issues affecting individuals in the community. Responses included:

- Behavioral health and mental health issues. Now that kids are back to school, teachers are dealing with issues such as anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress. There is a stigma around mental health that must be addressed so people will get help, especially with the veteran population.
- The biggest thing is COVID-19 and the changing variants, which is prolonging the pandemic.
- Social isolation and the lack of an adequate support system of family, friends, and trusted individuals.
- Chronic conditions hypertension, diabetes, cancer, lung disease, colon cancer. For those with deficits in activities of daily living, their chronic conditions require significant management.
- We are waiting to see the overall impact of the pandemic, with lack of face-to-face visits and lost prevention opportunities.
- Access to COVID vaccines and medications, especially in low-income pockets that exist on the Westside; they got lost among the more affluent in that area.
- There are new climate-related health risks and an increase in the number of extreme heat days, which is linked to cardiovascular risks. We're concerned about air pollution and traffic safety issues, too specifically pedestrian injuries/ fatalities.
- Access to care in general is difficult for anyone who does not have financial resources. There's a need for timely, quality specialty care and providers compassionate to struggles with mental health, sexually transmitted infections, and substance abuse.
- Easy access to medical professionals in a timely manner; access one month out isn't helpful.
- Lack of mental health support and resources is problematic. Many teens lack a medical provider, resources at school, access to meds, and therapy options.
- The most at-risk may fall through cracks and find themselves in jail, in part due to severe mental illness and substance use. Those who are mentally ill can't stand trial and jail isn't a good place for receiving appropriate mental health services.
- Accessible health care options for those who are homebound or have limited transportation.
- A "no wrong door" to integrated care is needed, taking into account social determinants and their impacts on health. Case managers work tirelessly to connect patients to health care as, often, there are medication issues, chronic diseases, or they are dying because of untreated ailments.
- The Asian Pacific Islander community is diverse in the range of "haves" and "have nots" with educational attainment and income. Many are front line workers. Mental

health issues occur frequently in this community. Pacific Islanders were hit hard with COVID hospitalizations and deaths.

- Homelessness, plus underlying issues, i.e., chronic disease, COVID, lack of affordable housing, access to care and substance abuse treatment. We need streetbased medicine to meet people where they are, address complicated health needs, and help them not die on the streets. We see many persons who are homeless near the Santa Monica 3rd Street Promenade. The streets are very dirty in this area, affecting hygiene and presenting a challenge with COVID.
- We see many persons who are homeless near the Santa Monica 3rd Street Promenade. The streets are very dirty in this area, affecting hygiene and presenting a challenge with COVID.
- Inadequate amount of sober or bridge housing.
- Inadequate career preparation, remedial educational resources, and occupation assistance and/or placement for those looking to better their economic stability.
- Birth inequities, specifically affecting black, indigenous mothers.
- Housing and economic security is a crisis impacting black families in the region and older adults who need affordable housing options so they can age in place. There is stress and anxiety tied to unstable housing circumstances, especially with the lifted eviction moratorium and rising housing costs.
- Housing is a key component of health. It's really about having resources or lack of resources, such as in South Los Angeles and pockets of SPA 5. If you don't have access to resources, education, safe places to live, work, and exercise, and you're having challenges it's impacting your health.
- Food insecurity is significant for low-income and rose to a disturbing high during the pandemic.
- Lack of exercise opportunities for seniors, families, and those without accessible green spaces.
- Lack of transportation. We have great hospitals with great networks, but many can't access them.

Interview participants were asked about socio-economic, behavioral, or environmental factors or conditions contributing to poor health in the community. Their responses included:

- Many are impacted by the wealth gap in Los Angeles County. People are priced out of their communities, so they are not getting health care resources that meet their needs.
- Structural racism and capitalism are prevalent in Los Angeles. There's a mix of poverty and structural issues in allocation of resources.
- The Westside is privileged compared to the rest of Los Angeles; inequities are extreme.

- It's important to call out structural racism, with disenfranchised black communities in Los Angeles in particular. We call out harmful historical practices, but folks are still pushed into areas we're largely divested from – where there are underfunded schools, housing insecurity and food insecurity. Living close to freeways exposes families to environmental toxins with negative impacts.
- Structural racism is pervasive. There's inherent bias present against brown, black, poor people, and women, in the community, the educational system, and health care system. This bias can change how symptoms are heard and types of treatment prescribed, i.e., African American infant mortality is a significant concern, and these women have challenges seeking care and being understood.
- If we could increase pay for medical providers to work in and serve South Los Angeles, maybe more would be willing.
- There are affordability, access, and structural barriers, including structural racism. It almost always comes back to access lacking health insurance, good schools, good food, primary care, etc.
- Health system structural issues result in lack of specialty care for many due to payer contracts.
- Differences in life span can be attributed to economics, racial/ethnic demographics, and geographic challenges.
- There's an inability to access care in one's own community with providers who understand cultural beliefs/norms and speak their language. The economic downturn, food insecurity, and homelessness all escalate health issues.
- Families use home remedies or see the doctor too late due to worry about immigration status.
- Housing instability and lost jobs/reduced work hours fuel anxiety. Immigrant populations are significantly impacted; they also have great stress related to what's happening in other countries.
- We see socioeconomic and social diversity factors on the Westside with lower income and ethnic groups who don't have the same resources or familial support systems that others benefit from.
- Lack of affordable housing is a structural crisis, in particular for seniors who are outliving their savings.
- The Pacific Islander community has high levels of poverty and low-income residents, which are correlated with lower educational attainment rates. Older adults and those who are undocumented and speak limited English have higher vulnerability for safety net support, leading to mental health issues, depression, and anxiety. Anti-Asian hate led to much more fear in our community.
- The LGBTQ and HIV community experience stigma, discrimination, and economic inequity.
- Socio-economic factors affect mental health. If there is not enough money for food,

how do individuals who are suffering afford a therapist? There is also a lack of knowledge around mental health prevention and treatment.

- The most at-risk who struggle with mental illness and/or substance abuse often have had experiences with racial factors, childhood trauma, poverty, food insecurity, low socio-economic status, lack of family/community support and homelessness.
- A majority of persons who are homeless are unsheltered so living conditions contribute to poor health. The stigma around mental illness exacerbates the number of those who are unsheltered and who go untreated.
- In Santa Monica, everything is close but it is still challenging to get around without a car. And who can they see for health care after work?

Interview participants were asked who or what groups in the community are most affected by the identified health-related issues. Their responses included:

- Lower income people, specifically black and brown, are at high risk for poor health and have experienced underinvestment.
- Under-resourced families are often not English speaking and lack knowledge about where to seek care. Infants and toddlers are seen for health concerns quickly, but it is harder to get children, ages two to three, in for care.
- Black women who are often heads of households are especially impacted, as well as young people in communities with stigma around mental illness; they go untreated due to lack of access to options/resources.
- Discrimination and racism results in limited job options for brown and black communities, so they're more exposed to COVID as frontline workers. Because of how they've been treated, they are more suspect of interventions, leading to possible hospitalization, maybe death, and family trauma.
- More blacks tend to be overrepresented among those with chronic conditions, driven by intersections with race, income, wealth disparities and access to resources.
- Immigrant communities are impacted especially in areas where FQHCs are not densely located. Trans individuals have hard time accessing appropriate care, as are veterans who aren't able to access VA, possibly due to dishonorable discharge.
- The medical vulnerability index is greatest among blacks, Latinx, and Asian Pacific Islanders. Regions of SPA 5 have pockets with native Hawaiians who are disproportionately impacted by the pandemic, plus they were already suffering with health conditions.
- We see an overall lack of access among seniors and in Hispanic neighborhoods, specifically Del Rey in Los Angeles, which has pockets of housing projects and apartments with working class families.
- LGBTQ and HIV positive clients and youth who are homeless often have every possible barrier.
- For low-income, people of color, and disabled individuals, they are impacted by lack

of access to financial, housing, health care, and knowledge resources - a vicious cycle of poverty and oppression.

- Mental health concerns are exceptionally high among school-aged youth, including black and Latinx.
- Hispanic and black clients are impacted at greater level with stigma around mental health issues. Then, factor in worry about law enforcement troubles. With the time change, many clients won't come in for services after dark; it's dangerous to be out.
- Health care workers, first responders, Asian American doctors and nurses were hit hard with mental health issues, depression, and anxiety.
- Mental illness disproportionately impacts seniors and African Americans who are homeless.
- We see mental health issues disproportionately impacting BIPOC, low income, women, older adults, and persons with disabling conditions.
- Child and teen mental health is a massive crisis, a hidden epidemic.
- LGBTQ youth may not have environments or parents who are accepting. Also, those on the autism spectrum and those who are developmentally delayed are affected by the lack of structure as a result of COVID.
- We see substance abuse issues disproportionately impacting youth and persons who are homeless.
- We see immigrant populations who are stressed about recent hate incidents and what is happening in other countries, i.e., Ethiopia. Cultural norms prevent them asking for help with mental health.
- We're seeing a tremendous issue with homelessness in SPA 5 that looks very different than SPA 6.
- Mental health issues affect older people who are isolated. With the increase in virtual communication, it becomes harder for them to stay connected. Certain pockets of Westside have seniors with great need for assistance and support.
- Older adults in Santa Monica often don't feel safe being outside. They may fear persons who are homeless, exposure to COVID, and safety around increased scooter use on the streets.
- There is a gap in understanding of the veteran experience.
- Mental health needs are seen in Persian, Latinx, Asian American and Pacific Islander, religious, veteran and first responder communities. Needs are also prevalent in affluent communities.
- There's a disproportionate increase in persons who are homeless from previous counts for ages 55 and older, possibly attributed to high rates of elder abuse, predatory property management and illegal evictions.
- With housing, we see the impact of structural racism in an extreme way. Black/African American make up most of homeless – it doesn't get that way by accident.

- Structural issues and racism contribute to homelessness, with blacks being overrepresented.
- Among those who are homeless, there are disproportionate numbers of blacks, veterans, and LGBTQ.
- Impoverished areas, homeless, and underemployed lack access to substance abuse treatment options, as well as educational/occupational resources to help them improve their living situations.

Interview participants were asked what health inequities they have observed, and the solutions needed to address those inequities. Their responses included:

- Consider supporting/increasing home ownership as a solution to equity and stabilization for low-income persons and persons of color. Persons of color will not get out of this centuries-long disparity without economic growth and protection of home ownership.
- Invest early in communities and infrastructure, targeting communities that are falling behind.
- Our freeway system is a big driver for air pollution health-related issues.
- Black, African American and Latinx are overrepresented with homelessness and most areas of health need. We need community investment into social determinants and community conditions, i.e., affordable housing and healthy food options, transportation, and prioritizing the most vulnerable.
- There's significant income disparity in the Pico neighborhood where the majority are families of color.
- Intervene early and focus on prevention strategies in areas such as education, and access to jobs and educational advancement opportunities so that income can improve.
- We need to focus on developing better workforce pipelines and linking people to jobs and job training.
- There are differences in social supports and care that people receive. Focus on negotiating affordable drug prices, fixing means-testing rules, reinventing care to be less episodic, fixing SSI and Social Security, and ensuring allowances for secure housing.
- Evaluate structural needs and band together to build step-down facilities around inpatient hospitals.
- A long-term issue is negative health care experiences among communities of color. Repeated positive experiences are needed to build trust, such as making institutions more people-friendly with less red tape. Consider a campaign to highlight good processes and outcome from health care institutions.
- There is inequity with agencies receiving County Department of Mental Health funding. Agencies can only break even or receive limited extension funding,

therefore, we had to turn people away during the pandemic when they needed help the most.

- Housing costs are inequitable. Gentrification is impacting people's ability to stay in their communities.
- Access to care and equitable density of clinics and FQHCs in certain areas is challenging. Need to locate clinics and health access points near where people live, which ensures providers are familiar with the neighborhood and able to provide a more intimate level of care.
- Access to health care is difficult for those who are undocumented. Often, resources aren't available or there are long wait times. Many hesitate to access care through hospital systems, fearing large bills. We need insurance navigators to help people understand benefits and access.
- Lack of universal health care. There are gaps in economics, access, and health care knowledge. Need better campaigns about access to health care and health issues in general.
- Knowledge is key. Many don't understand facts about immunizations and the importance of dental care. We need bilingual liaisons who are part of the community
- People with diabetes and high blood pressure are at risk of stroke, heart attack, and are more likely to get severely ill from COVID. It's time for health care providers to work together with the communities to address those with chronic diseases to prevent more death and serious illness.
- Many college students lack health care options. Campus health centers provide limited services.
- There's a need for funding to create seamless transitions especially for the frail elderly who otherwise would become hospital patients. Let's help them with make doctor appointments, compliance with medications and coordination of community services to age in place.
- Telehealth and street-based care must continue for physical and mental health. We need access and connectivity, otherwise disparities worsen, negative impacts accelerate, and people get sicker.
- Need large scale policy conversations around free internet access. There are now telehealth reimbursement opportunities, but people need stable internet, which many can't afford.
- Increase health care providers who accept Medi-Cal on a nondiscriminatory basis. Increase services that may not be revenue producing, such as mental health and substance abuse detox.
- Improve access to mental health services as people need them, from medication to occasional therapy.
- Many transitional-age youth enter the mental health system an important population to emphasize for prevention/early intervention. Low barrier access

centers are needed, including sobering centers, where people can walk in to get services. There are not enough on the Westside where NIMBYism exists.

- In schools, wait lists are long for mental health and substance use services.
- Many who resort to sex work may be HIV positive and need connection to health care services.
- There's a lack of appropriate facilities; we're losing board and care homes. We all need to come together to fund long-term and skilled nursing beds to meet needs of the population.
- Mental health access, stigma and cost are all barriers. The pandemic shifted all programs online, so transportation and social anxiety were removed as barriers. A solution would be an anti-stigma campaign using high profile ambassadors to share their mental health stories.
- Need mental health support consultants for students who can offer outside counseling and also in-classroom support.
- Focus on more substantial investments in strategies to increase access to food, i.e., food banks, food home delivery (Meals on Wheels), and medically tailored meals.
- Need policy change to expand safety net programs, i.e., food stamps and eviction moratoriums.
- Access to housing is the key to making people healthier. Prioritize affordable housing options and build partnerships to bring shared expertise to the problem.
- Need to consider both language and race/ethnicity in addressing health needs. Draw a map based on the number of languages people speak, then if we only have information in a few of the spoken languages, we're not reaching those who may need it most.
- Community-based organizations are struggling with limited funding and limited ability to change midstream. A solution may be to invest sustained funding in organizations to help them build stability and grow stronger, provide mentorship, and help leaders get advanced degrees or training, particularly with black-led organizations that are often grossly underfunded.
- Invest in legal service providers for low-income residents who are experiencing threat of eviction.
- Invest in navigation, case management, and wrap-around services for those persons who are homeless and who are highly using/mis-utilizing health care systems.
- Need a system of care for those who need long-term support, especially for persons who are homeless with ADL deficits and for mentally ill with wrap-around services.
- Need accessible dental and hearing services. There are dental deserts where children lack access.
- Need more spaces within neighborhoods for exercise, i.e., the Slow Streets program.

Interview participants were asked how the COVID-19 pandemic influenced or changed unmet health-related needs in the community. Responses included:

- The pandemic highlighted the needs, it didn't change them.
- The pandemic ripped the roof off the of the disparities we know. It exposed them for what they are in terms of access to care and resources and ability for people to take care of themselves.
- The focus on COVID took up everyone's bandwidth so other health issues weren't top of mind.
- Fundamental human rights were impacted access to food and housing, which impacts health. Many agencies' services shifted to addressing these basic needs, even if they didn't before.
- Healthy food distribution was a big need, as well as emergency supplies.
- Agencies needed to get creative in how to provide essential service under public health orders. Still grappling with decisions about reopening using evidence-based or safety-based guidance.
- There is a need for more access to COVID vaccines. There's a lot of delayed care due to fear and hesitancy. It's likely that over the next few years, we'll see an increase in preventable deaths.
- In trying to understand vaccine hesitancy, we need to understand how challenging it
 must be for an individual who may want to get vaccinated but can't take time off
 work or get childcare, then add structural racism and distrust of system as a whole –
 this all affects one's decision.
- Teens may want to get vaccinated, but parents don't want them to, which is a tough issue to navigate.
- How does one decide about the vaccine when information is only in English and Spanish?
- Hospital staffing was a huge challenge. When beds were full, diversion disrupted the system. We need to learn how do we effectively manage emergencies when they are health emergencies?
- If you're unemployed, it's more difficult to purchase healthy foods. Those employed sometimes see an increase in their assets, whether that's housing, securities, investments, but those in low-income communities have difficulty securing that.
- Shutting down schools where children got food, exercise, interaction with peers, and a consistent adult had significant negative effects. Children are returning to school with even more unmet needs.
- The education system was disrupted and there's a ripple effect getting kids into school and keeping them in school. If they're having problems now, this may affect their ability to finish high school and get a higher education, which has direct impact on employment, wealth, and where they can afford to live. This all has influence on violence in the communities, which impacts health.

- The rise in child abuse was mind-blowing. With remote learning, there was no one to notice and make reports. School counselors couldn't connect with kids and the students often wouldn't attend Zoom meetings. Kids need safe spaces for intervention, and many didn't have this.
- A positive many schools now require seeing a health care provider for certain symptoms. School nurses are finally highlighted as huge resources due to their liaison role with families and doctors.
- The needs of college students were compounded stress, food insecurity, and lack of student housing.
- Kids didn't go to the dentist during the pandemic, so we're seeing teeth extractions and root canals.
- Inability to socialize negatively affected mental well-being and physical activity levels. It increased isolation among older generation.
- Seeing tremendous increase in mental and behavioral health issues/crises in adults and children. Many families had loved ones pass away due to COVID. Grief and fears need to be addressed.
- Scapegoating of Asian Americans drove many into hiding so they weren't accessing needed services.
- Many Asian community members were afraid if they tested positive for COVID that they'd be shunned or stigmatized in the community, especially Pacific Islander communities.
- Increased need but created solutions and innovations, i.e., advancement in infrastructure in community clinics and community access. Telecare and digital visits have improved. What hasn't improved is correlated technology gap around hardware and internet.
- Overall access has improved with remote telehealth services. Missed appointments decreased with work, transportation and childcare barriers removed, but the digital divide is real. Many lack access to smart phones with people of color disproportionately impacted.
- Silver lining is that mental health care was forced to shift to remote work, not a common practice previously. We didn't receive more contract money, but we saw more efficiency.
- Telehealth should continue to be part of the model of care and technology infrastructure.
- The housing crisis plus multiple families living together meant COVID ripped through these families.
- Seeing many foreclosures emerge as an economic effect of the pandemic.
- There's a lot of displacement with unemployment being so high. It's a challenge to maintain secure housing; many have been evicted despite moratoriums. Homelessness has increased.

- Need economic protections among people of color. Anticipating seeing increase in evictions, exacerbating an already tense housing crisis.
- Homelessness was already seen as a public health concern, but we really saw the crisis when persons who were homeless couldn't be safely housed with protections from COVID/illness. Hotels were converted into Project Roomkey housing; we need this to be continuous.
- Underscored need for sustainable street outreach teams for persons who are homeless, providing an ongoing connection to primary care, provision of vaccines and psych meds.
- More substance use and more death on the streets, especially among younger people.
- We see an unemployment crisis, and now a workforce crisis. It's hard to find frontline workers, possibly due to fear of COVID, burnout, low pay. There is homeless services funding so many are hiring, but some may not be looking for work if collecting unemployment.
- Caregiving has very limited systems already; need advocacy in this area. Paid family leave is needed.
- Need to address workers' rights and protections, i.e., paid leave who has it/who doesn't. Many frontline workers were going into work sick because they couldn't take time off.
- Some lost jobs and then health insurance, which is often tied to employment. Help needs to come from trusted organizations as many are afraid because their immigration status is complicated.

Attachment 4: Resources to Address Community Needs

Community stakeholders identified resources potentially available to address the identified community needs. This is not a comprehensive list of all available resources. For additional resources refer to Los Angeles County 211 at <u>https://www.211la.org/</u>.

| Significant Needs | Community Resources | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Access to health care | Asian Pacific Health Care Venture, Black Infant Health Program, Black Infants and Families Los Angeles, Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, Chinatown Service Center, CinnaMoms, Community Clinic Association of Los Angeles, Disability Community Resource Center, Give an Hour, Jewish Family Service LA, KHEIR Center, Los Angeles Christian Health Centers, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Los Angeles Unified School District, Mar Vista Family Center, Northeast Valley Health Center, Project Room Key, Refresh Spot, R.O.A.D.S. Community Care Clinic, Saban Community Clinic, Santa Monica College Student Health & Wellness Center, St. John's Well Child & Family Center, St. Joseph's Center, The People Concern, UCLA Family STAR Clinic, UCLA/VA Veteran Family Wellness Center, Venice Family Clinic, Westside Family Health Center, WISE & Healthy Aging | | | |
| Chronic diseases | Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, Jewish Family Service LA, Los Angeles Christian Health Centers, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Northeast Valley Health Center, Saban Community Clinic, SmartAirLA, St. John's Well Child & Family Center, St. Joseph's Center, UCLA/Alzheimer's and Dementia Care Program, Universal Community Health Center, Venice Family Clinic, Westside Family Health Center, YMCA | | | |
| Community safety | Advancing Justice, Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council (A3PCON), Boys & Girls Clubs, City of West Hollywood Security Ambassador Program, Jenesse Center, Jewish Family Service LA, LA vs. Hate, LA Walks, Los Angeles County Bicycle Coalition, Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (Veteran Peer Access Network), Los Angeles County Parks After Dark, Sahara, South Asian Network, Southern California Crossroads, Stop the Violence Program, The Los Angeles LGBT Center, The People Concern, The Positive Results Corporation, TransLatina Coalition, U.S. VETS, Westside Infant-Family Network, YMCA | | | |
| COVID-19 | Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, Connections for Children, Empowering Pacific Islander Communities (EPIC), Kedren Community Health Center, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, LA County Department of Mental Health and UCLA Partnership for Wellbeing, Mar Vista Family Center, Pacific Islander Health Partnership, Prtizker Center for Strengthening Families, Search to Involve Pilipino Americans (SIPA), Together Toward Health, Venice Family Clinic | | | |
| Economic insecurity | American Legion, Basic Income Guaranteed: Los Angeles Economic Assistance Pilot (BIG LEAP), Charles R. Drew University of Medicine and Science, Chrysalis, City of Santa Monica, Disability Community Resource Center, Harbor Interfaith Services, HOPICS, Korea Town Youth and Community Center, Korean American Family Services, Lift Los Angeles, LISC Los Angeles, Little Tokyo Service Center, Los Angeles County Department of | | | |

| Significant Needs | Community Resources | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Public Social Services, Neighborhood Housing Services of Los Angeles County, Pilipino Workers Center, Special Service for Groups, Inc., St. Joseph Center, The People Concern, Trans Wellness Center, Upward Bound Study | | | |
| En dina na su ta l | Center, United Way, Village for Vets, WISE & Healthy Aging | | | |
| Environmental conditions | City of Los Angeles, County of Los Angeles, Friends of Ballona Wetlands, | | | |
| Food insecurity | Heal the Bay, SmartAirLA American Red Cross, CalFresh, Catholic Charities of Los Angeles, Inc., City of Santa Monica, Everytable, Food Forward, H.E.L.P.E.R. Foundation, HOPICS, Jewish Family Service LA, Let's Feed L.A., Los Angeles Regional Food Bank, Los Angeles Unified School District, Meals on Wheels, MOA Wellness Center, Project Angel Food, SEE-LA, St. Joseph Center, St. Mark's Food Pantry, St. Paul's Food Pantry, The Los Angeles LGBT Center, The Mar Vista Family Center, The People Concern, Venice Family Clinic, West Los Angeles VA, Westside Food Bank, WISE & Healthy Aging, World Central Kitchen | | | |
| Housing and homelessness | Asian Americans Advancing Justice-Los Angeles, Community Corporation of Santa Monica, Exodus Recovery, Inc., Harbor Interfaith Services, HOPICS, Housing for Health, Inner City Law Center, Legal Aid Foundation of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Homeless Services Authority (LAHSA), People Assisting the Homeless (PATH), Project Roomkey, Saban Community Clinic, Safe House, Safe Place for Youth, Skid Row Housing Trust, St. Joseph Center, Step Up, Students Helping Students, The Mar Vista Family Center, The People Concern, Union Station Homeless Services, Venice Family Clinic, Weingart Center for the Homeless | | | |
| Mental Health | Airport Marina Counseling Services, Alcott Center, Asian Pacific Counseling and Treatment Centers, California Black Women's Health Project, California Department of Developmental Services (DDS) Regional Centers, Chinatown Service Center, Community Coalition, Didi Hirsch Mental Health Services, Edelman Westside Mental Health Center, Exodus Recovery, Inc., Family Service of Santa Monica, Hathaway-Sycamores Child and Family Services, Jewish Family Service LA, Korea Town Youth and Community Center, Korean American Family Services, Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health (Veteran Peer Access Network), Maternal Mental Health Now, Mental Health Advocacy Services, Mental Health First Aid, National Alliance on Mental Illness (NAMI), OUR HOUSE Grief Support Center, Pacific Clinics, Painted Brain, Pathways, Prevention Center of Excellence, Public Mental Health Partnerships, Rape Treatment Center/Stuart House, Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District, South Asian Network, Special Service for Groups, Inc., St. John's Well Child & Family Center, St. Joseph Center, Step Up, Strength In Support, The Los Angeles LGBT Center, The People Concern, The Trevor Project, The Village Family Services, Together for Wellness, UCLA/VA Veteran Family Wellness Center, U.S. VETS, Venice Family Clinic, Westside Infant-Family Network, WISE & Healthy Aging | | | |
| Overweight and obesity | Community Coalition, St. John's Well Child & Family Center, The Mar Vista Family Center, Venice Family Clinic, Westside Family Health Center, YMCA | | | |
| Preventive practices | Alzheimer's Association, Black Women for Wellness, Essential Health Access, Housing for Health, KHEIR Center, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Northeast Valley Health Center, Partners in Care Foundation, | | | |

| Significant Needs | Community Resources | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Planned Parenthood, Saban Community Clinic, St. John's Well Child & Family Center, Venice Family Clinic | | |
| Sexually transmitted infections | APLA Health, Asian Pacific AIDS Intervention Team (APAIT), Homeless Health Care Los Angeles, Los Angeles County Department of Health Services, Planned Parenthood, The Los Angeles LGBT Center | | |
| Substance abuse | Airport Marina Counseling Services, Alcoholics Anonymous, Asian American Drug Abuse Program, Clare Matrix, Didi Hirsch Mental Health Services, Exodus Recovery, Inc., Homeless Health Care Los Angeles - Center For Harm Reduction, HOPICS, JWCH Institute, Narcotics Anonymous, Pacific Clinics, Phoenix House, Special Service for Groups, Inc., St. Joseph Center, Tarzana Treatment Centers, Inc., The Beacon House, Twin Town Treatment Centers, UCLA Integrated Substance Abuse Programs, Venice Family Clinic, Vista Del Mar Child and Family Services | | |
| Transportation | Access, Alliance for Community Transit (ACT-LA), Big Blue Bus, LAnow On- Demand Shared Ride, Metro Bike Share, People for Mobility Justice, WISE & Healthy Aging | | |

Attachment 5: Report of Progress

UCLA Health developed and approved an Implementation Strategy to address significant health needs identified in the 2019 Community Health Needs Assessment. The hospital addressed: access to care, heart disease, mental health and overweight and obesity through a commitment of community benefit programs and charitable resources.

To accomplish the Implementation Strategy, goals were established that indicated the expected changes in the health needs as a result of community programs and education. Strategies to address the priority health needs were identified and measures tracked. The following section outlines the health needs addressed since the completion of the 2019 CHNA.

Health Focus Area: Access to Care

Care Harbor provided free medical, dental, vision and preventive care to approximately 1,000 underinsured and underserved Angelenos during a three-day clinic at The Reef in downtown Los Angeles from November 15-17, 2019, including 262 free eye exams provided by the UCLA Mobile Eye Clinic. 350 UCLA health professionals volunteered, including physicians, dentists, optometrists and nurses.

UCLA Health Operation Mend served 381 veterans (with 200 caregivers), providing medical diagnoses and advanced surgical/medical services, as well as intensive treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder and mild traumatic brain injury.

UCLA Blood & Platelet Center organized and completed 270 community blood drives, in which 12,835 units of lifesaving blood were collected. Between April 21 and June 30, 2020, they also completed 150 collections of convalescent plasma from people who were diagnosed and recovered from COVID-19.

The UCLA Ambulatory Community Outreach team led or participated in more than 300 free community events for thousands of attendees, including a six-part remote series on health and safety during COVID-19.

The UCLA Health Nursing Structural Empowerment Council Community Outreach Subgroup held eight workshops on hygiene, coping with stress, diabetes education and other health topics for about 50 attendees with The People Concern, an organization that provides transitional housing in Santa Monica. The groups also partnered to host a free flu clinic in 2019, during which they administered 70 free flu shots to the local homeless population. UCLA Health 50 plus members redeemed 483 vouchers for flu shots.

The UCLA Health Homeless Healthcare Collaborative launched in January 2022 as a direct-in-community program to expand access to efficient, equitable and high-quality health care for people experiencing homelessness in Los Angeles. Two mobile medical units provided a suite of medical services to unhoused people across Los Angeles. Additionally, the program connected people to valuable social services through trusted community partner agencies. By visiting a variety of sites including encampments, shelters, and interim housing sites, the Homeless Healthcare Collaborative removed traditional barriers to care in order to provide more accessible and equitable care to our neighbors experiencing homelessness.

In cooperation with the Los Angeles Dodgers:

- Provided free dental screenings and offered community health resources and giveaways at community parks. Over 4,450 total attendees; 8 events held.
- Provided free BP, eye and dental screenings as well as nutrition information, responding to questions about COVID-19 and vaccinations. 10,975 attendees at 3 events held.
- Provided free health services including nutritional education, free dental cleanings and exams, free eye exams, dermatology services, family medicine exams and blood pressure screenings. Up to 10,000 attendees.
- Offered free dental cleanings and screenings, physical fitness assessments, free vision screenings and had a physician available to answer health care questions at this annual back-to-school event. 6,900 students from downtown LA and public assisted housing projects attended 2 events.

The Nickerson Gardens Holiday Event provided educational and community health resources and giveaways for kids who live in Nickerson Gardens and surrounding housing projects. Facilitated the presence of Vision to Learn, which provided free eye exams and glasses. Over 2,500 attendees at events in 2019 & 2020.

The Emergency Intake Shelter (EIS) provided housing and support for unaccompanied migrant children aged 3-17 years at the Long Beach Convention Center until they could be reunified with their parents/sponsor families or placed in long term shelters. In Spring of 2021, over 13,000 children per month were received at the southern US border and overwhelmed capacity and capabilities at US Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) Stations. Long Beach was one of 15 sites in the US that was created to provide care for the children. A 1,000-bed shelter with 200 isolation beds was made available through a partnership between UCLA Health, UC Irvine Health and Children's Hospital of Orange County. UCLA Mattel's Children's Hospital participated in the EIS by providing logistics, medical staff, supplies, laboratory, pharmacy and radiology testing, plus other support services. The medical mission consisted of intake screening and medical assessment, pediatric clinic, 24x7 urgent care, an isolation area, staff surveillance testing, and wrap around services including clinic visits as well as admissions to ED and inpatient services. During the time that the Long Beach EIS was functioning, 1,698 children were housed in the shelter and received over 5,875 urgent care visits, 1,698 complete medical exams, over 3,500 vaccinations were given (MMR/V, Hep A, COVID-19), and 170 radiological studies on site. Over 1,550 children were reunited with their families.

UCLA Health administered Pfizer and Moderna vaccines to patients. Following state and local guidance, UCLA Health invited the highest-risk patients first, based on age, clinical and social risk as defined by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Social Vulnerability Index (SVI). UCLA Health has an organizational commitment to acknowledging, addressing and reducing health inequities, and recognizes the profound disparities in COVID-19 case and death rates in California's low income, Latino, Black and Pacific Islander communities. Because of these pervasive health inequities and to develop more equitable distribution of the vaccine over a first-come, first-served model, UCLA Health incorporated these factors in determining overall risk for COVID-19. Approximately 630,000 patients, over the age of 16, met the attribution criteria. Patients were proactively invited by overall risk, dependent on available vaccine inventory. Vaccine acceptance results as compared to LA County (as of mid-May 2021).

| | UCLA Health | Black/ African American | Hispanic/ Latinx |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| UCLA overall | 65% | 57.7% | 60.2% |
| UCLA high SVI | N/A | 51.3% | 55.4% |
| LA County | 61% | 39.2% | 44.4% |

Health Focus Area: Heart Disease

UCLA Health physicians, providers and staff led 134 free community health seminars for more than 3,875 attendees, covering topics including heart health, heart disease and managing stress and anxiety. They participated in 12 community events, providing free health information and resources to more than 2,800 total attendees.

Participated in health expos and community events, including the Movember, I Heart Walking, and AHA Go Red for Women events that engaged 1,250 participants. 450 families were served at a community event hosted in partnership with the Los Angeles

Dodgers Foundation at MLK, Jr. Park. At this event the following services were offered: eye exams, blood pressure screenings, and nutritional education.

In partnership with the American Heart Association provided heart disease prevention materials and education:

- Social media outreach and prevention messages
- Four heart health education events at the Inglewood Active Communities Virtual Townhalls
- Hosted three Lunch and Learns on topics of cardiovascular health
- Kids Heart Challenge provided health education materials for elementary and middle school teachers, students and their parents in Redondo Beach, Venice, Marina del Rey, Culver City, Los Angeles and Santa Monica schools.

Health Focus Area: Mental Health

Stuart House provided free services to 1,256 child sexual abuse victims, including forensic interviews and specialized therapy services.

The Rape Treatment Center provided free, state-of-the-art treatment to 2,447 victims of sexual abuse or assault, including medical care, forensic services and trauma-informed therapy.

The comprehensive services model pioneered by the **Rape Treatment Center** and **Stuart House** allowed for the provision of assistance and advocacy to 668 victims during the criminal justice process: including orientation to the criminal justice system, support with law enforcement, accompaniment to court and post-sentencing support.

UCLA Health provided behavioral health services to Angelenos with Medi-Cal and other insurance types. Through a Medicaid waiver, Behavioral Health Associate (BHA) providers were embedded into 12 primary care offices, including locations in Culver City, Santa Clarita and Manhattan Beach.

UCLA Health Operation Mend served 381 veterans and 200 caregivers, providing medical diagnoses and advanced surgical/medical services, as well as intensive treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder and mild traumatic brain injury.

UCLA Health physicians, providers and staff led free community health seminars for more than 260 attendees, providing a variety of mental health topics.

UCLA TIES (Training, Intervention, Education, and Services) for Families is an interdisciplinary program dedicated to optimizing the growth and development of

foster/adoptive children from birth to age 21, and their families. UCLA TIES trained 10 interdisciplinary interns/postdocs, provided 23 community trainings to 575 attendees, provided 8,603 clinical encounters (therapy sessions, evaluation, medication support, and case management) to 187 child welfare involved youth and their families.

The UCLA Family Development Program (FDP) provides evaluation, consultation, prevention, and treatment services for parents and families who are facing early life medical challenges with their infant, including high-risk pregnancies and NICU stays. FDP served 72 families in 706 therapy sessions.

EMPWR promotes well-being and resilience in LGBTQ (Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning) children, teenagers, and adults. The program served 158 clients in 1,488 therapy sessions.

Stress, Trauma and Resilience (STAR) clinic provides evaluation, consultation, prevention, and treatment services for children and family members affected by trauma and other challenging events, including medical illness, traumatic loss, community violence, disasters, and combat deployment stress. The STAR clinic served 164 families in 1,927 therapy sessions.

Public Partnership for Wellbeing and its affiliated programs, Prevention Center of Excellence, Pritzker Center for Strengthening Children and Families, and the Public Mental Health Partnership, provided free trainings and support to reduce burnout, secondary traumatic stress, and moral distress for providers in LA County who were heavily impacted by COVID-19 (e.g., first responders, health care workers, educators). PPFW engaged over 23,300 community providers in trainings from July 2019-January 2022.

Expanded behavioral health care capacity through UCLA Health's plans to build a world-class, state-of-the-art behavioral health campus in Mid-Wilshire. An anticipated investment of \$400 million will help address a long-standing regional need for additional behavioral health services. The Mid-Wilshire campus will be designed to support individuals, their families, and the broader community by significantly expanding access to a healing environment with a full continuum of behavioral health services. This new campus will be in addition to UCLA Health's Santa Monica and Westwood campuses.

For more than 60 years, UCLA Health has strived to provide the best in health care and the latest in medical technology to the people of Los Angeles. This project is an extension of that commitment to our community. When this project is completed, UCLA

Health will be able to significantly expand services for behavioral health care in Los Angeles County.

Health Focus Area: Overweight and Obesity

UCLA Health Sound Body Sound Mind (SBSM) supports a school network of more than 145 middle schools and high school in Los Angeles County. 185,000 students across six school districts (Los Angeles Unified, Long Beach Unified, Glendale Unified, Compton Unified, Culver City Unified and Santa Monica-Malibu Unified) have access to state-of-the-art fitness centers, fitness accessories, and a physical activity and nutrition curriculum. Physical education teachers at the schools have access to professional development to ensure the successful implementation of the program. To support remote learning during the 2020-2021 school year, SBSM ensured teachers had access to unique online resources and distributed over 5,500 home fitness kits across the highest need communities to encourage more home-based physical activity. These home fitness kits were distributed across nine different events during the height of the pandemic when schools, community centers, gyms, and other recreation facilities were closed and families were frequently under stay-at-home orders. SBSM developed a new mindfulness curriculum in collaboration with the UCLA Mindful Awareness Research Center to further support the development of healthy lifestyle choices for youth in Los Angeles. The organization expanded to the Glendale Unified School District, implementing their program in all district middle schools and high schools.

Beyond the school-based programs, SBSM upgraded two city Parks and Recreation gym facilities, built a new outdoor park workout space, brought additional resources to the city's annual health expo, and launched a free monthly nutrition class. UCLA Health partnered with the YMCA and nonprofit Ready, Set, Gold! to provide a digital 8-week program series featuring Olympians and Paralympians to encourage kids to stay active while learning from home. The free program is for children in fifth through 12th grades. UCLA Health Sound Body, Sound Mind has joined in this relationship with Ready, Set, Gold and LA28 to create a long-range youth fitness program for middle school students that will lead up to the high school graduating class of 2028 serving as Olympic Volunteer Ambassadors in the summer prior to their freshman year of college.

UCLA Health physicians, providers and staff led free community health seminars for more than 275 attendees, providing a variety of topics related to healthy eating and physical activity.